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Abstract—This paper treats a design of combined control of a single phase power factor correction (PFC). The strategy of the proposed control is based on two parts, the first, for the outer loop (DC output regulated voltage), and the second govern the input current of the converter in order to achieve a sinusoidal form in phase with the grid voltage. Two kinds of regulators are used, Fuzzy controller for the outer loop and predictive controller for the inner loop. The controllers are verified and discussed through simulation under MATLAB/Simulink platform. Also an experimental confirmation is applied. Results present a high dynamic performance under various parameters changes.
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I. INTRODUCTION

RECENTLY, the power converters have been employed in a wide range of industrial sectors and energy conversion. Due to increase energy demands and appear renewable energy (photovoltaic and wind), researchers in power converters have become an interest topic today. Moreover, more than 60% of the utility power dissipated before it is finally consumed due to: network pollution, harmonics distortion and insufficiency of power supplies; for these reasons, many countries in the world created legalism to limit energy dissipation. One of these laws is producing an electronics appliances have a high-performance with low harmonic distortion. To fulfill these goals, power factor corrector (PFC) appears such as an enabling technology for improvement of power supplies. The main feature of latest power converters that improved the requirement of efficiency, fast operation and sophistication in performance, have been achieved by a switching mode operation. This later includes two states (ON/OFF) fashion and has been developed due to new invention in semiconductor technologies [1].

In literature, there are many kinds of power converters. Among these converters, the boost converter is currently the most popular, which is used in a wide range of electronic appliances.

To ensure the converted AC/DC, usually a bridge of diodes is used, this later tends to have a non-sinusoidal current and a low power factor nearly to 0.6 due to nonlinear behavior of circuit. To solve this problem one mean possible: add PFC circuit. Moreover, the cost global of devices will be increased with 10 to 25%; on the other hand, the cost of saved energy will cover the cost of PFC.

Active PFC increases in recent few years in wide range of industrial power supplies. This later involves a pre-regulator between the rectifier and the load (Fig. 1) [2]. By controlling of applied switching, the current will be taken its sinusoidal drawn like source voltage, also the total harmonic distortion (THD) will be decreased. Several control strategies have been proposed for the control of boost converters, such as PI control [3], neural networks [4], predictive control [5]-[8], fuzzy logic control [9] sliding mode control [2], and other [10], [11]. Taking into account the efficiency of devices, ensuring conversion with less weight and cost. The fuzzy logic with predictive method can be a suitable solution.

Fuzzy logic is considered as solution when a high nonlinearity and ambiguity of the system have been considered. It is used in many areas such as: identification, control and diagnostic of a complex system [12]. The fuzzy logic is founded by Zadeh in 1963 [13]. Construction and understand are very easy because the controller does not need mathematical modeling; According to Zadeh concept, the system behavior is converted to linguist rules, this later is used to develop a control strategy [14]. The application of fuzzy logic for power factor corrector can give improvements [9], because it can enhance the performance of the system and offers good responses during the variation of load values or output voltage reference value. There is several works which use fuzzy logic for APFC, but these works apply high number of rules, which will exhibit an additional cost and decrease the rapidity of devices. So, in this work we try using FLC with a few rules number compared to previous works. Meanwhile, the predictive control has been introduced to current control, this later has been extended for a wide range of power converter and electric derives. This kind of control allows the system to present good robustness.

The primary task of this work is to develop a new method based on predictive control and Takagi Sugeno Fuzzy logic for single phase active power factor correction in order to supply by sinusoidal current in phase with grid voltage. The simulation under Matlab/Simulink and its implementation on real time by using dSPACE1104 are carried out for improve the efficiency and possibility of commercialization this technique in future. Also, several robustness tests have been done, by the changing load values and output voltage reference.

II. MATHEMATICAL MODELING

The basic conception of the boost converter is illustrated in Fig. 1. According to state of the switch, two function modes are founded. The first mode operation Fig. 1 (b), in this case
the switch $S$ is turned on ($S=1$), the current will be accumulates. Also, the energy has been saved in the inductor and the capacitor supplies the load. The second mode operation Fig. 1 (c), the switch $S$ is turned off ($S=0$). The quantity of stored energy in the inductor and the source energy both will be transferred to the output (capacitor–load). Moreover, the power circuit has been changed his mode. The model of boost PFC can be represented as (1) [2], [3], [9].

To get a sinusoidal current in phase with the source voltage, a control law has been introduced, to achieve a way that the resistive load equal to the ratio of $v_{in}$ and $i_L$.

III. PREDICTIVE CURRENT CONTROL

Predictive control become increasingly popular over some decades, its application for power electronics is rather new. Due to its attractive characteristics, the predictive control changes the concept of classical controller, which unable or less its performance if it’s integrated with the new power converters that use a switching mode operation. In this work predictive control has been used for control of the converter switch. Taking into account the state of the switch from the circuit shown in Fig. 1, the equations of the current of inductor $i_L(t)$ for each state can be expressed as [8]:

For switch $S$ is turned on:

$$\frac{\text{d}i_L}{\text{d}t} = (1-S)i_L - i_o$$ (1)

For switch $S$ is turned off:

$$\frac{\text{d}i_L}{\text{d}t} = v_{in} - (1-S)v_o$$

To achieve a way that the resistive load equal to the ratio of $v_{in}$ and $i_L$.

The diagram shown in Fig. 2 presents the inductor current during one switching cycle [8].

At instant $t(k)+d(k).T_s$ the inductor current can be derived as (6):

$$i_L(t(k)+d(k).T_s) = i_L(t(k)) + \frac{d}{T_s}v_{in}(t(k))d(k).T_s$$ (6)
At the start time of switching cycle \((k+1)^{th}\), the inductor current can be derived as follow:

\[
i_l(t(k+1)) = i_l(t(k)) + \frac{1}{L} (v_{in}(t(k)) - v_o(t(k))) \cdot (1-d(k)) \cdot T_s.
\]  

(7)

Substituting (6) and (7), the inductor current can be derived as:

\[
i_l(t(k+1)) = i_l(t(k)) + \frac{1}{L} v_{in}(t(k)) \cdot T_s - \frac{1}{L} v_o(t(k)) \cdot (1-d(k)) \cdot T_s.
\]  

(8)

The discrete form of (8) can be expressed as:

\[
i_L(k+1) = i_L(k) + \frac{v_{in}(k) \cdot T_s}{L} - \frac{v_o(k) \cdot (1-d(k)) \cdot T_s}{L}.
\]  

(9)

According to (9), the inductor current at the next switching cycle is calculated by the inductor current at the present switching cycle.

To calculate the duty cycle \(d(k)\), (8) can be rewritten as:

\[
d(k) = \frac{L}{v_o(k)} i_L(k) + \frac{v_{in}(k) \cdot T_s}{v_o(k)} - \frac{v_o(k) \cdot (1-d(k)) \cdot T_s}{v_o(k)}.
\]  

(10)

Through the boost circuits parameters such as input voltage, output voltage and inductor current, the duty cycle \(d(k)\) for the actual switching cycle has been calculated.

For designed the boost converter with APFC, the inductor current \(i_L(k+1)\) has been forced to follow his reference \(i_{ref}(k+1)\), which have a sinusoidal form.

Substituting \(V_o\), \(i_L(k+1)\) in (10) by his references, the duty cycle can be expressed as:

\[
d(k) = \frac{L}{v_o(k)} i_{ref}(k+1) - i_L(k) + \frac{v_{ref}(k) - v_o(k)}{v_{ref}(k)}.
\]  

(11)

The reference current \(i_{ref}\) in (11) has been calculated as (12):

\[
i_{ref}(k+1) = K_{fuzzy} \sin(\omega_{ref} \cdot t(k+1)).
\]  

(12)

\(K_{fuzzy}\) is the peak value of the reference current, that given by the output of the voltage loop controller, which detailed in the following section.

IV. FUZZY LOGIC BASED DC BUS VOLTAGE CONTROLLER

Due to its simple structure and robustness, FLC became vital in very important researchers in many fields of electrical engineering. The research for enhancing the characteristics of FLC does not abrupt since 1973. In this work, the FLC has been used in order to regulate and maintain dc-link voltage of the boost converter that depicted in Fig. 1 in desired value.

The FLC contains two inputs variables Fig. 3, the first is error \(e(k)\), which calculated such as deference between measured dc-link voltage and desired reference voltage, the second input consists of the change in voltage error \(\Delta e(k)\), this later helps the controller to be fast and efficient. As output, the reference current \(i_{ref}\) is produced.

According to Fig. 3, the controller is normalized by using scaling factors to get an optimal performance as follow:

\[
\begin{align*}
u_k &= u_{k-1} + K_u \Delta u_k, \\
\Delta e &= K_{e} \Delta e, \\
e &= K_{e} \cdot e
\end{align*}
\]  

(13)

A total of 25 rules are designed to get the best performance of the controller as illustrated in Table I.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE I</th>
<th>FUZZY RULES TABLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Error (e(k))</td>
<td>Change in Error (\Delta e(k))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB</td>
<td>NB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>NB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PB</td>
<td>ZE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
All steps of the proposed method are as Fig. 6.

**Step 1.** Identification of the parameters.
**Step 2.** Determinate of suitable voltage and introduce the FLC for regulation.
**Step 3.** Determinate $I_{ref}$ through FLC for efficient of the suitable mode and introduce predictive control.
**Step 4.** Verified that of all duty cycles are calculated by predictive control.
**Step 5.** Exploitation of the converter.

![Fig. 6 Flowchart of applied method](image)

**V. SIMULATION RESULTS**

The simulation of the model was carried out through the MATLAB/Simulink environment with a fixed-step size of 40e-6 s; the main parameters of the model are given in Table II. Simulation results of the system with constant load (200 Ω) and constant DC link voltage (110V) are shown in Fig. 7. Moreover, various simulation tests have been done to evaluate the dynamic performance of the controller during the variation of DC link voltage reference as given in Fig. 8 and steps of load as shown in Fig. 9.

According to Figs. 1-9, it can be observed that the proposed control strategy is robust and did not influenced during the changes in parameters (load, output voltage reference), the current take a sinusoidal form and in phase with the grid voltage, steady state error and settling time are enhanced and the output voltage follows the reference value perfectly.

![Fig. 7 Simulation results, input and output voltage, input current](image)

**VI. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

To validate of the proposed method, an experimental test bench has been developed as shown in Fig. 10 in LAS laboratory, Setif 1 University, Algeria. The prototype contains: Inverter (SEMIKRON, 20KVA, 1200V, 50A) used such as rectifier, transformer (12KVA, 380/220 V), current sensors, voltage sensors. The control program has been simulated in MATLAB/Simulink environment and implanted on real time through the dSPACE RTI1104.

![Fig. 10 Experimental test bench components: (1: PC, 2: dSPACE I/O connectors, 3: Power Analyzer, 4: Scope, 5: transformer, 6: resistive load, 7: Inverter, 8: voltage sensor, 9: current sensor](image)

**TABLE II**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CIRCUIT PARAMETERS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Switching frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resistance load</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Input inductance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-link voltage reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source voltage frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supply voltage (RMS)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Fig. 8 Variation of output voltage from 110V to 160V](image)

![Fig. 9 Variation of load from 200Ω to 100Ω and from 100Ω to 200Ω](image)
**Test 1:** The first test has been done without APFC controller, the experimental results in Fig. 11; presents a poor performance; induce a high THD around 52.8% with a lower PF around 0.78. Also, the current take a bad waveform due to load influence. These results affirm the importance of APFC.

Fig. 11 Experimental results without APFC: Source voltage (50V/div), input and inductor current (1A/div) and DC voltage (100V/div)

**Test 2:** In this test, the model is tested with APFC, results are presented in Figs. 12 and 13, which highlight the effect of APFC with fixed load (200 Ω) and output voltage (110 V), it’s observed that the output voltage follows the desired reference, the source current is in phase with the input voltage. Hence, the THD is around 5% and PF is nearly 0.992, have been improved compared to the previous tests.

Fig. 12 Experimental measurement: PF, voltage and current of source

Test under load changes: in this test a load variation was applied, in order to test the influence of the load perturbation. Fig. 14 shows a slight remoteness of the output voltage due to sudden load changes, but did not decrease the system performance.

Fig. 14 Experimental results for load variation, output DC voltage (50V/div) and input current (2A/div)

**Test under voltage reference changes:** the value of output voltage reference has risen around (160v), the results as shown in Fig.15, and these later shows that the output voltage follows the reference with a lower settling time.

Fig. 15 Experimental results for voltage variation, increasing from 110 V to 160 V, decreasing from 160 V to 110 V

**VII. CONCLUSION**

In this work, the control of single phase power factor correction has been studied, which consists of a two intelligent controllers, fuzzy logic and predictive technique, which is simple and yet efficient. The proposed techniques have successfully simulated and implanted on real time through dSPACE 1104. The simulation results have been presented...
and confirmed by experimental tests, in both, a high efficiency is obtained, which resides in, unity power factor, reduced harmonic distortion, robustness during parameters variations (time response). As a future perspective, an artificial neural network will be introduced to optimize the scaling factor of fuzzy logic in case where they are an ambiguity in measurement.
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