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Abstract—The investigation of the vibrational character of magnetic cylindrical shells placed in an axial magnetic field has important practical applications. In this work, we study the vibrational behaviour of such a cylindrical shell by making use of the so-called exact space treatment, which does not assume any hypothesis. We discuss the effects of several practically important boundary conditions on the vibrations of the described setup. We find that, for some cases of boundary conditions, e.g. clamped, simply supported or peripherally earthed, as well as for some values of the wave numbers, the vibrational frequencies of the shell are approximately zero. The theoretical and numerical exploration of this fact confirms that the vibrations are absent or attenuate very rapidly. For all the considered cases, the imaginary part of the frequencies is negative, which implies stability for the vibrational process.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The free bending vibrations of metallic shells placed in axial magnetic field are typically investigated by two common methods. The first one is based on averaged theories of plates and shells, while the second approach is based on the so-called exact space treatment. The averaged theories of plates and bending shells are developed quite well. The first hypothesis for developing the bending theory, known as the Kirchhoff-Love hypothesis, was presented by Kirchhoff and further developed by Love (see [1]). The further refinements of these ideas led to the so-called Reissner-Mindlin theories developed by Reissner [2] and Mindlin [3] as in the book [1]. Important contributions to the refined bending theory were made also by Ambartsumyan [4], where the case of zero shear stresses on interfacial surfaces of thin plates is considered. Comprehensive reviews of the above mentioned results can be found in Ozer [5] and Douglas et al. [6]. We have to mention that the application of Kirchhoff-Love and Reissner-Mindlin hypotheses for practical problems has several differences, and there are cases where the refined theories are applicable, and other cases where they are not. Particularly, as it is shown in [7], for investigating the stresses on the tip of the wedge shaped irradiated compound plates, one can effectively use the Reissner-Mindlin theory, while the Kirchhoff-Love theory for this case is not applicable. The averaged approach for plates’ and shells’ free bending problems was developed by Ambartsumyan et al. [8], [9] and Sarkisyan et al. [11]. The modulation problem for thermomagnetoelastic waves in magnetic field was solved by Bagdoyev and Movsisyan [12]. Additionally, significant progress has been made in developing an approach to study the bending of plates and shells using the exact space treatment. This method was described by Novatsky in [13]. Applications of the exact space approach for free bending problems can be found in [14]-[16]. General relationships of magnetoelastic case were obtained by Kaliski [10], Kolski [17], and Baghdasaryan and Belubekyan [18]. Some problems for both cases were solved by Bagdoyev et al. [19]-[25] where the vibrations of magnetoelastic, piezoelectric, and ferromagnetic plates and shells were studied. The situation, when the internal and external surfaces of the shell are free from stresses and the magnetic field is continuous on them, is studied. Comparison of the results presented in these works shows that, for the mentioned cases, the averaged theories are not applicable. Because of that result, in this paper, we will use the exact space approach.

The free vibrations of a circular plate, which are composed of a transversally isotropic, functionally graded piezoelectric material which is placed in a uniform magnetic field, are studied by Dai et al. [26]. The case of functionally graded piezoelectric material is discussed and it is assumed that the material properties depend on the thickness of the circular plate by exponential law. That problem is solved by means of the state space method.

The influence of boundary conditions on vibration characteristics of a shell is very interesting and has practical applications for designing electromagnetic devices. For this reason, we are going to investigate vibrational characteristics of a magnetic shell which is placed in a magnetic field. We are going to study different types of boundary conditions. Particularly, we are considering cases when the internal surface is clamped, simply supported, or peripherally earthed, and we assume the external surface to be free from stresses.

II. THE BASIC EQUATIONS

In our work, we adopt the model described in [17], [19], namely, a cylindrical magnetic shell, which is placed in axial magnetic field \( \vec{H} = \vec{H}_0 + \vec{h} \), as it is shown in Fig. 1.

Here, R is the radius of the cylinder, and 2h is a thickness of the shell. Following [27], we assume the presence of undisturbed and disturbed magnetic fields, \( \vec{H}_0 \) and \( \vec{h} = (h, h_z) \), and we assume a cylindrical symmetry of the problem. By using \( a \) and \( b \), we denote the speeds of longitudinal and transversal elastic waves, and \( \rho \) is going to be the density of shell’s material. In cylindrical coordinates \( (r, z) \), the equations of motion for the shell are:
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\[
\frac{\partial^2 u_r}{\partial t^2} + \frac{b^2}{a^2} \frac{\partial^2 u_r}{\partial z^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u_z}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{a^2} \frac{\partial^2 u_r}{\partial z^2} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial u_r}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{a^2} \frac{\partial^2 u_z}{\partial r^2} = \frac{1}{a^2} \left( \frac{\partial h_r}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial h_z}{\partial z} \right) \tag{1}
\]

where \( \alpha^2 = \frac{H^2}{4\mu_0^2} \), \( \zeta = 1 - \frac{b^2}{a^2} \), and \( \vec{u} = (u_r, u_z) \) is the displacement vector.

\[\vec{h} = \nabla \times (\nabla \times \vec{H}) + \vec{v}_m \Delta \vec{H}; \tag{2}\]

where \( \vec{v} = \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} \) and \( \vec{v}_m \) is the magnetic viscosity.

The disturbed magnetic field vector and electrical potential is defined by the relationships (3) and (4):

\[
\Delta \vec{H} = \left( \Delta h_r - \frac{h_z}{r^2} \right) \vec{e}_r + \Delta h_z \vec{e}_z; \tag{3}
\]

\[
h_r = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r}, \quad h_z = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z}; \tag{4}\]

where \( \vec{e}_r, \vec{e}_z \) are the unit vectors along the axis \( r, z \), and \( \phi \) is the electric potential.

In order to explore the problem completely, we also have to take into consideration the Lorentz force, which can be introduced as (5) and (6):

\[
F_r = -\frac{1}{4\pi \mu_0} (\nabla \times \vec{H}) \times \vec{r}, \quad H_0 = \frac{\partial h_r}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial h_z}{\partial z} \neq 0; \tag{5}
\]

\[
F_z = -\frac{1}{4\pi \mu_0} (\nabla \times \vec{H}) \cdot \vec{z} = 0. \tag{6}\]

From (3), the time derivatives of the magnetic field vector’s projections on \( r, z \) axis are given by:

\[
\frac{\partial h_r}{\partial t} + \frac{b^2}{a^2} \frac{\partial h_r}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial h_z}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{a^2} \frac{\partial h_z}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial h_r}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{a^2} \frac{\partial^2 h_z}{\partial r^2} = \frac{1}{a^2} \left( \frac{\partial^2 h_r}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial^2 h_z}{\partial z^2} \right) \tag{7}\]

III. THE BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

We will consider four types of boundary conditions:

**Type 1.** The internal surface of the shell is clamped, peripherally earthed, while the external surface is free from stresses. Additionally, there is a continuity condition for the magnetic field vector on the external surface.

More precisely, on the internal surface \( r = R - h \), we impose the conditions (8):

\[
u_r = 0, \quad u_z = 0, \quad \psi = 0; \tag{8}\]

while on the external surface \( r = R + h \) we impose:

\[
\sigma_r = 0, \quad \sigma_z = 0, \quad h_r = \vec{h}_r, \quad h_z = \vec{h}_z; \tag{9}\]

**Type 2.** The internal surface of the shell is simply supported, peripherally earthed and, additionally, the external surface is free from stresses. As before, there is a continuity condition for the magnetic field.

On the internal surface \( r = R - h \) we have:

\[
\sigma_r = 0, \quad u_r = 0, \quad \psi = 0. \tag{10}\]

On the external surface \( r = R + h \) we have:

\[
\sigma_r = 0, \quad \sigma_z = 0, \quad h_x = \vec{h}_x, \quad h_z = \vec{h}_z. \tag{11}\]

**Type 3.** Internal surface of the shell is clamped, the external surface is free from stresses, and additionally, we impose continuity condition on the magnetic field vector not only on the external surface, but also on the internal one.

On the Internal surface, \( r = R - h \) we have:

\[
u_r = 0, \quad u_z = 0, \quad h_x = \vec{h}_x, \quad h_z = \vec{h}_z. \tag{12}\]

On the external surface, \( r = R + h \) we have:

\[
\sigma_r = 0, \quad \sigma_z = 0, \quad h_x = \vec{h}_x, \quad h_z = \vec{h}_z. \tag{13}\]

**Type 4:** Internal surface of the shell is simply supported, the external surface is free from stresses, and magnetic field vector continuity condition exists on the internal and external surfaces.

On the internal surface, \( r = R - h \) we have:

\[
\sigma_r = 0, \quad u_r = 0, \quad h_x = \vec{h}_x, \quad h_z = \vec{h}_z. \tag{14}\]

On the external surface, \( r = R + h \) we have:
\[ \sigma_r = 0, \quad \sigma_{\rho} = 0, \quad h_r = \tilde{h}_r, \quad h_\rho = \tilde{h}_\rho. \]  

IV. SOLVING THE EQUATIONS

We are going to assume ansatz (16) for the solutions of (1) and (7):

\[
\begin{align*}
\varphi &= (A_j I_j(\xi_j) + A_j' K_j(\xi_j)) e^{-i\omega tk} + c.c., \\
\varphi &= (B_j I_j(\xi_j) + B_j' K_j(\xi_j)) e^{-i\omega tk} + c.c.; \\
\xi_j &= r\nu_j, \quad j = 1, 2, 3;
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \omega \) and \( k \) are the vibration frequency and the wave number, respectively. \( A_j,...,F_j \) and the corresponding primed quantities are constants. Additionally, summation over the repeated indices \( j = 1...3 \) is implied. Equations (16) have the form of a plane wave which is propagating along the \( z \)-axis. Here, \( I_{\nu,3}, K_{\nu,3} \) are the Bessel functions of the second kind of complex argument. We are going to make use of the well-known relationships of Bessel functions (17):

\[
\begin{align*}
&I_{\nu}'(\xi) = I_{\nu}(\xi); \quad K_{\nu}'(\xi) = -K_{\nu}(\xi), \\
&\frac{dI_{\nu}(\xi)}{d\xi} + \frac{1}{\xi} I_{\nu}(\xi) = I_{\nu-1}(\xi), \\
&\frac{dK_{\nu}(\xi)}{d\xi} + \frac{1}{\xi} K_{\nu}(\xi) = -K_{\nu-1}(\xi).
\end{align*}
\]

By plugging (16) into (1) and (7), we find:

\[
\begin{align*}
A_j \left( \nu_j^2 - \frac{b^2}{a^2} k^2 + \frac{\omega^2}{a^2} \right) + \xi \omega k v_j B_j &= \frac{a^2}{a^2} H_0(v_j D_j - i\nu C_j), \\
\left( \nu_j^2 - \frac{b^2}{a^2} k^2 + \frac{\omega^2}{a^2} \right) B_j &= \xi \omega k v_j A_j = 0; \\
C_j \left( -i\omega + \nu_m \nu_j^2 - v_j^2 \right) &= -i\nu \omega A_j, \\
D_j \left( -i\omega + \nu_m \nu_j^2 - v_j^2 \right) &= i\xi \omega k A_j; \\
A_j' \left( \nu_j^2 - \frac{b^2}{a^2} k^2 + \frac{\omega^2}{a^2} \right) - \xi \omega k v_j B_j &= \frac{a^2}{a^2} H_0(-v_j D_j - i\nu C_j), \\
B_j' \left( \nu_j^2 - \frac{b^2}{a^2} k^2 + \frac{\omega^2}{a^2} \right) - \xi \omega k A_j &= 0; \\
C_j' \left( -i\omega + \nu_m \nu_j^2 - v_j^2 \right) &= i\nu \omega A_j', \\
D_j' \left( -i\omega + \nu_m \nu_j^2 - v_j^2 \right) &= i\xi \omega k A_j'; \\
D_j = F_j v_j', \quad C_j = ikF_j, \\
D_j' = F_j v_j', \quad C_j' = ikF_j'.
\end{align*}
\]

Accordingly, we obtain a system of four linear and homogeneous algebraic equations on coefficients \( A_j, B_j, C_j, D_j \) and another similar system for \( A_j', B_j', C_j', D_j' \).

Requiring system (18) to have non-trivial solutions, we impose:

\[
\begin{vmatrix}
\xi \omega k v_j & \nu_j^2 - \frac{b^2}{a^2} k^2 + \frac{\omega^2}{a^2} & \xi \nu k v_j & \frac{a^2}{a^2} \\
0 & -\omega \nu v_m & 0 \nu_m & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -i\omega + \nu_m \nu_j^2 - v_j^2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -i\omega + \nu_m \nu_j^2 - v_j^2 \\
\end{vmatrix} = 0.
\]

In the following, we assume \( \frac{a^2}{a^2} \ll 1 \) and also small values for \( v_m \). From the determinant equation (19), we find:

\[
\begin{align*}
v_j^2 &= k^2 - \frac{\omega^2}{a^2} \left( k^2 - \frac{\omega^2}{a^2} \right) \left( 1 - i\nu \omega v_m \right), \\
v_j^2 &= k^2 - \frac{\omega^2}{a^2} k^2 - i \frac{\omega^2}{b^2} \nu_m \nu_j^2 v_j, \\
v_j^2 &= k^2 - i \frac{\omega^2}{a^2} \left( \frac{a^2}{b^2} k^2 + i \frac{\omega^2}{a^2} \nu_m \nu_j^2 \right).
\end{align*}
\]

We now impose the boundary conditions.

**Type 1.** Expressing the coefficients \( B_j \) and \( B_j' \) through \( A_j \) and \( A_j' \) at \( r = R + h \), we have

\[
A_j I_j((R-h)\nu_j) + A_j' K_j((R-h)\nu_j) = 0; \quad (23)
\]

In what follows, we assume \( \frac{a^2}{a^2} \ll 1 \) and also small values for \( v_m \). From the determinant equation (19), we find:

\[
\begin{align*}
-\xi \omega k v_j + \frac{a^2}{a^2} A_j I_j((R-h)\nu_j) + \\
\left( \frac{b^2}{a^2} v_j^2 - k^2 + \frac{\omega^2}{a^2} \right) A_j I_j((R-h)\nu_j) = 0; \\
+ \xi \omega k v_j + A_j' K_j((R-h)\nu_j) = 0; \\
\left( \frac{b^2}{a^2} v_j^2 - k^2 + \frac{\omega^2}{a^2} \right) A_j' K_j((R-h)\nu_j) = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

Using the continuity of magnetic field vector on the external surface, we have for \( r > R + h \):
\[ \vec{h} = \vec{D}H_{jk}(\vec{r})e^{i\omega \vec{r}} + c.c., \quad \vec{h}_l = \vec{D}H_{jk}(\vec{r})e^{i\omega \vec{r}} + c.c. \quad (26) \]

In the region outside the shell the electrical potential satisfies (27):
\[ \Delta \varphi = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial z^2} = \frac{\partial \vec{h}}{\partial r} + \frac{\vec{h}_l}{r} = 0. \quad (27) \]

Plugging (26) into (27), we can find:
\[ -\vec{D} + ik\vec{C} = 0. \quad (28) \]

From (9), we have
\[ D_jI_j((R + h)\nu_j) + D_j^*K_j((R + h)\nu_j) = i\vec{C}K_j[(R + h)k]; \quad \] or
\[ \frac{ok}{-i\omega + v_a k^2 - v_j^2} \left( A_jI_j((R + h)\nu_j) + A_j^*K_j((R + h)\nu_j) \right) = \]
\[ = \frac{\omega}{v_a k^2 k}\left( A_jI_j((R + h)\nu_j) - A_jI_j((R + h)\nu_j) \right); \quad (30) \]

From the stresses-free conditions, we have:
\[ \zeta ik \left\{ -\frac{\zeta ikv_j}{b^2 - a^2} A_jI_j((R + h)\nu_j) + \right. \]
\[ + \frac{\zeta ikv_j}{b^2 - a^2} A_j^*K_j((R + h)\nu_j) \right. \]
\[ + v_j \left( A_jI_j((R + h)\nu_j) + A_j^*K_j((R + h)\nu_j) \right) + \]
\[ + \zeta ik \left( A_jI_j((R + h)\nu_j) + A_j^*K_j((R + h)\nu_j) \right) = 0; \quad (32) \]

\[ \nu_j \left\{ \frac{\zeta ik v_j}{b^2 - a^2} A_jI_j((R + h)\nu_j) + \right. \]
\[ + \frac{\zeta ik v_j}{b^2 - a^2} A_j^*K_j((R + h)\nu_j) \right. \]
\[ - i\omega \left( A_jI_j((R + h)\nu_j) + A_j^*K_j((R + h)\nu_j) \right) = 0; \quad (32) \]

where \( \zeta' = \zeta - b^2 / a^2 \), and in (26), (31) and (32), we have summation over \( j \) running from 1 to 3. Accordingly, we found six linear, homogeneous, algebraic equations on coefficients \( A_{2,3} \) and \( A_{2,3}^* \). Analogously, the condition of (26), (31), and (32) having non-trivial solutions can be presented as:
\[ \det \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_{ij} \end{bmatrix} = 0, \quad i, j = 1, 2, ..., 6; \quad (33) \]

\[ \alpha_{ij} = I_j((R - h)\nu_j) \alpha_{ip} = K_j((R - h)\nu_p) \]
\[ \alpha_{2_2} = \frac{\zeta ik v_j}{b^2 - a^2} I_j((R - h)\nu_j) \]
\[ \alpha_{2_2} = \frac{\zeta ik v_p}{b^2 - a^2} K_j((R - h)\nu_p) \]
\[ \alpha_{2_2} = \frac{\zeta ik v_p}{b^2 - a^2} I_j((R - h)\nu_j) \]
\[ \alpha_{2_2} = \frac{\zeta ik v_p}{b^2 - a^2} K_j((R - h)\nu_p) \]
\[ \alpha_{2_2} = \frac{\zeta ik v_p}{b^2 - a^2} I_j((R - h)\nu_j) \]
\[ \alpha_{2_2} = \frac{\zeta ik v_p}{b^2 - a^2} K_j((R - h)\nu_p) \]

Type 2. The difference between the type-1 boundary condition and the type-2 is only in the first stress relationship:
\[ v_j \left( A_jI_j((R - h)\nu_j) + A_j^*K_j((R - h)\nu_j) \right) + \]
\[ + \frac{\zeta' \omega}{R - h} \left( A_jI_j((R - h)\nu_j) + A_j^*K_j((R - h)\nu_j) \right) + \]
\[ + \zeta ik \left\{ \frac{\zeta ik v_j}{b^2 - a^2} A_jI_j((R - h)\nu_j) + \right. \]
\[ + \frac{\zeta ik v_p}{b^2 - a^2} A_j^*K_j((R - h)\nu_p) + \]
\[ + \zeta ik \left( A_jI_j((R - h)\nu_j) + A_j^*K_j((R - h)\nu_j) \right) + \]
\[ + \zeta ik \left\{ \frac{\zeta ik v_j}{b^2 - a^2} A_jI_j((R - h)\nu_j) + \right. \]
\[ + \frac{\zeta ik v_p}{b^2 - a^2} A_j^*K_p((R - h)\nu_j) + \]
\[ + \zeta ik \left( A_jI_j((R - h)\nu_j) + A_j^*K_j((R - h)\nu_j) \right) + \]
\[ + \zeta ik \left\{ \frac{\zeta ik v_j}{b^2 - a^2} A_jI_j((R - h)\nu_j) + \right. \]
\[ + \frac{\zeta ik v_p}{b^2 - a^2} A_j^*K_p((R - h)\nu_j) + \]
\[ + \zeta ik \left( A_jI_j((R - h)\nu_j) + A_j^*K_j((R - h)\nu_j) \right) + \]
\[ + \zeta ik \left\{ \frac{\zeta ik v_j}{b^2 - a^2} A_jI_j((R - h)\nu_j) + \right. \]
\[ + \frac{\zeta ik v_p}{b^2 - a^2} A_j^*K_p((R - h)\nu_j) + \]
\[ + \zeta ik \left( A_jI_j((R - h)\nu_j) + A_j^*K_j((R - h)\nu_j) \right) + \]
\[ + \zeta ik \left\{ \frac{\zeta ik v_j}{b^2 - a^2} A_jI_j((R - h)\nu_j) + \right. \]
\[ + \frac{\zeta ik v_p}{b^2 - a^2} A_j^*K_p((R - h)\nu_j) + \]
\[ + \zeta ik \left( A_jI_j((R - h)\nu_j) + A_j^*K_j((R - h)\nu_j) \right) + \]
\[ + \zeta ik \left\{ \frac{\zeta ik v_j}{b^2 - a^2} A_jI_j((R - h)\nu_j) + \right. \]
\[ + \frac{\zeta ik v_p}{b^2 - a^2} A_j^*K_p((R - h)\nu_j) + \]
\[ + \zeta ik \left( A_jI_j((R - h)\nu_j) + A_j^*K_j((R - h)\nu_j) \right) + \]
\[ + \zeta ik \left\{ \frac{\zeta ik v_j}{b^2 - a^2} A_jI_j((R - h)\nu_j) + \right. \]
\[ + \frac{\zeta ik v_p}{b^2 - a^2} A_j^*K_p((R - h)\nu_j) + \]
\[ + \zeta ik \left( A_jI_j((R - h)\nu_j) + A_j^*K_j((R - h)\nu_j) \right) + \]
\[ + \zeta ik \left\{ \frac{\zeta ik v_j}{b^2 - a^2} A_jI_j((R - h)\nu_j) + \right. \]
\[ + \frac{\zeta ik v_p}{b^2 - a^2} A_j^*K_p((R - h)\nu_j) + \]
\[ + \zeta ik \left( A_jI_j((R - h)\nu_j) + A_j^*K_j((R - h)\nu_j) \right) + \]
\[ + \zeta ik \left\{ \frac{\zeta ik v_j}{b^2 - a^2} A_jI_j((R - h)\nu_j) + \right. \]
\[ + \frac{\zeta ik v_p}{b^2 - a^2} A_j^*K_p((R - h)\nu_j) + \]
Similarly, the condition for (24), (25), (30)-(32) and (35) having non-trivial solutions can be presented by the condition (33), but we have to take into consideration the fact that we have the relationships (36):

\[ \alpha_{ij} = v_{ij} I_i \left( (R - h) v_j \right) + \frac{b^2}{a} \frac{2}{R - h} I_i \left( (R - h) v_j \right) + \frac{b^2}{a} \frac{k^2 v_j}{2} I_i \left( (R - h) v_j \right) \]

\[ + \frac{b^2}{a} \frac{v_j^2 - k^2 + \omega^2}{a^2} I_i \left( (R - h) v_j \right) \]

\[ \alpha_{ij} = -v_{ij} K_i \left( (R - h) v_j \right) - \frac{b^2}{a} \frac{2}{R - h} K_i \left( (R - h) v_j \right) - \frac{b^2}{a} \frac{k^2 v_j}{2} K_i \left( (R - h) v_j \right) \]

\[ - \frac{b^2}{a} \frac{v_j^2 - k^2 + \omega^2}{a^2} K_i \left( (R - h) v_j \right) \]

Type 3. For this case, we have Eqs. (23), (24), (30)-(32) and additionally the magnetic field continuity conditions on the internal surface \( r = R - h \). Making use of this conditions, we have:

\[ \frac{\omega}{k} - \frac{v_n}{k - v_j} \left( A_{ij} I_i \left( (R - h) v_j \right) + A_{ij} K_i \left( (R - h) v_j \right) \right) \]

\[ = -\frac{\omega}{k} - \frac{v_n}{k - v_j} \left( I_i \left( (R - h) v_j \right) I_o \left( (R - h) v_j \right) \right) \]

\[ \times \left( A_{ij} K_i \left( (R - h) v_j \right) - A_{ij} I_i \left( (R - h) v_j \right) \right) \]

\[ + \frac{\omega}{k} - \frac{v_n}{k - v_j} \left( I_i \left( (R - h) v_j \right) I_o \left( (R - h) v_j \right) \right) \]

\[ \times \left( A_{ij} I_i \left( (R - h) v_j \right) - A_{ij} K_i \left( (R - h) v_j \right) \right) \]

The existing condition of non-trivial solution for the system of the mentioned equations is similar to the type-1 case with different coefficients of (37):

\[ \alpha_{ij} = \frac{\omega}{k} - \frac{v_n}{k - v_j} \left( I_i \left( (R - h) v_j \right) + A_{ij} K_i \left( (R - h) v_j \right) \right) \]

\[ + \frac{\omega}{k} - \frac{v_n}{k - v_j} \left( I_i \left( (R - h) v_j \right) I_o \left( (R - h) v_j \right) \right) \]

\[ \times \left( A_{ij} I_i \left( (R - h) v_j \right) - A_{ij} K_i \left( (R - h) v_j \right) \right) \]

\[ \alpha_{ij} = -v_{ij} K_i \left( (R - h) v_j \right) - \frac{b^2}{a} \frac{2}{R - h} K_i \left( (R - h) v_j \right) - \frac{b^2}{a} \frac{k^2 v_j}{2} K_i \left( (R - h) v_j \right) \]

\[ - \frac{b^2}{a} \frac{v_j^2 - k^2 + \omega^2}{a^2} K_i \left( (R - h) v_j \right) \]

Type 4. Finally, for this case, we have (24), (34), (36), (30)-(32). The non-triviality condition is similar to (33), and \( \alpha_{ij} \) coefficients are similar to (34) with difference of two conditions. The coefficients corresponding to the mentioned conditions are presented in (36) and (38).
absolute values of which are the closest to zero. We present precisely these solutions.

### TABLE III
**Boundary Conditions of Type-2, Numerical Values of Vibration Frequencies’ Real Parts (Re ω)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>k</th>
<th>Hₖ</th>
<th>Re ω (10⁵)</th>
<th>0.1</th>
<th>0.2</th>
<th>0.3</th>
<th>0.4</th>
<th>0.5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10⁵</td>
<td>10⁴</td>
<td>4.388818</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2*10⁵</td>
<td>10⁴</td>
<td>1.726868</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3*10⁵</td>
<td>10⁴</td>
<td>120.978</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4*10⁵</td>
<td>10⁴</td>
<td>154.704</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5*10⁵</td>
<td>10⁴</td>
<td>83.9517</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6*10⁵</td>
<td>10⁴</td>
<td>67.2693</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7*10⁵</td>
<td>10⁴</td>
<td>36.8023</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8*10⁵</td>
<td>10⁴</td>
<td>42.9647</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9*10⁵</td>
<td>10⁴</td>
<td>38.6667</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10⁶</td>
<td>10⁴</td>
<td>25.4906</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10²</td>
<td>10⁴</td>
<td>35.3153</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These numerical checks confirm that the real parts of the free bending frequencies are approximately zero for some values of the wave numbers. These results, particularly the negative of the imaginary part of the bending vibrational frequencies, confirm that the peripherally earthed, clamped, or simply supported boundary conditions have an impact on magnetic vibrations as attenuation conditions. In the case, when the internal and external surfaces of the shell are free from stresses, the boundary conditions can be viewed as stimulators for vibrations, while for the scenarios studied in this paper the effect is opposite.

### TABLE IV
**Boundary Conditions of Type-3, Numerical Values of Vibration Frequencies’ Imaginary Parts (Im ω)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>k</th>
<th>Hₖ</th>
<th>Im ω (10⁵)</th>
<th>0.1</th>
<th>0.2</th>
<th>0.3</th>
<th>0.4</th>
<th>0.5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10⁵</td>
<td>10⁴</td>
<td>-147.822</td>
<td>-30.752</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2*10⁵</td>
<td>10⁴</td>
<td>23.2815</td>
<td>-15.1732</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3*10⁵</td>
<td>10⁴</td>
<td>-39.3249</td>
<td>-6.2893</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4*10⁵</td>
<td>10⁴</td>
<td>-11.5805</td>
<td>-7.7571</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5*10⁵</td>
<td>10⁴</td>
<td>-6.93249</td>
<td>-6.2893</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6*10⁵</td>
<td>10⁴</td>
<td>-28.9107</td>
<td>-10.2147</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7*10⁵</td>
<td>10⁴</td>
<td>-10.03583</td>
<td>-15.1732</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8*10⁵</td>
<td>10⁴</td>
<td>-15.3821</td>
<td>-10.2147</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9*10⁵</td>
<td>10⁴</td>
<td>-8.9511</td>
<td>-6.2893</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10⁶</td>
<td>10⁴</td>
<td>-8.0812</td>
<td>-3.4241</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE V
**Boundary Conditions of Type-4, Numerical Values of Vibration Frequencies’ Real Parts (Re ω)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>k</th>
<th>Hₖ</th>
<th>Re ω (10⁵)</th>
<th>0.1</th>
<th>0.2</th>
<th>0.3</th>
<th>0.4</th>
<th>0.5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10⁵</td>
<td>10⁴</td>
<td>2802.772</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2*10⁵</td>
<td>10⁴</td>
<td>1955.880</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3*10⁵</td>
<td>10⁴</td>
<td>1655.295</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4*10⁵</td>
<td>10⁴</td>
<td>1748.752</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5*10⁵</td>
<td>10⁴</td>
<td>1413.004</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6*10⁵</td>
<td>10⁴</td>
<td>1549.672</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7*10⁵</td>
<td>10⁴</td>
<td>1332.125</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8*10⁵</td>
<td>10⁴</td>
<td>223.214</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9*10⁵</td>
<td>10⁴</td>
<td>131.1901</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10⁶</td>
<td>10⁴</td>
<td>120.978</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE VI
**Boundary Conditions of Type-3, Numerical Values of Vibration Frequencies’ Imaginary Parts (Im ω)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>k</th>
<th>Hₖ</th>
<th>Im ω (10⁵)</th>
<th>0.1</th>
<th>0.2</th>
<th>0.3</th>
<th>0.4</th>
<th>0.5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10⁵</td>
<td>10⁴</td>
<td>-315.393</td>
<td>-9.2180</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2*10⁵</td>
<td>10⁴</td>
<td>81.4067</td>
<td>-7.6217</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3*10⁵</td>
<td>10⁴</td>
<td>-11.4840</td>
<td>-6.4135</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4*10⁵</td>
<td>10⁴</td>
<td>117.603</td>
<td>-9.2180</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5*10⁵</td>
<td>10⁴</td>
<td>61.2497</td>
<td>-9.2180</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6*10⁵</td>
<td>10⁴</td>
<td>130.957</td>
<td>-9.2180</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7*10⁵</td>
<td>10⁴</td>
<td>171.885</td>
<td>-9.2180</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8*10⁵</td>
<td>10⁴</td>
<td>212.044</td>
<td>-9.2180</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9*10⁵</td>
<td>10⁴</td>
<td>56.3001</td>
<td>-9.2180</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10⁶</td>
<td>10⁴</td>
<td>42.9647</td>
<td>-9.2180</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE VII
**Boundary Conditions of Type-4, Numerical Values of Vibration Frequencies’ Imaginary Parts (Im ω)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>k</th>
<th>Hₖ</th>
<th>Im ω (10⁵)</th>
<th>0.1</th>
<th>0.2</th>
<th>0.3</th>
<th>0.4</th>
<th>0.5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10⁵</td>
<td>10⁴</td>
<td>-10.03583</td>
<td>-10.03583</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2*10⁵</td>
<td>10⁴</td>
<td>-10.03583</td>
<td>-10.03583</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3*10⁵</td>
<td>10⁴</td>
<td>-10.03583</td>
<td>-10.03583</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4*10⁵</td>
<td>10⁴</td>
<td>-10.03583</td>
<td>-10.03583</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5*10⁵</td>
<td>10⁴</td>
<td>-10.03583</td>
<td>-10.03583</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6*10⁵</td>
<td>10⁴</td>
<td>-10.03583</td>
<td>-10.03583</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7*10⁵</td>
<td>10⁴</td>
<td>-10.03583</td>
<td>-10.03583</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8*10⁵</td>
<td>10⁴</td>
<td>-10.03583</td>
<td>-10.03583</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9*10⁵</td>
<td>10⁴</td>
<td>-10.03583</td>
<td>-10.03583</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10⁶</td>
<td>10⁴</td>
<td>-10.03583</td>
<td>-10.03583</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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