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**Abstract**—This work investigated possible inductions of CaC₂, often misused by fruit vendors to stimulate artificial ripening, on mammalian sperm morphology and viability. Thirty isogenic strains of male albino mice, *Mus musculus* (age ≈ 8 weeks; weight= 32.5±2.0g) were acclimatized (ambient temperature 28.0±1.0°C) for 2 weeks and fed standard growers mash and water ad libitum. They were later exposed to graded toxicant concentrations (w/w) of 2.5000, 1.2500, 0.6250, and 0.3125% in 4 cages. A control cage was also established. After 5 weeks, 3 animals from each cage were sacrificed by cervical dislocation and the cauda epididymis excised. Sperm morphology and viability were determined by microscopic procedures. The ANOVA, means plots, Student’s t-test and variation plots were used to analyze data. The common abnormalities observed included Double Head, Pin Head, Knobbed Head, No Tail and With Hook. The higher toxicant concentrations induced significantly lower body weights [F(829.899) > F(4.19)] and more abnormalities [F(26.52) > F(4.00)] at P < 0.05. Sperm cells in the control setup were significantly more viable than those in the 0.625% (t=0.005) and 2.500% toxicant doses (t=0.018) at the 95% confidence limit. CaC₂ appeared to induced morphological abnormalities and reduced viability in sperm cells of *M. musculus*.

**Keywords**—Artificial ripening, Calcium carbide, fruit vendors, sperm morphology, sperm viability.

I. INTRODUCTION

**Calcium Carbide** (CaC₂) in its pure state is colourless, though most of the industrially produced impure ones are black or greyish-white in colour, depending on the quality. The main use of CaC₂ is in the production of flammable acetylene gas for welding and fabrication works. According to [1], once in contact with moisture, CaC₂ releases acetylene, a gas which also has fruit ripening characteristics, similar to ethylene. The reaction is as:

\[
CaC_2 + 2H_2O \rightarrow Ca(OH)_2 + C_2H_2
\]

(1)

In natural conditions, fruits get ripened by the action of a ripening hormone in the fruit. During this process, a wide spectrum of biochemical changes takes place, such as chlorophyll degradation, biosynthesis of carotenoids (antioxidants, immune system booster, anti-cancer agent), anthocyanins (powerful antioxidants), essential oils, as well as flavour and aroma components [2]. A similar action is also induced by the action of CaC₂ in artificial conditions. So, this has created a trend of the use of carbide gas from CaC₂ to ripen fruits in especially our cities; a practice that could portend hazard to the human body, especially as the chemical could also contain traces of arsenic and phosphorus [2]. Direct consumption of acetylene has been found to be detrimental as it reduces oxygen supply to the brain and can further lead to prolonged hypoxia [3]. Impurities like arsenic and phosphorus found in industrial grade CaC₂ may cause dizziness, frequent thirst, irritation in mouth and nose, weakness, permanent skin damage, difficulty in swallowing, vomiting, and skin ulcer among workers who are in direct contact with these chemicals while applying the ripening agent. Higher exposures may even cause undesired fluid build-up in lungs (pulmonary edema) [4]. According to [5], chemicals including CaC₂ and acetylene gas have also shown other adverse effects including memory loss, neurological system failure, cerebral edema, colonic lung cancer, quick-buck syndrome [6], deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA), ribonucleic acid (RNA) and hematological changes [7], and also proliferation of bacteria, fungus and viruses which can cause diarrhea, peptic ulcer and other human diseases when used to ripen fruit.

Although the practice of ripening fruits with carbide has been banned in many countries [7], it is still freely used in Nigeria. Fruit farmers, vendors and wholesalers in some cities of the country rampantly use carbide gas to ripen a variety of fruits such as bananas, mangoes, pineapples, and papayas in commercial centres and market places.

The aim of this research was to ascertain possible qualitative and quantitative inductions of CaC₂ on the morphology and viability of sperm cells of the albino mice, *Mus musculus*. The objectives included determination of possible inductions of CaC₂ on sperm cell morphology of the mice, enumeration of such morphological inductions, as well as determination of possible effects on sperm cells viability.

II. METHODOLOGY

A. Acquisition and Acclimatization of Test Organisms

A total of 30 isogenic strain of male albino mice (*M. musculus*), about 8 weeks old, weighing 32.5±2.0g, and purchased from the Imo State Polytechnic Animal House, Nigeria on the 26th of June 2014, were used as experimental model. The animals were randomly divided into five groups and placed in 530x350x230cm cages with perforated roof lids. The standard cages were bedded with dry wood shavings which were changed every day to prevent growth of maggots. They were acclimatized in the Pollution Laboratory of the Federal University of Technology, Owerri, Nigeria at average
ambient temperature of 28.0±1.0°C for 2 weeks. During this
period, they were fed about 20g standard rodent growers mash
produced by Bendel Food and Flour Mills Nigeria Ltd) and
water ad libitum. Dead animals were watched out for and
removed as soon as possible to avoid contamination.

B. Formulation of Toxicant

CaC2 was obtained from a welding and fabrications
workshop in Obinze, Owerri, Nigeria and ground to powder in
dry mortar. Four different concentrations of the toxicant, viz,
0.5g, 0.25g, 0.125g and 0.0625g were weighed out and
incorporated to make up 20g of toxicant-feed mixture each.
This translates to 2.5000%, 1.2500%, 0.6250% and 0.3125%
toxicant concentrations in 20g feeds (w/w) respectively.

C. Exposure of Test Organisms

After 2 weeks of acclimatization, 5 mice were distributed in
five different cages labeled C1, C2, C3, C4 and CC in
descending order of intended toxicant concentrations, with CC
as the control cage. Thereafter, the animals were fed the
different toxicant concentrations i.e. 0.5g (2.5000%), 0.25g
(1.2500%), 0.125g (0.6250%) and 0.0625g (0.3125%) in 20g
feed mixture. They were also given water ad libitum.

D. Animal Sacrifice and Semen Collection

After exposure period of 5 weeks (35 days), i.e. the peak of
spermagenesis [8], 3 randomly selected mice from each
treatment and control cage were weighed. The animals were
sacrificed by cervical dislocation and quickly dissected to
remove the testes and epididymis. The caudal epididymis was
excised and placed in Petri-dishes containing 1ml of
physiological saline. They were then minced and teased
carefully with fine scissors and forceps to release the
spermatooza.

E. Sperm Viability test

In order to estimate the percentage viability of the sperm
cells after excision, a drop of semen so collected was placed on
a pre-cleaned, grease-free glass slide with a dropper and
covered with a slip. Observation under the X10 magnification
of a light microscope was made for progressive motility and
cells after excision, a drop of semen so collected was placed
on a pre-cleaned, grease-free glass slide with a dropper and
covered with a slip. Observation under the X10 magnification
of a light microscope was made for progressive motility and
X40 objective to count the number of viable and non-viable
spermatooza. The viable spermatooza remained unstained
while the non-viable ones stained red.

F. Sperm Morphology Test

After gentle pipetting of the released spermatooza to
separate the suspension from the tissue fragment, a drop of 1%
Eosin Y solution (10:1) was added and allowed for 30
minutes. A clean glass slide was angularly positioned at 30º to
another clean, grease-free glass slide. The smears were
air-dried, coded, and examined under X40 magnification of
a light microscope. Three separate slides were prepared for
each group (C1-CC). Observation and scoring was done in up
15 fields of view using the tally counter. Each mouse was
assessed for 800 sperm cells for morphological aberration
according to the criteria of [8] and [9].

G. Statistical Analysis

Bivariate and multivariate analyses as provided by the SPSS
software were used to analyze the data. Variation plot was used to represent number of
morphological abnormalities in sperm cells. The single factor ANOVA was used to determine homogeneity in mean
variance of weights and abnormalities induced by the different
concentrations of the toxicant at P<0.05. Means plots were
used to detect structure of group means, and the student’s t
-test was used to make pair-wise comparison in sperm viability
interactions at the 95% confidence limit.

III. RESULTS

A. Effect of Toxicant on Weight of Organisms

Table 1 shows the weight of the animals in different
concentrations of the toxicant after 5 week exposure period.
The highest mean weight (42.59±2.97g) of animals was
recorded in the 0.6250% (0.125g of toxicant in 20g of feed) concentration, while the least mean weight of 34.97±1.82g
was recorded in the 0.3125% (0.00625g of toxicant in 20g of feed) concentration. Animals exposed to the 2.5000% (0.5g
in 20g of feed) recorded mean weight of 40.61±3.87g,
while the mean weight of 39.40±0.11g was recorded in the
1.2500% (0.25g of toxicant in 20g of feed) concentration.
However, animals in the control experimental setup recorded
mean weight of 39.60±1.08g. The ANOVA test revealed that the different concentrations of toxicant induced significantly different weights of the
organisms [F(829.899˃Fcrit(4.19)] at P<0.05.

B. Morphological Abnormalities in Sperm Cells

The common abnormalities observed in sperm cells of the
mice included Double Head (DH), Pin Head (PH), Knobbled
Head (KH), No Tail (NT), and With Hook (WH). The most
abnormalities (1.09±0.15) were recorded in the 1.2500%
toxicant concentration, while the least abnormalities (0.50±
0.38) were observed in the control animals (Table II). In all,
0.86% of sperm cells enumerated in this study were abnormal.
The order of abnormalities induction by toxicant concentrations is 1.2500% (1.09±0.15)>2.500% (1.05±
0.36)>0.6250% (0.84±0.21)>0.3125% (0.84±0.29)>0.0000%
(0.50±0.38).

Cumulative mean sperm cell abnormalities in the various
toxicant concentrations are shown in Fig. 1.

The ANOVA test revealed that the different toxicant
concentrations induced significantly different quantitative

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concentrations/ 20g of feed (%)</th>
<th>Replicate 1</th>
<th>Replicate 2</th>
<th>Replicate 3</th>
<th>Mean weight + SE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.000g (0.00%)</td>
<td>38.93</td>
<td>41.72</td>
<td>38.16</td>
<td>39.60±1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0625g (0.3125%)</td>
<td>38.60</td>
<td>32.96</td>
<td>33.34</td>
<td>34.97±1.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.125g (0.6250%)</td>
<td>40.54</td>
<td>38.78</td>
<td>48.45</td>
<td>42.59±2.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25g (1.2500%)</td>
<td>39.56</td>
<td>39.20</td>
<td>39.45</td>
<td>39.40±0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5g (2.5000%)</td>
<td>42.65</td>
<td>33.13</td>
<td>46.05</td>
<td>40.61±3.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
abnormalities in the sperm cells examined [\( F_{(26.52)} > F_{(0.001,0.00)} \)] at \( P<0.05 \). The post-hoc structure of group means that utilized the different concentrations of the toxicant as predictor variable revealed that the 0.3125% toxicant concentration mostly induced the DH abnormality (Fig. 2), the 0.625% and 1.25% toxicant concentrations mostly induced PH abnormality (Fig. 3), the 0.3125% toxicant concentration mostly induced KH abnormality (Fig. 4), the 1.25% and 2.50% toxicant concentrations mostly induced NT abnormality (Fig. 5), while the control and 2.50% toxicant concentrations mostly induced WH abnormality (Fig. 6).

### Table II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>% toxicant concentration/ 20 g of feed</th>
<th>Abnormalities</th>
<th>Total number of abnormal sperms</th>
<th>% abnormal sperms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DH</td>
<td>PH</td>
<td>KH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.000% (C1)</td>
<td>0.00±0.00</td>
<td>0.00±0.00</td>
<td>0.00±0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3125% (C4)</td>
<td>0.67±0.67</td>
<td>1.00±1.00</td>
<td>2.33±1.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.625% (C3)</td>
<td>0.00±0.00</td>
<td>2.00±.00</td>
<td>2.00±0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2500% (C2)</td>
<td>0.00±0.00</td>
<td>0.00±0.00</td>
<td>5.00±0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5000% (C1)</td>
<td>0.00±0.00</td>
<td>0.67±0.67</td>
<td>2.00±2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total No of cells</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total number of sperm cells scored=12000, Number of sperm cells scored at each toxicant concentration=800, DH = Double Head, PH= Pin Head, DT=Double Tail, KH=Knobbed Head, NT=No Tail, WT=With Hook

---

**Fig. 1** Mean morphological abnormalities in sperm cells of albino mice (M. musculus) induced by different concentrations of CaC2 after 5 weeks

**Fig. 2** Means plot in Double Head (DH) sperm abnormality by toxicant concentrations

**Fig. 3** Means plot in Pin Head (PH) sperm abnormality by toxicant concentrations

**Fig. 4** Means plot in Knobbed Hook (KH) sperm abnormality by toxicant concentrations
C. Viability of Sperm Cells

Sperm cells in the control experiment were about 92% viable, while those in the 0.3125% toxicant concentration were 78% viable. However, sperm cells in the 0.6250%, 1.2500% and 2.5000% toxicant concentrations were 71.7, 62.0 and 60.0% viable respectively (Table III). In numerical terms, 728, 760 and 720 sperm cells were viable in the respective replicates of the control setup, while 544, 640 and 688 sperm cells were viable in the 0.3125% toxicant concentration replicates. In the 1.2500% toxicant concentration, 480, 496 and 512 sperm cells were viable in the replicates, while in the 2.5000% toxicant concentration, 480, 440 and 520 sperm cells were viable in the respective replicate concentrations.

The number of viable sperm cells in the organisms exposed to the different toxicant concentrations differed significantly \( F(4,482.79) > F_{critical} \) at \( P<0.05 \). The student’s t-test revealed that the cells were significantly more viable in the control mice (CC) than in all of those exposed to the 0.625% toxicant concentration (C3) \( (t=0.005) \), 1.250% toxicant concentration (C2) \( (t=0.014) \), and 2.5000% toxicant concentration (C1) \( (t=0.018) \) at the 95% confidence level. Additionally, the number of viable sperms cells in the 0.6250% toxicant concentration (C3) also differed significantly from that in the 1.2500% (C2) and 2.5000% (C1) toxicant concentrations.

### TABLE III

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Toxicant Concentration (g of feed)</th>
<th>Replicates</th>
<th>Total number of sperms scored</th>
<th>Number of viable sperms</th>
<th>% Viability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CCA</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>728</td>
<td>91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCB</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>760</td>
<td>95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCC</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>(mean=92.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4A</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>544</td>
<td>68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4B</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4C</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>688</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>(mean=7.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3A</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>576</td>
<td>72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3B</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3C</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>584</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>(mean=71.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2A</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2B</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>496</td>
<td>62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2C</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>(mean=62.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1A</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1B</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1C</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>(mean=60.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**IV. DISCUSSION**

The toxicant appeared to induce slight weight gains, especially in the organisms exposed to higher concentrations of the chemical after five week exposure period. Weight is often used as a vital sign of health status of organisms. According to [10], while there appear to be a consensus among scientists and clinicians that body weight loss reduces the risk of several chronic diseases, this apparently favourable effect should be balanced against any potentially harmful side effects of weight loss. They further observed that weight loss produced an increase in blood concentration of potentially toxic organochlorine pollutants in animals that can cause elevated plasma and subcutaneous adipose tissue concentrations of the pollutants in obese subjects.

The morphological abnormalities induced in sperm cells of the model organism included Double Head, Pin Head, Knobbed Head, No Tail, and With Hook malformations. These were mostly associated with the higher concentrations of the toxicant, and the With Hook abnormality was proportionally higher and consistent over all other varying types of sperm head abnormalities in the mice. Several authors have also recorded abnormalities in sperm cells of mice exposed to environmental toxicants, including [11] on induction of abnormal sperm morphology in mice by some anthelmintic drugs, [12] on the evaluation of induction of abnormal sperm morphology induced by landfill leachates on mice, [8] on the mutagenic effects of Praziquantel on sperm heads of the mice, and [9] on morphological inductions of
sperm cells near a radio frequency radiation source proximal to residential quarters.

The sperm cells with no tails could have been the result of tails that cut off from the head during experimental preparation and/or storage. The other abnormalities could be due to the induction of point mutations in the early spermatocytes and spermatogenia at the pre-meiotic stages of spermatogenesis [8]. This thus confirms inductions from toxicant exposure, since spermatogenesis in mice normally lasts a period of 35 days (5 weeks) [13]. Further, [14] reported that exposure to chemicals could produce pituitary-hypothalamic or sex hormonal effects which in turn could affects spermatogenesis or cause abnormalities in seminal fluid resulting in functional or structural impairment of the sperm cells of test organisms. In his work on biology of fertilization, [15] states that one of the most enduring needs of reproductive biology is to understand the fundamental cellular mechanisms that control the fertilizing potential of human spermatooza. Earlier, [16] asserted that it is known that defective sperm function remains the single largest defined cause of male infertility. Moreover, it is also clear that male infertility has a multi-factorial origin including genetics, environment, diseases and iatrogenic.

The morphological abnormalities observed among the control mice used in the current work could be as a result of natural mistakes in spermatoozoan differentiating process and abnormal chromosome compliment, an observation also made by [12].

The different toxicant concentrations also caused inductions in sperm cells viability. Obviously, the sperm cells from the control mice were most viable than those exposed to the toxicant concentrations. This compliments observations earlier made with more sperm cell abnormalities associated mainly with the higher toxicant concentrations. Consequently, higher toxicant dosing made sperm cells from the model organisms less viable than those from control group. The implication of this on the reproductive potential of the organisms could range from low birth to outright infertility in the larger mammalian population. Adami et al. [17] asserted that the importance of possible decline in semen quality lies partly in its possible link with other problems of male reproductive organs, such as a widespread rise in the incidence of testicular cancer, and a suggested increase in hypospadias and cryptorchidism in some geographical areas.

V. CONCLUSION

This research revealed slight increase in weights of mice exposure to CaC2 for 5weeks. The common abnormalities induced in the sperm cells of the mammal included Double Head, Pin Head, Knobbled Head, No Tail, and With Hook. Sperm cells in the control experiment were more viable than those in the treatment setup.
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