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Abstract—Cemented carbide balls are usually implemented in industry under the environment of high speed, high temperature, corrosiveness and strong collisions. However, its application is limited due to high fabrication cost, processing efficiency and quality. A novel eccentric lapping method with two rotatable lapping plates was proposed in this paper. A mathematical model was constructed to analyze the influence of each design parameter on this lapping method. To validate this new lapping method, an orthogonal experiment was conducted with cemented carbide balls (YG6). The simulation model was verified and the optimal lapping parameters were derived. The results show that the surface roundness of the balls reaches to 0.65um from 2um in 1 hour using this lapping method. So, using this novel lapping method, it can effectively improve the machining precision and efficiency of cemented carbide balls.
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I. INTRODUCTION

PRECISION ball, as the basic component of high precision machine, is mainly used in high precision bearings, gyroscopes, roundness-measuring instruments, ball valves, and other precision components. As the precision machining equipment requires high speed, high precision and high reliability, the critical ball also has a higher request in terms of geometry, surface quality, and consistency. The steel balls and ceramic balls are widely used at present. However cemented carbide balls outperform in, high hardness, high density, abrasion resistance, corrosion resistance, and the suitability of harsh environment, which leads to an increasing demand, especially in the application of aerospace and oil exploitation. Cemented carbide ball production process can be divided into three steps: cemented carbide powder sintering, casting and machining. Machining stage is the most difficult and also the most important step among the three, which decides the size, shape and surface quality of the cemented carbide ball. However, little study has been done on high-precision cemented carbide balls. The size, shape precision and surface quality of the cemented carbide ball have not been effectively improved, which prevents their widespread applications.

In industry, cemented carbide balls are generally finished by traditional V-groove lapping. As shown in Fig. 1 (a), two plates are placed face to face, while the lower plate is machined concentric circular V-groove for placing balls. This process only involves motions on two directions, loading pressure from the top plate and rotating the lower lapping plate. Because of the simple structure, straightforward control and much simpler equipment, this method is widely used in production nowadays. A lot of researches had been done on this method [1]-[3]. However, the precision of balls processed by this method is not sound enough, and the simulation of this method also shows that the lapping tracks in this method are only three concentric circles [4], as shown in Fig. 1 (b). As we all know, the tracks are very important for lapping method. Only the lapping tracks are homogeneous, will the lapping result be good. Three concentric circles are not enough to cover the ball’s surface which means there is no possibility to get high-precision balls. Obviously, other lapping methods for cemented carbide balls are needed.

Fig. 1 (a) Traditional V-groove lapping method

Fig. 1 (b) Traditional V-groove lapping tricks

Here exist a lot of ball-machining methods aiming for other materials, which are inspiring for the research of cemented carbide balls in this study. Angele [5] studied on four shafts ball-lapping and achieved very good results, but the efficiency of this method was too low to be applied in reality. Kurobe et al. [6], [7] modified the traditional V-groove lapping by separating...
the two sides of the V-groove into two parts, and each part can rotate independently. Tani and Kawata [8] developed magnetic fluid grinding which could greatly improve the efficiency of processing. Wang et al. [9] studied on conic grinding and improved sufficiency was found. Wen et al. [10] proposed planetary lapping to manufacture cemented carbide balls. Since all these lapping methods are still in the stage of laboratory research and only the traditional V-groove lapping is applied in real manufacture, it is more practical to study on potential improvements in traditional V-groove lapping thoroughly. Kang and Hadfield [11]-[13] had already done a lot researches on the eccentric V-groove lapping and the precision of balls had been greatly improved. This paper proposes a new lapping method based on the eccentric V-groove lapping where both of the lapping plates, up and down, can rotate separately.

II. KINEMATIC ANALYSIS OF THE NEW METHOD

The most critical requirement of sphere-shaping is that the cutting tracks can evenly cover the entire ball, i.e. the uniformity of the lapping tracks should be guaranteed. In order to make the tracks homogeneous, there are two factors that must be considered, rotation and ball spin angle [14]. During the grinding, only if the ball spin angle is shifting while the ball is rotating, lapping tracks can cover the ball surface, so as to achieve the uniformity.

Fig. 2 Schematic of eccentric lapping method with two rotatable lapping plates

Fig. 2 is a schematic illustration of two-rotation-plates eccentric lapping. The top plate is equipped with a flat lapping surface and load pressure and the lower plate with an eccentric V-groove, both can rotate. Because of the eccentricity, it is possible for the ball to change ball spin angle while rotating. With the different speeds of the lapping plates, the ball’s spin angle changes in different angle range. Compared to the former eccentric V-groove lapping, changing the spin angle is more efficient by the rotating of top plate.

In the process of lapping, the plates are in contact with the ball at three points through which the plates drive the ball’s rotation and revolution. Fig. 3 shows the contact points between a ball and the plates. The radius from the contact points, A, B, C to the centre of the circular V-groove, $R_A$, $R_B$, $R_C$, and the radius of ball, $r$, follows (1).

Fig. 3 Three contact points in the two-rotation-plate eccentric lapping method

![Fig. 3 Three contact points in the two-rotation-plate eccentric lapping method](image)

\[
\begin{align*}
R_A &= R_c - r \cos \theta \\
R_B &= R_c + r \cos \theta
\end{align*}
\]

Fig. 4 gives the section A-A in Fig. 3. Point $C'$ is the projected point of point C on the section A-A. The radius from point $C'$ to the centre of the circular V-groove, $R_{C'}$, is equal to $R_C$. A set of variables are defined, i.e., the lower lapping speed, $\Omega$, the top lapping speed, $\omega$, the radius from the points, A, B, C' to the rotating axis of the lower lapping plate, $r_A$, $r_B$, $r_{C'}$, and the angles between OA and O'A, OB and O'B, OC and O'C, AO' and OO', $\alpha$, $\gamma$, $\beta$, $\Phi$.

Fig. 4 Geometric analysis of the three points, A, B, C'

![Fig. 4 Geometric analysis of the three points, A, B, C’](image)

If it is assumed that, at time $t=0$, $\Phi=0$. In triangle AO'O, $r_A$, $R_A$, $e$, $\Omega$, and $\alpha$ follows (2), according to the cosine rule and sine rule.

\[
\begin{align*}
R_A' &= e^2 + r_A^2 - 2er_A \cos(\phi - \Omega t) \\
r_A' &= R_A' / \sin(\phi - \Omega t) = \frac{e}{\sin \alpha}
\end{align*}
\]
Equation (2) can be solved as (eliminate negative number)

\[
\begin{align*}
\rho &= \sqrt{R^2 - \rho^2 + \rho^2 \cos^2(\Omega t)} - \rho \cos(\Omega t) \\
\alpha &= \arcsin \left( \frac{\rho \sin(\Omega t)}{R} \right) \\
\phi &= \arcsin \left( \frac{\rho \sin(\Omega t)}{R} \right)
\end{align*}
\]

(3)

It is the same in triangle AO'O and triangle C'O'O, and \( r_B, r_C, \psi, \) and \( \beta \) can be derived as

\[
\begin{align*}
r_B &= \sqrt{R^2 + e^2 - 2eR \cos \Phi} \\
r_C &= \sqrt{R^2 + e^2 - 2eR \cos \Phi} \\
\beta &= \arcsin \left( \frac{\rho \sin(\Omega t)}{R} \right)
\end{align*}
\]

(4)

For the simulation analysis, the following assumptions are made, 1) definite ball diameter, 2) no elastic-plastic deformation, 3) same speed of the lapping plates at the contact points and that of the ball in the circular motion, 4) no mutual friction or collision among balls, 5) lapping fluid effect neglected.

Fig. 5 depicts the relationship of velocities. In Fig. 5 (a), the lower plate linear speed at point A, B, C' perpendicular to the radial plane through the centre of the lower plate, \( V_{AO}, V_{BO}, \) and \( V_{CO} \), are respectively given by

\[
V_{AO} = \Omega r_A, \quad V_{BO} = \Omega r_B, \quad V_{CO} = \Omega r_C
\]

(5)

For the simulation analysis, the following assumptions are made, 1) definite ball diameter, 2) no elastic-plastic deformation, 3) same speed of the lapping plates at the contact points and that of the ball in the circular motion, 4) no mutual friction or collision among balls, 5) lapping fluid effect neglected.

In Fig. 5 (b), variables related to ball kinematics are defined, i.e., ball spin angular speed, \( \omega_b \), ball spin angle \( \xi \), and ball circulation angular speed \( \omega_Y \). Therefore the lower plate linear velocity, \( V_A, V_B, V_C \) can also be derived as

\[
\begin{align*}
V_A &= \rho \Omega \omega_b + \rho \Omega \xi - \rho \Omega \cos(\xi) \\
V_B &= \rho \Omega \omega_b + \rho \Omega \xi + \rho \Omega \cos(\xi) \\
V_C &= \rho \Omega \omega_b + \rho \Omega \xi
\end{align*}
\]

(6)

By combining (6) and (7), the variables, \( \omega_b, \omega_Y, \xi \) are obtained by

\[
\begin{align*}
\omega_A &= \omega_c \cos \left( \frac{\sin(q \cdot k - k \sin(q \cdot k))}{\cos(q \cdot k) \cdot \cos(q \cdot k)} + \frac{\sin(q \cdot k \cdot k \cos(q \cdot k))}{\cos(q \cdot k) \cdot \cos(q \cdot k)} \right) \\
\omega_B &= \omega_c \cos \left( \frac{\sin(q \cdot k - k \sin(q \cdot k))}{\cos(q \cdot k) \cdot \cos(q \cdot k)} + \frac{\sin(q \cdot k \cdot k \cos(q \cdot k))}{\cos(q \cdot k) \cdot \cos(q \cdot k)} \right) \\
\omega_C &= \omega_c \cos \left( \frac{\sin(q \cdot k - k \sin(q \cdot k))}{\cos(q \cdot k) \cdot \cos(q \cdot k)} + \frac{\sin(q \cdot k \cdot k \cos(q \cdot k))}{\cos(q \cdot k) \cdot \cos(q \cdot k)} \right)
\end{align*}
\]

(7)

Figs. 5 (a) and (b) show the velocities at point A, B, C' and the variables related to ball kinematics, respectively.

The lower plate linear speed at the point A, B, C' perpendicular to the radial plane through the centre of the circular V-groove, \( V_A, V_B, V_C \) is given by

\[
\begin{align*}
V_A &= V_{AO} \cos \alpha = \Omega r_A \cos \alpha \\
V_B &= V_{BO} \cos \gamma = \Omega r_B \cos \gamma \\
V_C &= V_{CO} \cos \beta = \omega_C \cos \beta
\end{align*}
\]

According to (8), three important variables, \( \omega_b, \omega_Y, \xi \), are derived. These variables directly determine the lapping tracks. It is concluded that the lapping tracks are not only related to eccentricity, \( e \), V-groove half-angle, \( \theta \), lower lapping speed, \( \Omega \), radius from the centre of the circular V-groove to point C, \( R_C \), ball radius, \( r \), but also related to the top lapping plate angular speed, \( \omega \). Therefore it is feasible to control the ball spin angle by manipulating the top lapping speed, \( \omega \), which is different from the former eccentric lapping with one rotatable lapping plate.

III. SIMULATION AND DISCUSSION

The V-groove half-angle, \( \theta \), has little effect on the precision of balls [12] and the most common value of the half-angle is 45 degree. Whereas the influence of the eccentricity, \( e \), lower lapping speed, \( \Omega \), radius of V-groove, \( R_C \), top lapping speed, \( \omega \), is not clear in the eccentric lapping method with two rotatable lapping plates. In order further understand the impact of these parameters on the precision of balls, simulation of this lapping method is carried out. In this section, a simulation model is established based on MATLAB 2012.

As the radius of balls is definite, the ball kinematics variables, \( \omega_b, \omega_Y, \xi \), are enough for confirming the lapping tracks. The lapping tracks are three continuous curves, and it is convenient to do discrete sampling with a certain time interval,
$\Delta t$, in the simulation. After calculating the contact point coordinates and outputting them in the 3D coordinates, the lapping tracks are presented.

As shown in Fig. 5 (b), the center of the ball is set as origin for the coordinate, and the coordinates of the three contact points at initial time are represented as

$$P_1 = (0, 0, r), \quad P_2 = (r \cos \theta, 0, r \sin \theta), \quad P_3 = (r \cos \theta, 0, r \sin \theta).$$

with,

$$P_n = (P_1, P_2, P_3)$$

After revolving around an axis $f$ (the spin axis), point $P_0$ change into point $P_1$. According to the Euler-angles rotation formula [15], the coordinates of the point, $P_1$, is derived as

$$P_1 = \text{Rot}(\psi) P_0,$$

with Euler-angles rotation matrix as:

$$\text{Rot}(\psi) = \begin{bmatrix}
L_1' (1 - \cos \psi) + \cos \psi & L_2' (1 - \cos \psi) - L_3' \sin \psi & L_3' (1 - \cos \psi) + L_2' \sin \psi \\
L_2' (1 - \cos \psi) + L_3' \sin \psi & L_3' (1 - \cos \psi) + L_2' \sin \psi & L_1' (1 - \cos \psi) + \cos \psi \\
L_3' (1 - \cos \psi) - L_2' \sin \psi & L_1' (1 - \cos \psi) + L_3' \sin \psi & L_2' (1 - \cos \psi) + \cos \psi
\end{bmatrix}$$

and the components of the vector of axis $f$ on the XYZ coordinate axis, $L_1, L_2, L_3$, are

$$
\frac{\ell}{\|\ell\|} = (L_1, L_2, L_3).$$

In this paper, the three contact points are always in the same plane through the centre of the circular V-groove, so $L_1, L_2, L_3,$ are given by

$$L_1 = \cos \theta, \quad L_2 = \sin \theta, \quad L_3 = 0.$$ (15)

Such that, the coordinates of the point $i$ is described as

$$P_i = \text{Rot}(\psi) P_0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3,...$$

The rotation angle of the ball in the sampling time, $\psi_i$, is derived as

$$\psi_i = \frac{\omega_i + \omega_{i+1}}{2} \Delta t$$

and the spin angular speeds of point $i$ and point $(i+1)$, $\omega_i, \omega_{i+1}$, can be obtained by (6).

Following (10)-(17), it is able to get the coordinates of the three contact points at any sampling time. Similarly the trajectory within a certain time period is also attainable. If the uniformity of these coordinates is studied, it is credible to make a prediction for the precision of balls. For example, Fig. 6 shows the lapping tracks of 500 times rotation of the lower plate which is achieved with the lapping conditions as: $R_c=35\text{mm}$, $r=6.35\text{mm}$, $Q=120\text{rpm}$, $\omega_r=50\text{rpm}$, $e=12\text{mm}$, $\theta=45^\circ$, $\Delta t=0.0001\text{s}$. When compared it with situation in Fig. 1 (b), the result shows that the efficacy of eccentric lapping method with two lapping plates is much better than that from the conventional V-groove lapping.

To further study the uniformity of the lapping tracks, the spherical surface should be divided in accordance with its latitude and longitude. In this paper, the surface is divided into 100 sections, as shown in Fig. 7.

The area of each section, $S_n$, can be obtained by (18) and the number of coordinates in each section, $Q_n$, can be calculated by MATLAB. Take $N_i$ as the ratio of $Q_n$-to-$S_n$. The standard deviation of $N_i$, $SD_{N_i}$, indicating the uniformity of the lapping tracks, can be derived by (19). The smaller $SD_{N_i}$ is, the better the uniformity will be, and so will be the precision of balls, vice versa.

$$S = \oint \tilde{\Omega} ds = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \tilde{\Omega}_i \epsilon_i \rho \sin \theta \rho \cos \theta d\theta d\epsilon = \frac{\rho}{2} \epsilon \rho \sin \theta - \sin \epsilon \rho \cos \theta d\theta d\epsilon.$$ (18)

and, $\epsilon$ is the longitude while $\epsilon$ is the latitude.

$$SD_{N_i} = \tilde{N}_i (N_i - N_i')^{1/2}$$ (19)

$\tilde{N}_i$ is the average of $N_i$.

To take standard deviation of $N_i$, $SD_{N_i}$, as index, the parameters, eccentricity, $e$, lower lapping speed, $Q$, radius from the centre of the circular V-groove to point $C$, $R_c$, top lapping speed, $\omega_r$, are observed by orthogonal test. A standard
orthogonal table is used as it can operate four parameters, each at three levels. The parameters and their levels are shown in Table I.

### Table I
PARAMETERS AND THEIR LEVELS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>A: Eccentricity (mm)</th>
<th>B: Radius of V-groove-circle (mm)</th>
<th>C: Lower lapping speed (rpm)</th>
<th>D: Top lapping speed (rpm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table II
SIMULATION RESULTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters No.</th>
<th>A: Eccentricity (mm)</th>
<th>B: Radius of V-groove-circle (mm)</th>
<th>C: Lower lapping speed (rpm)</th>
<th>D: Top lapping speed (rpm)</th>
<th>SDN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>27.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>26.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>20.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>22.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>24.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>K₁</th>
<th>K₂</th>
<th>K₃</th>
<th>k₁</th>
<th>k₂</th>
<th>k₃</th>
<th>R</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>80.20</td>
<td>55.40</td>
<td>60.40</td>
<td>79.60</td>
<td>57.30</td>
<td>55.30</td>
<td>11.80</td>
<td>8.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>67.20</td>
<td>56.90</td>
<td>74.90</td>
<td>57.30</td>
<td>55.30</td>
<td>11.80</td>
<td>8.16</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>44.80</td>
<td>79.90</td>
<td>56.90</td>
<td>55.30</td>
<td>11.80</td>
<td>8.16</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>11.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>26.73</td>
<td>18.47</td>
<td>20.13</td>
<td>26.53</td>
<td>19.10</td>
<td>18.43</td>
<td>11.80</td>
<td>8.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>22.40</td>
<td>18.97</td>
<td>24.97</td>
<td>19.10</td>
<td>18.43</td>
<td>11.80</td>
<td>8.16</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>14.93</td>
<td>26.63</td>
<td>18.97</td>
<td>18.43</td>
<td>11.80</td>
<td>8.16</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>8.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This simulation is under the lapping conditions $r=6.35\text{mm}$, $\Delta t=0.0001\text{s}$, and 100 times rotation of the lower plate. The results are shown in Table II.

According to the range analysis, eccentricity has the most significant impact on the lapping tracks, followed by the radius of V-groove-circle. The third one is the top lapping speed whereas the effect of the lower lapping speed has the minimal influence. Fig. 8 shows the result from the level average analysis by $SD_N$.

For eccentricity, the average $SD_N$ is reduced from 26.73 to 22.40 as the eccentricity value increases from 4mm to 8mm. The decrease of the average $SD_N$ is 4.33 and it indicates more homogeneous lapping tracks and higher precision. As the eccentricity value increases from 8mm to 12mm, the average $SD_N$ decreases from 22.40 to 14.93, i.e. a decrease of 7.47 and it...
indicates that the lapping tracks get more homogeneous. However, it is known that the eccentricity has an impact on the distribution of force. The distribution of force will get more uneven as the eccentricity increases which will eventually reduce the accuracy of balls. So the value of eccentricity should not be excessive in real production. For the three eccentricity value, in the simulation, the relatively optimal value is 12mm.

For radius of V-groove-circle, the average $SD_N$ increases from 18.47 to 18.97 as the radius increases from 35mm to 55mm. The increase of the average $SD_N$ is 0.5. From 55mm to 75mm, although the radius increases by the same amount, the average $SD_N$ soar to 26.63. Therefore the radius has a great influence on the precision of balls while it gets bigger and it is better to get the radius small. However, if the radius becomes too small, little balls will be produced in the V-groove and the productivity will be low. The same as the eccentricity, the radius can make an effect on the distribution of force. The distribution of force will become more uneven with the increase of the radius. Therefore the radius should be chosen based on the requirements of precision and productivity. As to the three radius value, in the simulation, the optimal value is 35mm.

For lower lapping speed, average $SD_N$ increases from 20.13 to 24.97 as the speed increases from 60rpm to 90rpm. The increment of the average $SD_N$ is 4.84, which demonstrates more heterogeneous lapping tracks and worse precision. However, from 90rpm to 120rpm, the speed value increases by 30rpm whereas the average $SD_N$ decreases by 6 and the precision will also be improved. There should be a threshold for the lower lapping speed, below which the precision will be better as the lower lapping speed increases, vice versa. In this simulation, the optimal value is 120rpm.

For top lapping speed, the average $SD_N$ reduces from 26.53 to 19.10 as the speed value increases from 0 rpm to 25rpm. And the average $SD_N$ continue to decreases from 19.10 to 18.43 as the speed value increases by 25 rpm. With reference to this declined slope, there may be an optimum value for the top lapping speed. So far it was found in the simulation that the optimal value is 50 rpm.

To sum up, a better level of precision should be conduct in accordance with following lapping conditions: $R_c=35mm$, $r=6.35mm$, $\omega=120rpm$, $\omega=50rpm$, $e=12mm$.

IV. EXPERIMENTS AND DISCUSSION

During the lapping process, there exist many factors affecting the precision of balls, including load pressure, abrasive, lapping speed, plate material, equipment error, etc. In this paper, eccentricity, radius of V-groove-circle, lower lapping speed, and top lapping speed are discussed and other lapping conditions are listed in Table III. An orthogonal experiment is conducted by the authors to verify the feasibility of this lapping method. An isolated ring is used in this experiment to prevent collisions between balls.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Ball being lapped</th>
<th>Lapping machine</th>
<th>Lapping plates</th>
<th>Abrasive material</th>
<th>Base fluid</th>
<th>Abrasive concentration</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Amount of lapping ball</th>
<th>Load pressure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\Phi 12.701mm$, YG6 ball blanks</td>
<td>SPQMJ-350 Lapping machine based on eccentric lapping method with two rotatable lapping plates</td>
<td>Nodular cast iron</td>
<td>W1.5, Silicon Carbide</td>
<td>Deionizer water</td>
<td>10wt%</td>
<td>1h/batch</td>
<td>3 ball/batch</td>
<td>20N/ball</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The parameters in this experiment are identical with that in the simulation, as listed in Table I. Roundness of the balls is employed as index for quantitative evaluation. The results are shown in Table IV.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO.</th>
<th>A: Eccentricity(mm)</th>
<th>B: Radius of V-groove-circle(mm)</th>
<th>C: Lower lapping speed(rpm)</th>
<th>D: Top lapping speed(rpm)</th>
<th>Roundness(μm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K1</td>
<td>3.550</td>
<td>3.117</td>
<td>3.083</td>
<td>3.367</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K2</td>
<td>3.183</td>
<td>2.783</td>
<td>3.500</td>
<td>3.183</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K3</td>
<td>2.633</td>
<td>3.467</td>
<td>2.783</td>
<td>2.817</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k1</td>
<td>1.183</td>
<td>1.039</td>
<td>1.028</td>
<td>1.122</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k2</td>
<td>1.061</td>
<td>0.928</td>
<td>1.167</td>
<td>1.061</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k3</td>
<td>0.878</td>
<td>1.156</td>
<td>0.928</td>
<td>0.939</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>0.306</td>
<td>0.228</td>
<td>0.239</td>
<td>0.183</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The contributions to the efficiency from this lapping method are shown in Fig. 9. The experiment results show that the most significant impact on the lapping tracks relies on the eccentricity, followed in order by lower lapping speed and the radius of V-groove-circle whereas the effect of the top lapping speed is minimal. The results in the experiment differ from the outcomes from the simulation. In the experiment, the influence of eccentricity and top lapping speed is smaller than that in the simulation, the influence of radius of V-groove-circle remains unchanged, whereas the influence of the lower lapping speed gets more substantial. Sliding is ignored in the simulation, but it actually exists in lapping process. Sliding is one of the main causes of vibration of lapping tracks and it contributes to the difference between simulation results and experiment results. Although it is difficult to confirm the specific effects of sliding, the influence of top lapping speed is verified in both simulation and experiment.

![Simulation results and Experiment results](image)

**Fig. 9** Percentage contributions for parameters \(e, R_e, \Omega, \) and \(\omega\)

Fig. 10 shows the result from the level average analysis by roundness. According to the experiment results, the best precision could be obtained under the following lapping conditions: \( R_e = 55 \text{mm}, r = 6.35 \text{mm}, \Omega = 120 \text{rpm}, \omega = 50 \text{rpm}, e = 12 \text{mm}. \) The influence of eccentricity, lower lapping speed, and top lapping speed are similar to which in the simulation and the results manifest the simulation. The only difference is in the effect of radius of V-groove-circle. The optimal value of radius of V-groove-circle is 55mm in the experiment whereas it is 35mm in the simulation. The difference is deemed to be caused by the uneven force.

![Average Response of Parameter A, B, C, and D](image)

**Fig. 10** The effect of parameters on roundness

The distribution of force is related with the radius from the ball-top lapping plate contact point to the rotating axis of the lower lapping plate, \( r_c. \) As shown in Fig. 11, the bigger the value of \( r_c \) is, the smaller the force is. And the value of \( r_c \) can be obtained by (4), which indicates that both eccentricity and radius of V-groove-circle make effect on \( r_c. \) Therefore, if the value of eccentricity and radius of V-groove-circle vary too significantly, the uneven distribution of force will be exacerbated. Due to the uneven distribution of force, the lapping depth of the balls will not be constant as balls are rolling, such that the precision of balls will be worse. In the experiment, the radius of V-groove-circle shows a bigger impact on the distribution of force than the eccentricity and the value, 35mm, is small enough to reduce accuracy.
In this paper, a novel eccentric lapping method with two rotatable lapping plates was proposed. The method was tested with both a simulation program and an orthogonal experiment. Based on the results, the following conclusions can be drawn:

1. It is certain that the lapping tracks obtained by this method are able to cover the surface of balls. A great influence on this lapping method by the top lapping speed is ascertainment in both simulation and experiment. The optimum lapping parameter for the roundness within the experiment level: \( R_c = 55 \text{mm}, r = 6.35 \text{mm}, \Omega = 120 \text{rpm}, \omega = 50 \text{rpm}, e = 12 \text{mm} \).

2. Among all of the design parameters, the influence on the lapping precision by lapping parameters are studied in the experiment, the most significant impact on the lapping tracks relies on the eccentricity (32 percent), followed by the lower lapping speed (25 percent) and radius of V-groove-circle (24 percent), the top lapping speed performs minimal effects (19 percent).

3. With the help of newly-proposed lapping method, the cemented carbide balls (YG6) could reach a roundness of 0.65 \text{um} from formerly 2 \text{um} in an hour. The eccentricity lapping method with two rotatable lapping plates was validated as an effective way for processing cemented carbide balls finishing.

Further study will be continued and the influence of the lapping pressure, lapping fluid, abrasive etc. will be involved.

**APPENDIX**

- \( R_A \): radius from the centre of the circular V-groove to the ball-V-groove inner contact point (point A)
- \( R_B \): radius from the centre of the circular V-groove to the ball-V-groove outer contact point (point B)
- \( R_C \): radius from the centre of the circular V-groove to the ball-lapping plate contact point (point C)
- \( r \): ball radius
- \( \Theta \): V-groove half-angle
- \( R_C \): radius from the centre of the circular V-groove to point C (the subpoint of point C in the plane parallel to the lapping plane and through the lower two contact points)
- \( r_A \): radius from the rotating axis of the lower lapping plate to point A
- \( r_B \): radius from the rotating axis of the lower lapping plate to point B
- \( r_C \): radius from the rotating axis of the lower lapping plate to point C
- \( \Omega \): lower lapping speed
- \( \omega \): top lapping speed

- \( e \): eccentricity (the radius from the rotating axis and the centre of the circular V-groove)
- \( \omega_b \): ball spin angular speed
- \( \omega_O \): angular speed of ball circulation around the circular V-groove
- \( \zeta \): ball spin angle
- \( V_{AO} \): lower plate linear speed at point A perpendicular to the radial plane through the centre of the lower plate
- \( V_{BO} \): lower plate linear speed at point B perpendicular to the radial plane through the centre of the lower plate
- \( V_{CO} \): lower plate linear speed at point C’ perpendicular to the radial plane through the centre of the lower plate
- \( V_A \): lower plate linear speed at point A perpendicular to the radial plane through the centre of the circular V-groove
- \( V_B \): lower plate linear speed at point B perpendicular to the radial plane through the centre of the circular V-groove
- \( V_C \): lower plate linear speed at point C’ perpendicular to the radial plane through the centre of the circular V-groove
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