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Abstract—Dynamics of a rotor supported by air bearings is strongly dependent on the pressure distribution between the rotor and the bearing. In this study, internal pressure in air bearings is numerical and experimental analyzed for different radial clearances. Firstly the pressure distribution between rotor and bearing is modeled using Reynolds' equation and this model is solved numerically. The rotor-bearing system is also modeled in four degree of freedom and it is simulated for different radial clearances. Then, in order to validate numerical results, a test rig is designed and the rotor bearing system is run under the same operational conditions. Pressure signals of left and right bearings are recorded. Internal pressure variations are compared for numerical and experimental results for different radial clearances.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Externally pressurized air bearings are preferred for many industrial application due to their advantages such as low friction, high mechanical endurance and environmental awareness. In air bearing-rotor system, a thin film of air between rotor and bearing surfaces is generated by pressurized air which is supplied by orifices. And this air prevents contact and reduces friction between two surfaces. Dynamics of the rotor is strongly depends on the pressure distribution around the bearing and vice versa. After air makes a thin lubrication film, it exhausts to the atmosphere through the clearance space and to reduce the rate of pressure with rotor eccentricity [4]. Then, in 1965, Dudgeon and Lowe modeled air flow between rotor and bearing surfaces by using radial clearances [3]. He concluded that air flow between rotor and bearing was consist of axial and circumferential flow and improved the air flow model. Powell et al. investigated on a plain cylindrical journal bearing with single row of six feed holes with series of tests and reported that effect of non-axial flow was both to distort the pressure in the clearance space and to reduce the rate of pressure with rotor eccentricity [4]. Then, in 1965, Dudgeon and Lowe modeled air flow between rotor and bearing surfaces by using both axial and circumferential flow and they verified these result by an experimentally study [5]. After these studies, many researchers theoretically and experimentally analyzed effect of air pressure distribution on the dynamics of rotor for different type air bearing and different operational condition [6]-[8]. On the other hand, many studies were investigated on numerical solution of this model for obtaining pressure distribution, in other words, load carrying capacity and dynamic characteristics of air bearing [1]. For obtaining pressure distribution between rotor and bearing, Wang and Chang used Newton method [9], Czolczynski used Alternating Direction Implicit method [10], and Wang et al. used Differential Transform and Finite Difference Hybrid Scheme [11]. In 2004, Park and Kim theoretically and experimentally investigated stability of gas lubricated bearing with a new type slot restrictor, they analyzed pressure distribution and measured only rotor motion at x and y coordinate axes [12]. Chen et al. investigated dynamics characteristic of various geometric designs of externally pressurized air journal bearings for high-speed spindles under different operational conditions. They experimentally evaluated stiffness using the relationship of force and displacement at different feeding parameters. They concluded that the stiffness could be improved with high supply pressure, high L/D ratio and increased orifice diameter [13]. Belforte et al. considered, in an experimental study the effect of discharge coefficient on the pressure distribution. They analyzed pressure distribution with different supply pressure and two types of air feeding systems which were annular orifices and simple orifices with feed pocket [14].

In this study, pressure distribution of externally pressurized air bearing was investigated numerically and experimentally. Air flow between rotor and bearing is modeled using Reynolds’ equation and solved using Differential Transform & Finite Difference Hybrid numerical solution method. Then an experimental test rig is designed to measure the internal pressure distribution of externally pressurized air bearing and constant mass flow rate [2]. In 1961, Laub experimentally and theoretically investigated for a semi-cylindrical journal bearing with 9 orifices and a cylindrical journal bearing with 48 orifices each row and he measured pressure between rotor and bearing [3]. He concluded that air flow between rotor and bearing was consist of axial and circumferential flow and improved the air flow model.
pressure of a point on the control surface. The results show that the numerical simulation values are in good correlation with the experimental data.

II. MATHEMATICAL MODEL AND NUMERICAL ANALYSIS

A. Mathematical Modeling and Solution of Air Flow and Bearing-Rotor System

The bearing-rotor system which supported by externally pressurized air bearing, orifices position and coordinate system using for mathematical model are illustrated Fig. 1.

Fig. 1 Externally pressurized air bearing rotor system and forces

In externally pressurized air bearing which is illustrated in Fig. 2, air flow between rotor and bearing surfaces is modeled by using Reynold’s equation with dimensionless parameter and it is given in (2),

\[ p = \frac{P}{P_a}, \quad h = cH, \quad x = R\theta, \quad z = R\xi, \]
\[ U = \omega, \quad \Lambda = \frac{6\mu\omega}{P_a} \left(\frac{R}{c}\right)^2, \quad \sigma = \frac{12\mu}{R^2P_a}, \]
\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left[ H'\frac{\partial p}{\partial \theta} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \left[ H'\frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi} \right] + Q = \Lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (PH) + \sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} (PH) \]

where \(P_a\) is atmospheric pressure, \(H\) is radial clearance function, \(\theta\) and \(\xi\) cylindrical coordinate systems, and \(Q\) is dimensionless mass flow rate which is given in detail [15].

The Reynold’s equation is solved using Differential Transform & Finite Difference Hybrid method for pressure distribution between rotors and bearing [16]. The boundary conditions for the solution could be summarized as follows;
1) At the ends of the bearing, pressure value is equal to atmosphere, \(P(0, \theta) = P(L, \theta) = P_{atm}\)
2) Pressure distribution is a symmetric function for center of bearing length, \(P(0 \rightarrow L/2, \theta) = P(L/2 \rightarrow L, \theta)\)
3) Pressure distribution is a continuous at \(\xi = 0\)
4) Pressure distribution is a periodic function \(P(\xi, \theta) = P(\xi + 2\pi, \theta)\)

An iterative procedure, which is based on Runge-Kutta algorithm, issued to obtain motion of the rotor at Cartesian coordinate axes and this is also described in detail in [15]. In this algorithm the external forces are obtained integrating pressure distribution on supporting surfaces of bearings which is obtained by solving Reynold’s equation given in (3)

\[ W_r = p_a R^2 \int_0^{2\pi/2} P(\xi, \theta) \cos \theta d\xi d\theta \]
\[ W_i = p_a R^2 \int_0^{2\pi/2} P(\xi, \theta) \sin \theta d\xi d\theta \]

III. EXPERIMENTAL STUDY

In order to validate numerical solution of the pressure distribution an experimental setup is designed. The externally pressurized air bearing-rotor system used in experimental study is illustrated in Fig. 3. In this system, a rotor is supported by two identical externally pressurized air bearings.

Fig. 3 Major components of externally pressurized air bearing test system
The air bearings have four orifices distributed along the circumference evenly at the middle line of the width. The length to diameter ratio L/D = 2. In the test two different bearing set is used with different radial clearances 75 µm and 125 µm. Rotor lengths is 380 mm and rotor diameter is 24.75 mm. The air supplied to the air bearings with conditioned compressor using identical tubing to ensure identical pressure and flow rate at the orifices. And an air turbine system which is located on the rotor is used to give rotation in order to get rid of disturbances caused by mechanical connections and drives such as coupling, driving belt etc.

Schematic representation of the experimental set up with sensors and data acquisition system (DAQ) is given in Fig. 4 and the picture of one of the air bearing is given in Fig. 5. Internal pressures are measured with Kulite Semiconductor HEM-375 pressure transducers and the speed of the rotor is measured with B&K MM-0024 non-contact tachometer. A PC based DAQ system with NI-6052 card is used to measure and store sensor data.

![Fig. 4 Schematic view of experimental setup](image)

### IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

A rotor supported with a pair of air bearing system is analyzed numerically and experimentally to investigate the behavior of internal pressure between the rotor and the bearing clearance. The geometrical dimensions and the air properties used in the simulations are given in Table I. In the simulation and experimental study two different set of air bearing is used with 75µm and 125µm internal clearances.

![Fig. 5 The air bearing with pressure sensor](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE I</th>
<th>BEARINGS GEOMETRY AND AIR PROPERTIES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Symbol</td>
<td>Quantity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>µ</td>
<td>absolute viscosity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T°</td>
<td>absolute temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R°</td>
<td>gas constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L_R</td>
<td>rotor length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D_R</td>
<td>rotor diameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d_o</td>
<td>orifices diameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P_s</td>
<td>supply pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L/D</td>
<td>ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>number of orifices</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**A. Numerical Results**

Pressure distribution between rotor and bearing is obtained using Differential Transform & Finite Difference Hybrid numerical solution method. In this numerical solution, solution grid is 64x96 grids respectively rotor length and circumferential direction, time step is $1 \times 10^{-3}$ and convergence criteria is defined as $10^{-6}$ between solution steps. Fig. 6 shows three dimensional static pressure distribution of gas bearing under the supply pressure of 3 atm and radial clearance 75 µm. In this simulation the rotor held at the geometrical center of the bearings, i.e. eccentricity is zero, so, pressure distribution is symmetric along the circumferential direction. On the other hand, pressures values at orifices exist are maximum and these values decrease to the ambient (exhaust) pressure levels towards to the end of the bearing.

![Fig. 6 3D view of pressure distribution at supply pressure 3 atm, eccentricity 0 and radial clearance 75 µm](image)

Pressure values at the air bearing middle cross-section along circumferential direction of gas bearing is given in Fig. 7 for 75 µm and 125 µm radial clearances with the supply pressure 3 atm. The pressure values have lower values for higher radial clearance, because the gap volume is higher.

Pressure values between rotor and bearing change with the dynamics of the rotor motion. In this study, equation of motions is simulated with Reynold’s equation to obtain internal pressure values between gas bearing and rotor. The supply pressure is set to 3 atm and rotor angular velocity is chosen as 10,000 rpm.

Figs. 8 and 9 show change of pressure value of right and left hand side bearing with respect to time at location of pressure sensor respectively. The radial clearances are 75 µm for both simulations.
Fig. 7 Pressure values at circumferential direction for different radial clearances, supply pressure 3 atm

Fig. 8 Pressure values of left bearing vs. time at supply pressure 3 atm and radial clearance 75 µm

Fig. 9 Pressure values of right bearing vs. time at supply pressure 3 atm and radial clearance 75 µm

Fig. 10 Pressure values of left bearing vs. time at supply pressure 3 atm and radial clearance 125 µm

Fig. 11 Pressure values of right bearing vs. time at supply pressure 3 atm and radial clearance 125 µm

Figs. 10 and 11 also show change of pressure value of right and left hand side bearings with respect to time at location of pressure sensor for radial clearance 125 µm.

B. Experimental Results

In order to validate the simulation results a series of tests conducted using the same parameters in the simulations. Pressure signal is acquired with pressure transducers and data are analyzed in Matlab environment [17]. The rotor speed is set to 10,000 rpm using non-contact tachometer and air supplied to turbine is cut to eliminate distribution due to rotation excitation. 1 s of data collected for each test with the sample rate of 10 kHz for each channel. Fig. 12 shows the raw data which is collected from the left hand side bearing pressure transducer. As seen in the figure, raw data has a lot of fluctuation and noise due to high sample rate. Thus, in order to analyze and compare the pressure data, all pressure signals are filtered with a 200 Hz low-pass Butterworth filter which is designed in MATLAB [17].

Fig. 12 Pressure data of left bearing vs. time at supply pressure 3 atm and radial clearance 75 µm

Figs. 13 and 14 show variation of internal pressure on the left and right hand side bearing respectively for 75 µm clearance value.

In the air bearing-rotor system, radial clearance between rotor and bearing is an important parameter of the pressure distribution. The variation of internal pressure for 125 µm radial clearances, also is tested with the same operational conditions, and given in Figs. 15 and 16 for the left and right hand side bearing respectively.
In order to compare numerical and experimental results of pressure distribution, variation limits, mean and RMS values of the internal pressure data are calculated for both support bearings. Tables II and III list the comparison values for 75 µm and 125 µm radial clearances respectively. As seen in the values listed in tables, the difference between experimental and numerical results is quite reasonable. Although the mean or RMS values are almost equal for numerical and experimental results, the variation limits, the minimum and the maximum values of the oscillation, are always higher for experimental results. In the numerical simulation, the pressure distribution is obtained from the solution of Reynold’s equation with Differential Transform & Finite Difference Hybrid method. This algorithm has an inherent instability and in order to stabilize solution, a convergence criterion is defined. This criterion controls the variation of pressure values between solution steps. So, it is the reason for close variation around the equilibrium value for pressure distributions.

### Table II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scalar Measures</th>
<th>( c = 75 \text{ µm} )</th>
<th>Numerical (atm)</th>
<th>Experiment (atm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>1.5516</td>
<td>2.6890</td>
<td>1.2309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>1.3915</td>
<td>0.1671</td>
<td>1.1625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMS</td>
<td>1.4819</td>
<td>1.4867</td>
<td>1.2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>1.4815</td>
<td>1.4666</td>
<td>1.2004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table III

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scalar Measures</th>
<th>( c = 75 \text{ µm} )</th>
<th>Numerical (atm)</th>
<th>Experiment (atm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>1.5528</td>
<td>2.2332</td>
<td>1.2315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>1.3961</td>
<td>0.5925</td>
<td>1.1621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMS</td>
<td>1.4804</td>
<td>1.4334</td>
<td>1.2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>1.4808</td>
<td>1.4242</td>
<td>1.2003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### V. Conclusions

A rotor supported by two identical air bearing is numerically simulated and experimentally tested to validate pressure distribution in air bearings. The numerical and experimental results show close correlation for the equilibrium state which is calculated as mean value of the pressure variation. However, oscillation amplitude of the numerical values are lower than the experimental values due to convergence criteria defined in the numerical solution of Reynold’s equation. The proposed model for the dynamic behavior of the air bearing is satisfactory, but, in order to obtain closer correlation for the numerical model, the values of convergence criteria must be optimized and also different air bearing set with different operational parameters such as supply pressure and rotation speeds needed.
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