Abstract—The biaxial buckling behavior of single-layered graphene sheets (SLGSs) is studied in the present work. To consider the size-effects in the analysis, Eringen’s nonlocal elasticity equations are incorporated into classical plate theory (CLPT). A Generalized Differential Quadrature Method (GDQM) approach is utilized and numerical solutions for the critical buckling loads are obtained. Then, molecular dynamics (MD) simulations are performed for a series of zigzag SLGSs with different side-lengths and with various boundary conditions, the results of which are matched with those obtained by the nonlocal plate model to numerical the appropriate values of nonlocal parameter relevant to each type of boundary conditions.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Due to outstanding mechanical, electrical, and chemical properties, the families of carbon nanostructures such as graphene sheets (Fig. 1), carbon nanotubes, and fullerenes provide a new foundation to apply in different emerging fields of nanoscience and nanotechnology [1]–[4]. Because of their small scale, there are some difficulties in conducting experiments to investigate the behaviors of nanostructures which make their theoretical analyses become increasingly important.

Based on the classical plate theory (CLPT), Kitipornchai et al. [5] investigated the vibration response of multi-layered graphene sheets (MLGSs) with simply-supported boundary conditions using a continuum model. They proposed an explicit formula for the van der Waals interaction between any two sheets of an MLGS. Liew et al. [6] proposed a continuum model to analyze the vibrations of MLGS embedded in an elastic matrix.

As the classical continuum models do not have the capability to consider the size-effects in the analysis of nanostructures, using them to predict the behavior of structures at the nanoscale becomes controversial. Hence, the extension of the continuum mechanics to accommodate the size dependence of nanostructures is a topic of major concern. Modified continuum models are one of the most applied theoretical approaches for the investigation of nanomechanics due to their computational efficiency and their capability to produce accurate results which are comparable to those of the atomistic models [4]. The application of nonlocal continuum mechanics allowing for the small scale effects in the analysis of nanostructures has been recommended by many research workers.

Ansari et al. [7] developed a nonlocal finite element model to investigate the vibrations of MLGSs with different boundary conditions embedded in an elastic medium. Shen and his assistances [8] studied the nonlinear vibrations of simply supported SLGSs in thermal environment based on nonlocal orthotropic plate model. The vibration analysis of MLGSs embedded in a polymer matrix was investigated by Pradhan and Phadikar [9] using nonlocal continuum mechanics.

Continuing with the nonlocal continuum applications, Murmu and Pradhan [3] developed a single-elastic beam model to analyze the thermal vibration of CNTs based on thermal elasticity mechanics, and nonlocal elasticity theory. Nonlocal scale parameter effects on the wave propagation in multi-walled carbon nanotubes was represented by Narendar and Gopalakrishnan [10]. Arash and Ansari [11] studied the vibration characteristics of single-walled carbon nanotubes (SWCNTs) based upon a nonlocal shell model. There are so many other researches in which the behaviors of nanostructures under various loading conditions have been predicted based on continuum elastic models [12], [13], which indicates the wide range of application of this type of modified continuum mechanics in the nanomechanics.
II. NONLOCAL ELASTICITY THEORY

The essence of the nonlocal elasticity theory is that the stress field at a reference point \( x \) in an elastic continuum depends not only on strain at that point but also on strains at all other points in the body. This is in accordance with the atomic theory of lattice dynamics and experimental observations on phonon dispersion. The scale effects are accounted for in the theory by considering internal size as a material parameter. The most general form of the constitutive relation for nonlocal elasticity involves an integral over the material parameter. The most general form of the constitutive equation for nonlocal elasticity can be written as:

\[
(1 - \mu \rho^2) \sigma = S : e
\]

where \( S \) is the fourth order elasticity tensor and \( : \) denotes the double dot product. \( \mu \) is the nonlocal parameter which leads to consider the small scale effect and \( \rho^2 \) is the Laplacian operator which is given by \((\partial^2/\partial x^2 + \partial^2/\partial y^2 + \partial^2/\partial z^2)\). Two-dimensional nonlocal constitutive relations can be expressed as:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\sigma_{xx} \\
\sigma_{yy} \\
\sigma_{zz}
\end{bmatrix} + \mu \rho^2 \begin{bmatrix}
\sigma_{xx} \\
\sigma_{yy} \\
\sigma_{zz}
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
E / (1 - \nu^2) & 0 & 0 \\
0 & E / (1 - \nu^2) & 0 \\
0 & 0 & E / 2(1 + \nu)
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
e_{xx} \\
e_{yy} \\
e_{zz}
\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(2)

where \( E \) is the Young’s modulus of the material and \( \nu \) is the Poisson ratio. To model the SLGSs, consider a thin elastic plate of length \( a \) in the \( x \) direction, width \( b \) in the \( y \) direction and thickness \( h \), as shown in (Fig. 2). Based on the classical plate theory, the displacement components \((u_1, u_2, u_3)\) along the axes \((x, y, z)\) can be written in a general form as:

\[
w_i = -\frac{\partial w}{\partial x} ; \quad u_i = -\frac{\partial w}{\partial y} ; \quad w_i = w \quad (x,t)
\]

where \( w \) is the lateral deflection.

The bending and twisting moments per unit length are given by:

\[
\left[M_{xx}, M_{yy}, M_{xy}\right] = \int z \begin{bmatrix}
\sigma_{xx} \\
\sigma_{yy} \\
\sigma_{xy}
\end{bmatrix} \, dz
\]

(4)

With the principle of virtual work, the equilibrium equation governing the buckling of biaxially compressed plates takes the form:

\[
\frac{\partial^2 M_{xx}}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 M_{yy}}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 M_{xy}}{\partial x \partial y} - P \left( \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial y^2} \right) = 0
\]

(5)

where \( P \) is the critical biaxial buckling load. Using (2) and (4), one can arrive at the nonlocal governing equation of (5) in terms of displacements as:

\[
-\frac{E h^3}{12(1-\nu^2)} \left( \frac{\partial^4 w}{\partial x^2 \partial y^2} + 2 \frac{\partial^4 w}{\partial x \partial y^2} \right) - \frac{E h^3}{6(1+\nu)} \frac{\partial^4 w}{\partial x^4} - P \left( \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial y^2} \right) = 0
\]

(6)

III. GDQM SOLUTION PROCEDURE

The DQ method has been proved to be an efficient higher-order numerical technique for the solution of initial and boundary value problems. The DQ technique has been widely reported to yield successful solutions for various dynamic and stability problems [15]-[17].

The \(m\)-th order derivative of a single function \( f(x) \) at a given discrete grid point \( i \) can be approximated by the DQ method with \( N \) discrete grid points as:

\[
\frac{\partial^m f(x_i)}{\partial x^m} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} w^{(m)}_{j} f(x_j) - \sum_{j=1}^{N} w^{(m)}_{j} f(x_j), \quad i = 1,2,\ldots,N
\]

(7)

where \( N \) is the number of grid points in the \( x \)-direction and \( w^{(m)}_{j} \) represents the respective weighting coefficient related to the \(m\)-th order derivative. So, for example, if \( m = 1 \), the first-order derivative is obtained as follows:

\[
w^{(1)}_{j} = \prod_{\substack{k=1 \atop k \neq j}}^{N} \frac{x_i - x_k}{x_j - x_k}, \quad i, j = 1,2,\ldots,N, \quad i \neq j
\]

(8)

where the superscript \((m)\) and \((m+1)\) denote the order of the derivative. The number of discrete grid points and the grid point distribution can be chosen arbitrarily in the implementation of the DQ. However, it is shown that the grid point distribution, which is based on well-accepted Gauss–Chebyshev–Lobatto points [18], gives sufficiently accurate results. The coordinates of the grid points are as follows:

\[
x_i = a \cos \left[ \frac{(i - 1)\pi}{N - 3} \right], \quad i = 3,4,\ldots,N;
\]

\[
x_1 = 0, \quad x_2 = a, \quad x_{N-1} = a - a \delta, \quad \delta = 1 \times 10^{-4}
\]

(9)
where \( N \) is the number of grid points in the y-direction and \( M \) is the number of grid points in the y-direction. In the case of the rectangular grapheme sheet, the computational domain is \( 0 \leq x \leq a \) and \( 0 \leq y \leq b \). In the present case, same numbers of grid points are used in \( x \) and \( y \) directions (\( N=M \)). As it was previously mentioned, \( a \) and \( b \) are the length and width of the graphene sheet, respectively. Here, it is assumed that the thickness of the plate is constant. GDQM can be used to deal with complicated problems reasonably well because its implementation is very simple.

For convenience and generality (6) we introduce the following nondimensional parameters:

\[
W = w a, \quad \xi = x/a, \quad \eta = y/b
\]
\[
\beta = \alpha b, \quad \mathcal{N} = \frac{P b^4}{D}, \quad \psi = \frac{e a^2}{a}, \quad D = \frac{E h^3}{12(1-\nu^2)}
\]

Substituting (11) into (6), we have the nonlocal governing equation for compressed plate in nondimensional form:

\[
\left( \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial \xi^2} + 2\beta^2 \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial \eta^2} \right) + \mathcal{N} \psi \left[ \left( \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial \xi^2} + \mu \beta^2 \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial \eta^2} + (\mu+1)\beta^3 \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial \xi \partial \eta} \right) \right] = 0
\]

Transformation of continuous boundary conditions into discretized form under GDQ rule mapping is performed by a direct substitution of these conditions into governing equations, as shown in [18]:

All edges simply supported (SSSS):

\[
W = 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{N} b_i^2 w_{iN} = 0; \quad i = 1, N; \quad \text{At}\ x=0, x=a
\]

\[
W = 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i^2 w_{iN} = 0; \quad j = 1, N; \quad \text{At}\ y=0, y=b
\]

All edges clamped (CCCC):

\[
W = 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{N} b_i^2 w_{iN} = 0; \quad i = 1, N; \quad \text{At}\ x=0, x=a
\]

\[
W = 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i^2 w_{iN} = 0; \quad j = 1, N; \quad \text{At}\ y=0, y=b
\]

Two opposite long edges simply supported, others clamped (CCSS):

\[
W = 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{N} b_i^2 w_{iN} = 0; \quad i = 1, N; \quad \text{At}\ x=0, x=a
\]

\[
W = 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i^2 w_{iN} = 0; \quad j = 1, N; \quad \text{At}\ y=0, y=b
\]

The computational domain of the rectangular plate is \( 0 \leq \xi \leq 1; \quad 0 \leq \eta \leq 1 \). Making use of (7) and incorporating the boundary conditions by modified weighting coefficient method [18], we write (12) in the nondimensional form:

\[
\left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} A_i^2 w_{iN} + 2\beta^2 \sum_{i=1}^{N} A_i^2 B_i^2 w_{2N} + \beta^3 \sum_{i=1}^{N} B_i^2 w_{2N} \right) + \mathcal{N} [\psi] \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} A_i^2 w_{iN} + 2\beta^2 \sum_{i=1}^{N} A_i^2 B_i^2 w_{2N} + \beta^3 \sum_{i=1}^{N} B_i^2 w_{2N} \right) = 0
\]

It should be noted that the (18) is solved for inner grid points. DQ procedures could be advantageous in dealing with nonlocal elasticity problems of small scale structures over other approaches because its implementation is very simple and could handle complicated problems reasonably well. These problems include nonlocal Mindlin plate theory or higher order nonlocal plate theories with axially stressed conditions. The above DQ analogous (16) can easily be reduced to an eigenvalue problem:

\[
[K]_{\text{loc}} \{W\} = \mathcal{K}^* \{W\}
\]

where \( \mathcal{K}^* \) is the nondimensional buckling load described in (11). The (17) can be solved by a standard eigenvalue solver. From this solution the buckling loads of graphene sheets are obtained.

IV. MODELING AND SIMULATION

Square zigzag graphene sheets are considered in this study. The definitions of the chirality for the graphene sheets are similarly defined as in carbon nano-tubes [19], [20]. The boundary conditions of the graphene sheets are assumed to be SSSS, CCCCC and CCSS.

The parallel molecular dynamics code package LAMMPS (http://lammps.sandia.gov/index.html) [21] is used for performing the MD simulations, while the molecular visualization package VMD [22] is employed for post-processing of the simulation results.

In the MD simulations, energy minimization is first conducted to fully relax the system with free boundary.

All simulations are established using the Adaptive Intermolecular Reactive Empirical Bond Order (AIREBO) potential [23]. The AIREBO potential is an extension of the commonly used REBO potential developed for solid carbon and hydrocarbon molecules [23].

There are various factors which can significantly affect the results obtained from MD simulations. The most important ones are thermal conditions, time step, and strain rate. The MD simulations presented here are all performed at constant temperature equal to the room temperature (i.e., a canonical NVT ensemble). The van Gunster--Berendsen thermostat [24] is employed in such a way that the scaling factor is used after each step of the MD simulation; the velocities of the atoms of system are scaled as the average kinetic energy remains approximately constant. To choose the value of time step, on
Based on the obtained stress and strain history, we can plot the stress–strain curve to investigate the mechanical behavior under compression. The point where the elastic response ends is defined as the onset of buckling, the corresponding strain is referred to as the critical strain.

V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The thickness of plate in the nonlocal plate elasticity models is assumed to be equal to the spacing of graphite (h = 0.34 nm). Moreover, the values of Young’s modulus and Poisson’s ratio are considered E = 1 TPa and μ = 0.16, respectively [5].

In order to assess the accuracy of the present study, the critical biaxial buckling loads of square armchair monolayer GSs with all edges simply supported obtained via (17) by GDQM are compared with those of MD simulations in Table I for different values of side length. The nonlocal parameter μ is calculated by utilizing the least square technique so that the sum of the squares of the errors between the results from MD simulations and the corresponding ones from the present model is minimized. It is observed that the two sets of results are in excellent agreement. Therefore, the nonlocal formulas given by (17) can be considered as reliable relations capable of determination of critical biaxial buckling loads of SLGS provided that the nonlocal parameter is properly calibrated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>L (nm)</th>
<th>MD</th>
<th>GDQM (μ=1.86 nm²)</th>
<th>Error%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.99</td>
<td>1.0835</td>
<td>1.1219</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.08</td>
<td>0.6535</td>
<td>0.6524</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.077</td>
<td>0.4459</td>
<td>0.4412</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.65</td>
<td>0.2609</td>
<td>0.2685</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.35</td>
<td>0.1191</td>
<td>0.1228</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.04</td>
<td>0.0737</td>
<td>0.0714</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.81</td>
<td>0.0449</td>
<td>0.0456</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The variation of obtained critical biaxial buckling loads with the side-length of square SLGSs is illustrated in Figs. 5–7 relevant to different values of nonlocal parameter with different boundary conditions.

Table II presents the appropriate values of μ derived through fitting procedure corresponding to different types of boundary conditions. It is evident that there is an excellent agreement with the present model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of boundary conditions</th>
<th>Appropriate value of nonlocal parameter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SSSS</td>
<td>1.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCCC</td>
<td>1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCSS</td>
<td>1.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The variation of obtained critical biaxial buckling loads with the side-length of square SLGSs is illustrated in Figs. 5–7 relevant to different values of nonlocal parameter with different boundary conditions.

Table II presents the appropriate values of μ derived through fitting procedure corresponding to different types of boundary conditions. It is evident that there is an excellent agreement with the present model.
agreement between the results of MD simulations and the ones predicted by the present nonlocal continuum plate model with their appropriate values of nonlocal parameter.

VI. CONCLUSION

Biaxial buckling characteristics of SLGSs were investigated in this work. To this end, Eringen’s nonlocal elasticity equations were incorporated into classical plate theory (CLPT) to consider the size-effects in the biaxial analysis. GDQM form of numerically solution was conducted to obtain the critical biaxial buckling loads of SSSS, CCCC and CCSS square SLGSs with different values of side-length and nonlocal parameter.

Afterward, MD simulations were performed for zigzag SLGSs with different values of side-length, and boundary conditions the results of which were fitted with those calculated by the nonlocal elasticity plate model through a least-square fitting procedure to extract the correct values of nonlocal parameter corresponding to each type of boundary conditions. It was revealed that nonlocal elasticity theory can estimate the biaxial buckling response of SLGSs with great accuracy using the proposed values for the nonlocal parameter, which is comparable to the results of MD simulation. This analysis showed that the importance of the small length scale is dependent on the boundary conditions of SLGS.
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