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Abstract—The goal of this experiment is to evaluate the effectiveness of different leachate pre-treatment options in terms of COD and ammonia removal. This research focused on the evaluation of physical-chemical methods for pre-treatment of leachate that would be effective and rapid in order to satisfy the requirements of the sewer discharge by-laws. The four pre-treatment options evaluated were: air stripping, chemical coagulation, electro-coagulation and advanced oxidation with sodium ferrate. Chemical coagulation reported the best COD removal rate at 43%, compared to 18% for both air stripping and electro-coagulation, and 20% for oxidation with sodium ferrate. On the other hand, air stripping was far superior to the other treatment options in terms of ammonia removal with 86%. Oxidation with sodium ferrate reached only 16%, while chemical coagulation and electro-coagulation removed less than 10%. When combined, air stripping and chemical coagulation removed up to 50% COD and 85% ammonia.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Leachate collected at the Brady Road Resource Management Facility (BRRMF) is hauled daily by trucks across the city of Winnipeg, Manitoba, to treatment at the City’s largest North End Water Pollution Control Center (NEWPCC) located 35 km away. This leachate management method is potentially dangerous in case of a traffic accident. The smaller plant Winnipeg’s South End Water Pollution Control Center (SEWPCC), in the vicinity of the BRRMF, is converting to biological nutrient removal. Separate tests demonstrated that hauled waste had the potential to impact the nitrifier growth rate. It was suggested that pre-treating leachate onsite of the BRRMF would allow for direct discharge to the sewer connected to SEWPCC, thus avoiding problems of truck transport to the much larger NEWPCC.

Physicochemical treatment of leachate is the most common practice, with processes such as flotation, adsorption, precipitation, pH adjustment, filtration, oxidation being used as pretreatment before further biological degradation, or as a final step to improve the final effluent characteristics [1], [2]. The pretreatment options selected for this research were: air stripping which focus on the removal of ammonia, chemical coagulation and electro-coagulation which target the removal of colloidal particles in order to reduce the chemical oxygen demand (COD) and advanced oxidation with sodium ferrate to provide a complete degradation of organic contaminants.

Air stripping is the most common procedure used to lower high concentrations of ammonia in landfill leachate, with reported ammonia removal rates of around 95% [3]-[5]. It involves the mass transfer of a gas from the liquid phase to the gas phase by increasing the contact surface area available between the liquid and another gas which has a much lower concentration of the gas to be removed [6]. The procedure typically employs air to strip ammonia, odorous gases and other volatile compounds. For wastewater applications, the procedure is usually carried by using a trickling filter or stripping tower, where the wastewater is dispersed into a medium to maximize the surface contact area between the liquid and the air.

Chemical coagulation is a simple technique widely used to remove non-biodegradable organic compounds from landfill leachate [7]-[9]. The main idea behind chemical coagulation is to destabilize colloidal particles (around 0.01 to 1 μm) present in wastewater. Adding a coagulant compound neutralizes the electrical charges maintaining the colloids in suspension, then by rapidly mixing the collision of particles increase their size and they can be removed by traditional methods such as settling or filtration [6]. Aluminum sulfate (alum), ferrous sulfate and ferric chloride are among the most commonly used chemical coagulants for leachate. Research by [2], [9] and [7] found that iron salts produced better results than aluminum salts in terms of turbidity and COD removal in leachate, a claim also supported by the literature review presented in [2] where the expected COD removal rate is in the order of 50-60%. A wide range of “optimum” pH values for chemical coagulation of leachate using iron salts have been proposed. This can be explained by the various hydrolyzed species that Fe$^{3+}$ can form depending on the sample’s pH (acidic conditions: poly-nuclear cations like Fe$_2$(OH)$_3$$^{2+}$, basic conditions: anions like Fe(OH)$_3$) [10].

Electro-coagulation is a procedure that involves the formation of the coagulant by electrolytic oxidation of a sacrificial electrode, the destabilization of the contaminants and the eventual aggregation into flocs and removal by precipitation and/or filtration methods. The metal ions
generated from the sacrificial electrode hydrolyze into polymeric hydroxides, which are excellent coagulation agents [11]. Compared to traditional chemical coagulation, electro-coagulation advantages include less sludge production and avoiding transferring needless compounds into the leachate [12]. Due to the numerous and sensitive parameters governing this technique (electrode material and contact area, type and amount of current, voltage, contact time) its application is not very common for the treatment of landfill leachate. High turbidity and color removal rates are reported, but COD removal rates range from 32% to 90% [12], [13].

Advanced oxidation with ferrate (VI) salts has recently been studied for disinfection purposes in wastewater and water treatment [14], [15]. Ferrate salts are very strong chemical oxidants. As they are being reduced, they produce ferric hydroxide which additionally serves as a coagulant agent [16], [17]. Two main compounds usually used are sodium ferrate (Na2FeO4) and potassium ferrate (K2FeO4).

The main goal of this research was to assess the effectiveness of the selected physical-chemical methods for the pretreatment of landfill leachate in terms of ammonia and COD removal. Additionally, the effect of the pre-treated leachate mixing with wastewater at different percentage on the nitrification performance of BNR system was also evaluated.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. Sample Collection and Leachate Characterization

Two samples were taken from BRRMF on August 2013 (300 L) and February 2014 (100 L), to evaluate the variation in leachate composition between summer and winter. Due to variation of the chemical composition of leachate within the landfill, a sample was collected from two leachate collection wells and mixed in 1:1 ratio to be representative. Based on the historical landfill leachate data, leachate was collected from one well that has highest BOD values, and from the other well that has the highest COD, ammonia and heavy metals concentrations among all the wells in the landfill. High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) carboys were used for collecting leachate. Leachate was filled to the top to reduce headspace in the containers and maintain anaerobic conditions. The samples were stored at 4 °C to limit biological degradation. The main characteristic of the sampled leachate are presented in Table I.

B. Analytical Methods

COD, Total Nitrogen (TN) and Total Phosphorus (TP) were measured using HACH digestion vials. BOD, and TSS measurements were carried out following laboratory procedures according to the Standard Methods [18]. Dissolved organic carbon (DOC) analyses were performed using the Fusion Total Organic Carbon Analyzer (TELEDYNE TEKMAR). Ammonia (NH3 -N), was measured using an automatic flow injection analyzer Quick Chem 8500, LACHAT Instruments.

C. Leachate Pretreatment

1. Air Stripping

Clear polyvinyl chloride (PVC) reactors (20 cm in diameter and 40 cm in height) with 4.0 L working volume were used for evaluating this treatment option. Mixing speed was set at 125 rpm. Two operational conditions were tested: (1) mixing with no air flow; (2) mixing with 1 L air/L/min. Four pH conditions were also evaluated: original pH of the sample (7.0), 10.0, 11.0 and 12.0. The tests were conducted for 48 hours, with samples taken at intervals to determine the best treatment duration. The reactors were operated at room temperature (21 ± 2°C).

2. Chemical Coagulation

Chemical coagulation was carried out using Ferric Chloride solution (FeCl3). A set of preliminary tests were conducted without pH adjustment of the sample (approximate pH = 7.2) with different coagulant dosages to determine the optimal range. Based on the results, a range from 34 to 172 mg as Fe/L (corresponding to a range from 100 to 500 mg FeCl3/L) was determined. Additionally, based on the assumption that colloidal particles are negatively charged, the chemical coagulation tests were carried out under acidic conditions, at an expected optimal value of 5.0. Following the procedure on ASTM D2035-13 [18], a typical Jar test apparatus was then employed to evaluate the coagulant doses at different pH values: 7.0 (original pH of the sample), 6.0, 5.0 and 4.0.

3. Electro-Coagulation

The electro-coagulation tests were conducted using 2 high purity Iron electrodes with an effective surface area of 45 cm2 and a 2.0 cm gap between them in a 1 L glass beaker. The following parameters were evaluated: (1) contact time of 5, 15 and 30 minutes, (2) pH values: original of the sample (7.0), 8.0 and 6.0 and (3) current density: 50, 100, 200 and 300 A/m2. Current was supplied by a KEPCO DC power source (Model BOP 100-2D, 0 to ±100V, 0 to ±2A).

4. Advanced Oxidation with Sodium Ferrate

Sodium ferrate (Na2FeO4) was produced in the laboratory following a wet oxidation procedure where hypochlorite was used to oxidize an iron salt under a strong alkaline environment [19]. Due to the rapid degradation of this compound, the solution was prepared and used on the same day. Ferrate concentration was measured using a UV-Visible Spectrophotometer (Ultrospec 2100 pro, Biochrom Ltd.) at a wavelength of 510 nm. Molar absorption coefficient: 1150 M-
1 cm⁻¹, cell path length: 10 cm. The dose range tested in the jar test system was from 50 to 200 mg Fe/L in 50 mg increments, and the pH conditions were the original pH of the sample (7.4), 6.0, 5.0 and 4.0. For pH reduction, an 18% w/w solution of HCl was used. For this test, the additional measurement of dissolved organic carbon (DOC) was introduced to better evaluate the effect on the organic fraction of the leachate.

D. Combination of Pretreatment Options

Based on the removal percentages of the two parameters (COD and ammonia) obtained from previous tests, chemical coagulation and air stripping were selected as the best treatment options (see results in Table 3). These two options were combined under their optimal conditions to further evaluate the removal efficiency:

**Combination 1:**
- Air stripping for 48 hours, air flow of 1 L/L/min, pH adjusted to 11.0 with NaOH
- Chemical coagulation under 3 different options:
  - Option A: pH adjusted down to 5.0 with HCl solution to use FeCl₃
  - Option B: pH not adjusted but still using FeCl₃
  - Option C: pH not adjusted, using 7g/L of CaCO₃ as a coagulant

**Combination 2:**
- Chemical coagulation under 2 options:
  - Option D: pH adjusted down to 5.0 to use FeCl₃, then pH adjusted up to pH 11.0 with NaOH
  - Option E: pH adjusted using 7g/L of CaCO₃
- Air stripping for 48 hours, at an air flow of 1 L/L/min
- For all pH adjustments, a 25% w/w solution of sodium hydroxide (NaOH) or a 18% w/w hydrochloric acid (HCl) solution was used accordingly.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Air Stripping

The overall ammonia removal rate was in the range of 24-95% - Table II. The highest ammonia removal rate was achieved under the condition of pH value of 11 and 12 with no significant difference (2%). Due to the consideration of chemical cost associated with increasing pH, pH 11 was selected as the optimal pH condition for this treatment. One interesting observation was that for all the tests with pH adjustment aeration did not improve the ammonia removal efficiency significantly as expected. On contrast, at pH 11 and 12, reactors without aeration showed slightly better ammonia removal rate. This suggested that pH is the key factor controlling the efficiency of ammonia removal. At high pH (>10) majority of ammonia is in the gas form and mechanical mixing is sufficient for assisting ammonia evaporate from leachate. This observation is important for the real practice as the cost associated with aeration can be expensive.

During this test, it was observed that a thick foam layer was formed over the leachate surface while airflow was applied. The foam layer usually overflowed the reactors during the first 30 minutes of the aeration process, then settled and formed a constant layer (5 to 20 cm) over the surface area. This foam layer dissipated after the air was turned off in less than one minute. Foaming could present serious operation and maintenance issues in a full size application.

The overall COD removal rate was quite low in the range of 0-7%. The results showed that at same pH condition, aeration resulted in slightly higher COD removal than the treatment without aeration. This can be explained by the concept that aeration facilities the removal of volatile of organic compound in the leachate.

B. Chemical Coagulation

In this set of test, different dosages of coagulant FeCl₃ (100-650 mg/L), as well as different pH conditions (pH value of 4, 5, 6 and 7.1), were investigated. The highest COD removal rate of 43% was obtained at pH of 5.0 with FeCl₃ dosage of 500 mg/L (172 mg Fe/L). Tests carried out at the pH of 7.0 (leachate original pH) and at 6.0 produced an average COD removal of only 10%. When the pH is lowered from 5.0 to 4.0, COD removal also dropped from 43% down to 32%. It was, therefore, concluded that pH of 5.0 with 500 mg/L of FeCl₃ was the optimal condition for this pretreatment option in terms of COD removal. Because coagulation targets particulate and ammonia in the leachate is in the dissolved form, therefore, ammonia removal rates from all the tests were insignificant in the range from 1-4%. It was noticed that dosing FeCl₃ resulted excellent TSS removal rate (76-99%) in all the treatment condition. However, it also produced significant amount of sludge. This can be a drawback for the application of this technology.

C. Electro-coagulation

The overall best results were provided by the highest current density (CD) tested (300 A/m²) and the longest contact time (CT) of 30 min. without any pH modification. Under these conditions, COD removal reached 18%. The results for ammonia removal were fair low (average of 2%).

Iron electrodes were used to produce the Ferric ions that acted as a coagulant. It was expected with the same amount of ferric ion produced under certain current density and time; the COD removal rate should be similar to the chemical coagulation. However, the results obtained from electro-coagulation were much lower than the chemical coagulation. At current density of 300 A/m² with 15 minutes, theoretically 261 mg/L of Fe³⁺ were produced. The test conducted under above condition with leachate pH adjustment to 6.0 the COD removal rate obtained was 1%; while using FeCl₃ as coagulant with the same operational condition, much higher COD removal rate of 10% was achieved. Compared to the COD removal results provided in related literature under comparable conditions (32%, [12] and 90% [13]), and to the values obtained for chemical coagulation, the removal rates obtained from our test were much lower than expected.

### TABLE II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pH value</th>
<th>Mixing, without aeration</th>
<th>Mixing with aeration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7 (original)</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>89%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
These results confirm the complex nature of the treatment process and the numerous parameters that interact. A different electrode configuration (larger contact area, smaller gap between electrodes, larger number of electrode pairs) or different electrode materials could provide more positive results.

One of the most common problems associated with this process, is the formation of a scum layer on the electrodes, especially on the anode. The additional layer increases the resistance of the system which in turn demands more voltage to complete the circuit, resulting in higher electrical power consumption. The scum layer was observed during the experiments, but due to the relative short duration of the tests, no significant changes in resistance were measured.

D. Advanced Oxidation with Sodium Ferrate

Ferrate (VI) has been reported as a powerful oxidant and a coagulant. The highest COD and ammonia removal rates obtained from this treatment were 20% and 16%, respectively. These values were obtained under the condition of a pH of 5.0 and a dose of 200 mg Fe/L. Under the same operational condition, using FeCl₃ (172 mg Fe/L) as coagulant provided a much higher COD removal rate of 43%, but a lower ammonia removal rate of 5.7% (see chemical coagulation). In order to better understand the oxidation of COD by ferrate, DOC was measured (shown in Fig. 1). As it can be seen that ferrate is very effective to remove DOC (approximately 64%); however it is not as effective as FeCl₃ in terms of removal of particulate COD presented in the leachate.

With comparison to chemical coagulation, the higher ammonia removal rate (16 % vs 5.7%) can be explained by the oxidation of ammonia by Ferrate (VI) which is different mechanism of ammonia removal from coagulation.

The laboratory procedure followed to prepare the sodium ferrate stock solution was delicate and time consuming. Additionally, the solution must be prepared and used on the same day, as the ferrate compounds are unstable and can degrade in a matter of hours. This indicates that for the application of this particular treatment option, on site generation of the chemical would be required, along with the related operational costs.

E. Pretreatments Comparison

Table III summarized the optimum operation condition for each pretreatment option.

Table IV presents the removal efficiency values obtained under the optimum conditions of each treatment option. The highest COD removal was obtained with chemical coagulation, while for ammonia removal air stripping was the best option.

F. Biodegradability Observations

BOD of the fresh leachate sample was measured at 248 mg/L from a onetime sample. However, this value is consistent with the average of 245 mg/L reported by the landfill laboratory report. The BOD/COD ratio was used as a parameter to estimate “biodegradability” before and after each one of the pre-treatment methods - Table V.

The untreated leachate presents a BOD/COD ratio of 0.12, which is considered as a low biodegradability. The four treatment options did not show any improvement in terms of increasing the BOD/COD ratio. Even more, for the last 2 treatment options the BOD/COD ratio decreased. Electro-coagulation and sodium ferrate had higher BOD removal compared to COD removal (40% BOD removal versus 18% COD removal for electro-coagulation, for example). This shows that the last two treatment options were more efficient targeting and degrading biodegradable compounds. This would indicate that these options would yield better results applied to leachate with a higher BOD concentration.

G. Combination of Selected Pretreatment

Removal efficiency results for Combination 1 (Air stripping first, then chemical coagulation) are presented in Table VI. When the pH was directly modified at the start of the test (Column A) the removal efficiency presented the highest values. Not modifying the pH (Column B) or the substitution of ferric chloride for calcium carbonate (Column C) provided zero or little contribution.
The idea behind electro-coagulation was to provide the necessary surface area for the release of the gas. Both processes provided similar pre-treatment results for the leachate, with COD and ammonia removal rate in the range of 45% to 50% and 83% to 85%, respectively. Additionally, the substitution of ferric chloride for calcium carbonate (Columns C and E) proved to be less efficient.

### IV. CONCLUSION

Four methods of leachate pre-treatment were evaluated to determine the most efficient procedure in terms of COD and ammonia removal: air stripping, chemical coagulation, electro-coagulation and oxidation with sodium ferrate. Chemical coagulation provided the overall best COD removal rate at 43%, while air stripping provided an ammonia removal rate of 86%, superior to the results from the other pre-treatments for this compound. Chemical coagulation reduces COD by destabilizing the electric charges of colloidal particles and removing said particles by precipitation, co-precipitation (sweeping effect) and/or filtration. On the other hand, air stripping relies on the desorption of ammonia from the liquid by providing the right conditions (pH, temperature, contact surface area, etc.) to favor the release of the gas.

The idea behind electro-coagulation was to provide the same iron salts (as chemical coagulation) without the additional compounds to minimize sludge production and costs. However, the delicate set of parameters needed to optimize the treatment to a specific leachate was not favorable. In the case of advanced oxidation with sodium ferrate, the compound was theorized to degrade recalcitrant compounds thus lowering the COD and providing a more biodegradable leachate. The long and complicated procedure to prepare and dose the right amount of sodium ferrate made the pre-treatment not efficient for the objectives of this research.

Pre-treating leachate with a combination of air stripping and chemical coagulation was shown to effectively reduce ammonia (83-85% removal) and COD (45-50% removal) from the leachate. The order in which the methods were applied did not affect the overall efficiency of the combined treatment.
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