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Abstract—This paper presents the application of the Discrete Component Model for heating and evaporation to multi-component biodiesel fuel droplets in direct injection internal combustion engines. This model takes into account the effects of temperature gradient, recirculation and species diffusion inside droplets. A distinctive feature of the model used in the analysis is that it is based on the analytical solutions to the temperature and species diffusion equations inside the droplets. Nineteen types of biodiesel fuels are considered. It is shown that a simplistic model, based on the approximation of biodiesel fuel by a single component or ignoring the diffusion of components of biodiesel fuel, leads to noticeable errors in predicted droplet evaporation time and time evolution of droplet surface temperature and radius.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Biodiesel fuel droplets heating and evaporation are crucial processes leading to fuel combustion in the internal combustion engines [1]. As such, the accuracy of modelling these processes is important for improving the design of these engines [1]–[3]. There have been several suggestions for accurate modelling of fuel droplet heating and evaporation (see [2], [4]–[10]).

This paper presents a comparison between the results, referring to fuel droplet evaporation times and time evolution of droplet surface temperatures and radii, predicted by the previously suggested simplified models (see [11], [12]) and the recently developed version of the Discrete Component (DC) model ([9], [13], [10]). The latter takes into account the recirculation, temperature gradient, and diffusion of species inside the droplets, based on the Effective Thermal Conductivity and Effective Diffusivity (ETC/ED) models. This approach is performed in contrast with the previously suggested models that ignore temperature gradients and diffusion of species by assuming Infinite Thermal Conductivity and Infinitely fast Diffusivity (ITC/ID) of species inside the droplets.

The model originally described in [9], [13], [14] is used in the analysis. However, this model is applied to a considerably larger number of biodiesel fuels (19 types). These are: Tallow Methyl Ester (TME), Lard Methyl Ester (LME), Butter Methyl Ester (BME), Coconut Methyl Ester (CME), Palm Kernel Methyl Ester (PMK), Palm Methyl Ester (PME), Safflower Methyl Ester (SFE), Peanut Methyl Ester (PTE), Cottonseed Methyl Ester (CSE), Corn Methyl Ester (CNE), Sunflower Methyl Ester (SNE), Soybean Methyl Ester (SME), Rapeseed Methyl Ester (RME), Linseed Methyl Ester (LNE), Tung Methyl Ester (TGE), Hemp-oil Methyl Ester, produced from Hemp seed oil in Ukraine (HME1), Hemp-oil Methyl Ester, produced in European Union (HME2), Canola seed methyl ester (CAN) and Waste cooking-oil Methyl Ester (WME). The molar fractions of the pure fatty acids contributing in these methyl esters are inferred from averaging data reported in [14]–[20]. These are shown in Table I. The thermodynamic and transport properties, inferred from [9], [15], are used in the analysis.

II. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The time evolutions of droplet surface temperatures \(T_s\) and radii \(R_d\) for the abovementioned 19 types of biodiesel fuels have been studied. It is assumed that droplets with initial temperatures and radii 350 K and 12.66 \(\mu\)m, respectively, are moving through air at \(U_i = 35\) m/s at temperature and pressure equal to 880 K and 30 bar respectively.

Two examples of the time evolutions of droplet surface temperatures and radii of Rapeseed Methyl Ester (RME) and Waste oil Methyl Ester (WME) droplets are shown in Figs. 1 and 2. The following models are used in our analysis: 1) the ETC/ED model taking into account the contributions of multiple components (ME); 2) a combination of ITC and single-component models, in which all species are treated as one-component (SI); and 3) a combination of ITC and one dominant component models, in which biodiesel fuel is approximated by a single dominant component (DI). As mentioned in Section I, the second and third models are commonly used in the analysis of heating and evaporation of biodiesel fuel droplets.
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TABLE I

TYPES OF BIODIESEL FUELS AND THEIR COMPOSITIONS (MOLAR FRACTIONS OF FATTY ACIDS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methyl Esters</th>
<th>Abbreviations</th>
<th>C8:0</th>
<th>C10:0</th>
<th>C12:0</th>
<th>C14:0</th>
<th>C16:0</th>
<th>C17:0</th>
<th>C18:0</th>
<th>C20:0</th>
<th>C22:0</th>
<th>C24:0</th>
<th>C16:1</th>
<th>C18:1</th>
<th>C20:1</th>
<th>C22:1</th>
<th>C24:1</th>
<th>C18:2</th>
<th>C18:3</th>
<th>Others</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tallow</td>
<td>TME</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>27.90</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>40.00</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lard</td>
<td>LME</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>26.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>44.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butter</td>
<td>BME</td>
<td>5.19</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>10.99</td>
<td>31.66</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10.79</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>26.37</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coconut</td>
<td>CME</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palm Kernel</td>
<td>PMK</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>17.00</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palm</td>
<td>PME</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>45.13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.47</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>38.39</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9.16</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safflower</td>
<td>SFE</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>76.38</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16.22</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peanut</td>
<td>PTE</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>49.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>23.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cottonseed</td>
<td>CSE</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>19.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>31.00</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>41.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corn</td>
<td>CNE</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>40.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>44.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunflower</td>
<td>SNE</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.92</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18.46</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>68.41</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tung</td>
<td>TGE</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13.14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10.10</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13.75</td>
<td>51.64</td>
<td>4.37</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13.75</td>
<td>51.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemp1</td>
<td>HME1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.62</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>11.88</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>56.71</td>
<td>20.67</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soybean</td>
<td>SME</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>10.90</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.40</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>24.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>52.80</td>
<td>7.20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>52.80</td>
<td>7.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linseed</td>
<td>LNE</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>6.20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16.00</td>
<td>59.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16.00</td>
<td>59.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemp2</td>
<td>HME2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.51</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11.88</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>54.82</td>
<td>20.07</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canola seed</td>
<td>CAN</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.48</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>59.66</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20.89</td>
<td>9.44</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste oil</td>
<td>WME</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>15.69</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>6.14</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>42.84</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>29.36</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rapeseed</td>
<td>RME</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.93</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>26.61</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>24.75</td>
<td>9.70</td>
<td>8.70</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

using the conventional (single-component SI or dominant-component DI) models, compared with the predictions of the ME model, are noticeable and should not be ignored in practical engineering applications. The deviations between the predictions of these two models and the ME model indicate the importance of taking into account the diffusion of species inside droplets alongside the effects of temperature gradient in them. These errors in droplet evaporation times, predicted by the SI model compared to the ME model, have been estimated for RME and WME to be up to 9.4% and 5.9%, respectively. Also, the errors in droplet surface temperatures, predicted by the SI model compared to the ME model, for RME and WME have been shown to be up to 10.6% and 10.5%, respectively.

These errors in evaporation times and temperatures are similar to those inferred from the application of the DI model compared to ME model for both types of biodiesel fuels (see Figs. 1 and 2). The trends of the plots shown in Figs. 1 and 2 are reasonably close to the ones reported in [9], [12], [14]. The errors in evaporation times and temperatures, predicted by the SI and DI models are related to the fact that diffusion of species in the droplets are not taken into account in these models.

The plots of surface mass fractions of selected species versus time for RME and WME, predicted by the ME model, are shown in Figs. 3 and 4 respectively.

As one can see from Figs. 3 and 4, the heaviest components become the dominant ones at the late stage of evaporation (e.g. C24:1 M, for RME, and C24:0 M, for WME), even when their contributions at the initial stage are very small. At the same time, the lightest components become the least contributing ones at the late stages of evaporation, even when their initial contributions are relatively large (e.g. C16:0M, C18:2 and C18:3, for RME, and C16:0M, for WME). The molar fractions of intermediate components initially increase...
with time, but later their contributions decrease and near the end of evaporation time these contributions become negligible.

The plots of mass fractions of selected components versus normalised distance from the droplet centre at various time instants, for RME and WME, are shown in Figs. 5 and 6, respectively.

In agreement with the results shown in Figs. 3 and 4, one can see from Figs. 5 and 6 that the lighter components (e.g. C18:2M, for RME, and C12:0M, for WME) evaporate quicker than the heavier components (e.g. C22:1M), which leads to decrease in mass fractions of the lighter components in the vicinity of the surface of the droplet. Also, it can be seen from these figures that the gradients of species mass fractions formed inside droplets increase with time.

The plots of temperatures inside the droplet of RME and WME biodiesel fuel droplets versus normalised distance from the centre of the droplet at four time instants (0.03 ms, 0.3 ms, 0.5 ms and 0.8 ms) are shown in Figs. 7 and 8, respectively.

As one can see in Figs. 7 and 8, at the initial stage of droplet heating and evaporation (0.03 ms after the start of the process) a rather large gradient of temperature inside the droplet close to droplet surface is formed. In contrast to the case of species
mass fractions, however, the gradients of temperature inside droplets decrease with time. These gradients are reasonably small at 0.8 ms after the start of the process. This means that the Infinite Thermal Conductivity model can be applied to the analysis of droplet heating and evaporation, except at the very beginning of the process, when high accuracy of calculations is not required.

FIG. 8 The plots of temperature versus normalized distance from the centre of droplet at four time instants 0.03 ms, 0.3 ms, 0.5 ms and 0.8 ms for a WME droplet under the same conditions as in Figs. 1-7

III. CONCLUSION

Ignoring the effects of species diffusion, temperature gradient and recirculation inside droplets, or assuming that these species can be replaced by a single-dominant component, which are common practices in modelling heating and evaporation of biodiesel fuel droplets in many engineering applications, can lead to noticeable errors in the predictions of droplet surface temperatures and evaporation times. It is recommended that the Effective Thermal Conductivity/Effective Diffusivity (ETC/ED) model, taking into account diffusion of all species, is used for the analysis of these processes.
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