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Abstract—In the present study, the properties of Al-Al$_2$O$_3$ nanocomposite hollow sphere structures were investigated. For this reason, the Al-based nanocomposite hollow spheres with different amounts of nano-alumina reinforcement (0-10 wt.%) and different ratio of thickness to diameter (t/D: 0.06-0.3) were prepared via a powder metallurgy method. Then, the effect of mentioned parameters was studied on physical and quasi static mechanical properties of their related prepared structures (open/closed cell) such as density, hardness, strength, and energy absorption. It was found that, as the t/D ratio increases the relative density, compressive strength and energy absorption increase. The highest values of strength and energy absorption were obtained from the specimen with 5 wt. % of nanoparticle reinforcement, t/D of 0.3 (t=1 mm, D=400 µm) as 22.88 MPa and 13.24 MJ/m$^3$, respectively. The moderate specific strength of prepared composites in the present study showed the good consistency with the properties of others low carbon steel composite with similar structure.
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I. INTRODUCTION

LIGHTNESS, high specific strength [1], and energy absorption [2] introduce the metal foams as the potential materials for several strategic applications [3]. Hollow sphere structures are a new class of metal foams which have some certain specifications such as properties, controllability and tailoring [4]. In order to enhance the final properties of metal foams making composite materials is a solution [5]. The addition of reinforcement to cell wall or matrix materials in hollow sphere structures can deliver the composite metal foams with different properties [6]. Also, the thickness to diameter ratio (t/D) of spheres is an important factor which affects the specific mechanical properties [7].

In the present work, the properties of Al-Al$_2$O$_3$ nanocomposite hollow sphere structures were characterized and the effect of t/D ratio and nanoparticle reinforcement on final properties was investigated. Finally, the results compared with others research.

II. EXPERIMENTAL

The Al-Al$_2$O$_3$ nanocomposite hollow sphere structures were prepared using powder metallurgy technique. For this reason, individual hollow spheres were produced by fluidized bed coating with different content of Al$_2$O$_3$ (0, 2.5 and 5 wt.%) in t/D ratios of 0.06, 0.11, 0.18 and 0.30. Then, the open/closed cell structures were prepared using the PM route. The sample preparation procedure is given elsewhere [8], [9]. Table I is given the prepared foam samples specifications. The density and quasi static compressive strength and energy absorption of specimens were characterized. The effect of t/D and nanoparticle addition on the mentioned properties was investigated.

TABLE I FOAM SAMPLES SPECIFICATIONS AND DENSITY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Code</th>
<th>t/D ratio</th>
<th>Structure bulk density (g/cm$^3$)</th>
<th>Structure relative density (%)</th>
<th>Matrix Porosity (%)</th>
<th>Sphere Wall Thickness (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HSS-R0-D3.5-t400</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>27.21</td>
<td>16.00</td>
<td>13.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSS-R2.5-D3.5-t200</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>26.64</td>
<td>17.00</td>
<td>12.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSS-R5-D3.5-t200</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>27.54</td>
<td>17.00</td>
<td>15.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSS-R0-D3.5-t400</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>34.19</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>11.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSS-R2.5-D3.5-t400</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>33.94</td>
<td>16.00</td>
<td>12.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSS-R5-D3.5-t400</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>34.06</td>
<td>16.00</td>
<td>12.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSS-R0-D1-t200</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>41.18</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>12.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSS-R5-D1-t200</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>40.94</td>
<td>17.00</td>
<td>13.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSS-R0-D1-t400</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>52.57</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>12.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSS-R5-D1-t400</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>51.09</td>
<td>17.00</td>
<td>11.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sample designation: HSS-R5-D3.5-t400. HSS: Al based Hollow Sphere, Structure, R5: Reinforcement content (5wt. %), D3.5: Sphere diameter (3.5 mm), t400: mean sphere wall thickness (400 µm)

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. The Effect of t/D Ratio on Density

Table I gives the bulk and relative density of foam specimens. Fig. 1 shows the closed cell foam structure density versus t/D. As it is seen, an increase in t/D leads to increase the sphere density and as a result final foam structures.

Fig. 1 The density of closed cell structures versus t/D ratio
B. The Effect of t/D Ratio and Reinforcement on Hardness

Fig. 2 has shown the effect of nano-alumina reinforcement content on microstructure of spheres’ wall and matrix for several samples. The hardness in both cases increased as the nanoparticle reinforcement increased. Also, the hardness value levels of sphere wall are higher than nanocomposite matrix. As the porosity level in sphere walls are less than matrix one, increasing alumina content lead to an increase in composite hardness because of inherent higher hardness of alumina particles. This issue simply is evaluated by mixtures law:

\[ H_c = H_m f_m + H_r f_r \]  

where \( H_r \), \( H_m \) and \( H_c \) are hardness of reinforcement, matrix and composite and \( f_r \) and \( f_m \) are volume fraction of reinforcement and matrix, respectively [10].

The presence of nanoparticles lead to higher values of hardness due to the nanoparticles’ higher surface area and lower level of defects in fine particles in comparing with coarse one. Also, as the particle size decreases, there exist more obstacles for grain growth; so the higher hardness is predictable.

Investigating the effect of t/D on hardness values showed no significant difference between micro-hardness values for samples with similar t/D ratios. This suggests that for hardness evaluation, the factors such as porosity and reinforcement content are more effective than size effect.

C. Compressive Strength and Energy Absorption

Fig. 3 has shown the stress-strain curves for some composite foam. As seen, all curves indicate the behavior feature of metal foams included three distinct regimes: linear elastic region up to 5% strain, a plateau region in relatively constant stress with high strain values and a densification region up to 50-60% strain similar to bulk materials. The oscillations in the plateau region in the curves are related to buckling of sphere walls [11].

D. The Effect of Density and Reinforcement on Strength and Energy Absorption

Figs. 4 and 5 have shown the effect of closed cell structure density on the compressive strength and energy absorption of samples with 5 wt.% of nanoparticles addition and without nanoparticles. As seen increasing relative density lead to increase in compressive strength and energy absorption. Also, in a given relative density, the addition of nanoparticle reinforcement leads to increasing compressive strength and energy absorption. As the relative density increases the porosity level in structure decreases and as a result the load bearing surface increases. Therefore, strength and energy absorption (area under curve) increase.

E. The Effect of Sphere Size, t/D and Reinforcement on Strength and Energy Absorption

Fig. 6 shows the influence of size effect, sphere wall thickness and nanoparticle additive on the compressive strength of composite foams. As it is seen, in a given wall thickness as the sphere size decreases from 3.5 to 1.0 mm, the compressive strength of final structure increases. Also, the compressive strength in a given sphere size is higher in case of thicker sphere walls. Furthermore, nanoparticle reinforcement
leads to increase in compressive strength than the structures without additives.

The large size of hollow spheres has harmful effects on mechanical properties. Smaller hollow spheres have better mechanical properties because the higher the curvature of the wall gives higher values of compressive strength and mechanical stability. This issue is in consistent with [12] and [3] researches about hollow spheres.

Fig. 7 illustrates the effect of t/D on compressive strength of several structures with and without reinforcements. As seen, the strength increases as the t/D increases. The thickness to diameter ratio significantly affects the stress distribution pattern. As the t/D ratio increases, the maximum bending stress situation in lateral sphere wall transferred from wall-anvil contacts to sphere center line which lead to changes in wall fracture modes. When t/D ratio decreases, the maximum stress in wall transferred from sphere center line to wall anvil contacts and leads to decrease in compressive strength [13].

The foam energy absorption increases as t/D increases as well. According to aforementioned subjects about the relation between t/D and density higher t/D values has the higher capacity of load bearing and as a result lead to increasing energy absorption from area under stress- strain curve.

The composite foam with 200 µm wall thickness, 5 wt.% of nanoparticles, had the compressive strength value by 40% more than low carbon steel composite foam in similar sphere size [14]. The studied composite foam revealed the good energy absorption which in higher values are 6-10 times more than Al foams and Georgia Tech steel hollow spheres [15] and equal to Franhufer stainless steel hollow sphere structures [16].

IV. CONCLUSIONS

The effect of t/D ratio and nanoparticle reinforcement was investigated on the properties of hollow sphere structures based on Al-Al₂O₃ nanocomposites. The results showed:
- For hardness evaluation, the factors such as porosity and reinforcement content are more effective than size effect.
- In a given wall thickness as the sphere size decreases from 3.5 to 1.0 mm, the compressive strength of final structure increases. Likewise, the compressive strength in a given domain size is higher in case of thicker sphere walls.
- The composite foam with 200 µm wall thickness, 5 wt. % of nanoparticles, had the compressive strength value by 40% more than low carbon steel composite foam in similar sphere size.
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