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Abstract—This article explains the elements and considerations taken into account when implementing and applying patent evaluation and scientometric study in the identifications of technology trends, and the tools that led to the implementation of a software application for patent revision. Univariate analysis helped recognize the technological leaders in the field of energy, and steered the way for a multivariate analysis of this sample, which allowed for a graphical description of the techniques of mature technologies, as well as the detection of emerging technologies. This article ends with a validation of the methodology as applied to the case of fuel cells.
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I. INTRODUCTION

TECHNOLOGICAL surveillance refers to “the organization making the continuous, systematic, organized effort to observe, gather, analyze, and accurately spread and recall information about the facts of its economic, technological, social or commercial environment which are relevant to it due to their ability for signaling an opportunity or a threat for it” [1], [2]. The cited authors pose “what” and “how” as the two key questions for starting any benchmarking project. Reference [3] proposes to obtain from technological maps information about what is occurring in a specific technological area: what subjects are being researched, what are the emerging lines of research, what are the leading researcher companies and teams, among other aspects [4].

II. METHODOLOGY

A. Representativeness

This process of patent revision is taken as a census, because all of the individuals (patents) having the characteristics of the object of study are taken into account [5]. The patents analyzed in this study were selected from the United States Patent Office database, freely accessible via internet at http://www.uspto.gov.

B. Patent Selection

The study was limited to the innovations generated in the last five years. In line with this criterion, the selected patents have an application date between January 1st, 2000 (01/01/2000) and July 13th, 2005 (13/07/2005).

The second criterion consisted in that the patents should include in any field the keywords selected by the research team. These were the words biomass, energy, and generation. The combinations of these words resulted in three database queries with the criteria: biomass; energy and generation, and lastly energy and biomass.
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C. Storage

Seeking ease of storage, formatting and further processing, the decision was made to save only the abstract of the patents, because this allowed to perform the study with minimum loss of non-representative information.

This is justified in the fact that the information under study is present in both the abstract and the full text of a patent.

Two tools of the Microsoft Office suite were employed for storage and depuration of the initial information: Microsoft Word and Microsoft Excel. They were chosen because of their relatively low cost and high penetration in the Colombian workplace. Storage in word processor format was temporary and followed two reasons: the difficulty of directly transferring the text of the patent to a spreadsheet with the desired format, and the convenience of some editing features absent in Excel and needed for the application of the selected tool.

D. Processing

Once duplicated entries were deleted, the most words that appeared with the most frequency in the selected patents were identified.

The most frequent words were purged of adverbs, adjectives and other words that for grammatical reasons tend to reappear but do not constitute a trend or a significant contribution to the studied technique.

In these revision a list of excluded words was made, and is shown in Table I.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE I EXCLUDED WORDS EXAMPLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>an</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>any</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Besides, a synonym list was built as well. It comprises those words that, despite not having exactly the same meaning, are considered as such for the technical purpose of reducing the number of keywords and, therefore, dispersion.

With the list of excluded words and synonyms completed, the patents underwent revision prior to the elaboration of a table where the intersection of each patent with a given keyword corresponded to zero (0) if it was not found, and to one (1) otherwise.

II. UNIVARIATE ANALYSIS OF THE PATENTS

Univariate analysis helps identify other important aspects for competitive intelligence, such as technological leaders (countries or institutions that hold the most patents on a subject), as well as a specific subject’s growth or decrement in
patentability, which is useful in the detection on emerging
technologies or the assumption of the coming-of-age or
extinction of others [6].
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Table II shows the institutions holding the most patents on
energy generation within the selected sample.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Patents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General Motors Corporation</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ballard Power Systems AG</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Electric Company</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honda Giken Kagori Kabushiki Kaisha</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clean Energy Systems, Inc.</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delphi Technologies</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ford Motor Company</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toyota Jidoshia Kabushiki Kaisha</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canon Kabushiki Kaisha (Tokyo, JP)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fujitsu Limited (Kawasaki, JP)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hitachi Ltd</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L’Air Liquide SA</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matsushita Electric Industrial Co Ltd</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plug Power Inc.</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relion, Inc.</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Boeing Company</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ballard Power Systems Inc. (Burnaby, CA)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The clear leader in energy generation is the automobile
company General Motors Corporation, followed by the
German Ballard Power Systems AG, which holds a total 13
patents if its U.S. filial Ballard Power Systems Inc. is counted in.

III. TECHNOLOGICAL MAPS MADE FROM THE EXAMINED PATENTS

Technological map of the whole sample. In order to detect
dominant trends a map was elaborated with all the patent
information. The groups of words that appear nearest each
other in the map indicate that they are mentioned together in
several patents; this is known as concurrence. The elaboration
of this map did not consider the words generate, energy and
power, present in nearly all the selected patents, so that less
obvious associations between words could be found.

In this first map five word groups can be distinguished (four
encircled and one left unmarked). Concurrence of words
within a group may be due to two main reasons: they
correspond to a mature technology, or they belong to a
growing one. This is why it is important to contrast with
univariate analysis.

A detailed description of each group follows:

- **Group 1:** Not really a group since only the word biomass
  is present. The growth in patent applications in this field
during the last five years may be considered a trend,
although the existence of a trend in biomass-based energy
generation cannot be stated with assurance.

- **Group 2:** Composed of the words water, heat, combustion,
  air, steam, temperature, exhaust, turbine, thermal, carbon and pressure. This group doubtless corresponds to a mature technology, i.e. steam-based energy generation, which does not constitute a new trend.

- **Group 3:** Composed of the words fuel and cell.
Observation of the sustained growth of patent applications with the word fuel prompts the suspicion that a technological trend exists involving both words fuel and cell. A revision of the technological leaders found that three out of the top seven patent holders have product lines with fuel cells, which may mean that a trend has been detected.

- **Group 4**: Composed of the words electric, battery, current, voltage, circuit, capacitor, among others. This group corresponds clearly to innovations in devices for conventional ways of generation of electricity.

- **Group 5**: Left unmarked due to its diversity and illegibly superimposed items. A more detailed analysis of this group requires the strategy shown below.

A trend appears in a technological map as a set of words that appear near each other and separated from the central items. A trend can be confirmed by tracing a new map, where the words corresponding to other groups suspected to constitute trends as well are intentionally left out.
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**Fig. 2** Technological map of energy generation, traced without taking biomass into consideration

Observation of Fig. 2 confirms the fuel cell trend, because once the information corresponding to biomass is left out, this group stays together, far from the central cloud (group 1) in Fig. 2. It is possible to notice how the identified trends move, but the structure of the clusters remain.

It is noteworthy to remark on the presence of group 4, which contains the words anode, cathode, methanol, hydrogen and membrane, which serve to explain the functioning and classification of fuel cells. Also, the groups related to electricity and steam-based generation (groups 2 and 3) are still observed.

Upon deletion of the words corresponding to fuel cells, the biomass-based energy generation trend is confirmed. However, specifications about how these innovations work cannot be drawn from this map.

The terms closest to biomass relate to water, carbon, waste, compression, and reactors. Next to the origin point are the terms biogas, biofuel and biowaste, but not close enough to biomass to assume a direct link as explained by the map. This could be construed as a normal feature of an expanding technique where efforts are not directed toward specific aspects, but towards isolated or disjointed initiatives. The groups linked to electricity and steam reappear here.

In order to find explanations to what was observed near the origin point, a further map was made (not shown here) in which both trends were absent. There, the groups of words that had appeared since the beginning, corresponding to electricity and steam-based energy generation, remain predominant. With this methodology, two emerging technologies were identified, and as many mature technologies were confirmed. One of the detected technologies, biomass-based energy generation, is of a general nature, and the other
one, fuel cells, is more specific. The next section shows the results of applying this methodology to patents related to fuel cells.

IV. APPLICATION OF THE METHODOLOGY TO FUEL CELL LITERATURE

With the aim of validating the methodology, it was decided to apply it to a set of 171 patents that included the words fuel and cell in their abstracts. These patents were granted by the U.S. Patent Office between January 1st, 2000 and July 13th, 2005. Five groups were identified.

- Group 1: Trends in the applicability of fuel cells in vehicles as a substitute for internal combustion engines as a source of power. Structural design for these vehicles is in study because all of the components of a fuel-powered car are electrical, and this allows designers to place any component anywhere in the vehicle.

- Group 2: Describes the functioning of a fuel cell, which is composed of two electrodes kept apart by an electrolyte. When hydrogen is ionized, it yields and electron, and both hydrogen and electron take separate routes toward a second electrode. The hydrogen atom migrates through the electrolyte, while the electron does it via a conductive material. This process will form water, electrical current and utilisable heat. This word group likewise emphasizes the development of cells of direct methanol, which produce a considerable percentage of efficiency. These use a polymer membrane as its electrolyte.

- Group 3: Explains the trend towards using direct methanol cells instead of proton exchange cells due to the fact that the former do not use a reformer to obtain the hydrogen that feeds the cell. However, the functioning of the latter is widely developed in systems of vehicle propulsion. For generating utilizable amounts of current, the fuel cells are put to work together in stack. An increase is likewise observed in the use of cogeneration systems based on a PEM fuel cell, which contains a natural gas reformer that converts this fuel into hydrogen to feed the cell, a heat exchanger that reduces the temperature of exit gases, and control systems for monitoring.

- Group 4: As a consequence of the commercial applications of fuel cells, based on both proton exchange and direct methanol, a trend is found toward the development of products that improve their efficiency. Such is the case of antifreeze, because water steam is the emission these cells produce, and may become a problem in subzero conditions. Similarly, due to their applicability to automobiles, the development of these products leads to infer that these cell systems may be used in countries with very cold climates.

- Group 5: The trend of applying cells for electronics and automobile industry has led to the development of electronic systems (power converters) to control the flow

Fig. 3 Technological map of energy generation without taking fuel cells into consideration
of auxiliary energy in electric cars. This application is ideal for urban areas because a vehicle with an electric engine can have excellent torque.
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