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Abstract—Based on the acoustics cascade sound theory, the mechanism of air leak sound producing, transmitting and signal detecting has been analyzed. A formula of the sound power, leak size and air pressure in the spacecraft has been built, and the relationship between leak sound pressure and receiving direction and distance has been studied. The center frequency in millimeter diameter leak is more than 20 kHz. The situation of air leaking from spacecraft to space has been simulated and an experiment of different leak size and testing distance and direction has been done. The sound pressure is in direct proportion to the cosine of the angle of leak to sensor. The portable ultrasonic leak detector has been developed, whose minimal leak rate is $10^{-1}$ Pa·m$^3$/s, the testing radius is longer than 20 mm, the mass is less than 1.0 kg, and the electric power is less than 2.2 W.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Due to the space debris and micro meteor impact, the seal cabin of the manned spacecraft on orbit for long time may have some perforations leading to the leak accident. Hence, it is necessary that leak detection and location technology is researched to find leak position for repairing the structural damage. Development of a leak location detector is very important for on-orbit maintenance, which is expediently used in the seal cabin of manned spacecraft by astronauts.

At present, there are some on-orbit leak detection method such as the high sensitivity direction vacuum gauge detection [1], the array-based acoustic leak location [2], the two-sensor ultrasonic spacecraft leak detection [3], the structure-borne noise leak detection [4], the spherically focused capacitive-film ultrasonic spacecraft leak detection [3], the structure-borne acoustic analysis [8], the relation of sound power causing the production quadrupole jet. According to Lighthill’s acoustic analysis [8], the relation of sound power $P_T$ with the diameter $d$ and the thickness $l$ is defined as:

$$P_T = \frac{\rho_0 u^2}{c_0 l}$$

where $\rho_0$ is the atmospheric density in cabin, $u$ is the gas jet velocity at the nozzle, $c_0$ is the acoustic propagation velocity in the cabin atmosphere, and $\rho_0$ is the standard atmospheric density.

For a closed manned spacecraft cabin, the cabin pressure will change due to leaks, so we can acquire the pressure equation by leak rate and cabin pressure $P_c$:

$$P_c = (P_0 - P_{out})e^{-\frac{C t}{V}} + P_{out}$$

where $C$ is known as the leak gas flow conductance, $V$ is the cabin volume, $P_0$ is the initial cabin pressure, $P_{out}$ is the vacuum pressure outside of cabin.

Usually, manned spacecraft cabin volume is 10–100m$^3$, the probably leak diameter appears to be between 0.1 mm and 1 mm, the gas flow conductance is small. Equation (2) tells us: for the 0.1 mm leak, 10 m$^3$ cabin, the time of pressure decline 1 kPa needs 10.5h because of air leak. So, in the dimension of minute time of leak testing, the pressure $p_1$ change is very small. The outlet pressure $P_{out}$ is in vacuum, the gas flow velocity $u$:

$$u = \sqrt{\frac{2\gamma P_0}{\gamma - 1} \left[1 - \left(\frac{P_{out}}{P_0}\right)^{\frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma}}\right]}$$

In (3), $u$ is known as the flow velocity of gas through the leak, $\gamma = C_p/C_v$, $C_p$ is the cabin gas thermal capacity at constant pressure, $C_v$ is the thermal capacity at constant volume in cabin, substituting (3) into (1), we have:
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For the leak in large cabin, in minutes, \( p/p_\infty \), \( p_{\text{ref}}/p_\infty \), and \( l \) changes are small. The formula in (4) shows that the eject gas sound power from the leak has direct relation to the leak diameter and length. If the leak length is constant, the leak sound power is larger. So, the sound power could be measured, the leak diameter could be ensured.

**B. Leak Sound Signal Propagation**

The sound signal produced in leak should be transmitted to the sound sensor so that it could be tested. Suppose the jet sound power \( P_r \) as point source. In that way, the point source could be assumed as a monopole source, shown in Fig. 1, which is the acoustic wave equation:

\[
\frac{\partial^2 (rp)}{c_0^2 \partial t^2} = \frac{\partial^2 (rp)}{\partial \psi^2}
\]

In the formula, \( r \) is the distance of receiving point to sound producing point, and \( P \) is the sound pressure at the receiving point. Its sound field solution is

\[
p(r, t) = p_0 e^{i(\omega t - kr + \psi)}
\]

In the formula, \( P_0 = A/r \) is the sound pressure, \( \psi \) is the signal phase, \( \omega \) is the phasic angle frequency, \( k \) is the coefficient of sound propagation. At the leak sound transmits to the receiving point, the sound strength is:

\[
I_r = \frac{1}{2c^2} P_r
\]

the sound pressure at the receiving point

![Fig. 1 Sound source transmitting in air](image)

If the angle between the receiving surface and normal of leak is \( \alpha \), using (4), the receiving sound pressure \( P_{rs} \) is:

\[
P_{rs} \propto \frac{1}{2r} \sqrt{1 - \cos^2 \alpha} \sqrt{\left[ \frac{2y - p_0 (1 - (\frac{p_{\text{ref}}}{p_\infty})^{\frac{1}{y}})}{\rho c_0^2 f^2} \right] ^4 d^3 \rho_x^2}
\]

Equation (8) shows that if the manned spacecraft cabin experiences a leak where the diameter is \( d \) and thickness is \( l \), the receiving sound pressure \( P_{rs} \) is closely related to leak size. In particular measure distance \( r \) and direction \( \alpha \), by the sound pressure measurement, we can determine the leak size.

**C. The Center Frequency of the Leak Ultrasonic Signal**

The leak acoustic spectrum is complex, the sensor cannot cover all wave band in measurement. To measure acoustic wave effectively and avoid noise disturbance, we should find the sound wave frequency. According to the research [9], the ultrasonic sound power spectrum is a function of Strouhal number \( fdV/c_\infty \), \( f \) is the ultrasonic frequency, \( d \) is the leak diameter, \( V \) is the outlet velocity. A lot of experiments shows that, the normalized Strouhal number \( S = fdV/c_\infty \), \( c_\infty \) is the correct coefficient, the ordinate is the power level

\[
10 \log \left( \frac{1}{W} \frac{dW}{df} \frac{V}{C} \frac{c_\infty}{c_v} \right) dB
\]

The normalized power spectrum is shown as Fig. 2, and the curve is experience curve.

![Fig. 2 Power spectrum of the acoustics signal caused by leak](image)

The curve in Fig. 2 can approximate the curve (9)

\[
y = \frac{4}{\pi} \frac{1}{(x + 1/x)^2}
\]

In the formula:

\[
y = \frac{1}{W} \frac{dW}{df} \frac{u}{c_v} \quad x = \frac{5fd}{u} \frac{C_v}{c_v}
\]

In order to improve the detection sensitivity, and the peak power at the center frequency has maximum sensitivity, so take the derivative of formula in (9) as 0, we have:

\[
y' = \frac{8}{\pi} \frac{1}{(x + 1/x)^3} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{x} \right) = 0
\]

At \( x = 1 \), \( y \) could get the maximum value, so the sound power gets peak value, the sound power can get the maximum value. In the normal temperature and standard atmosphere pressure
condition, the gas flow velocity from the cabin inside to the outside vacuum environment is about 295 m/s. The gas temperature change is small, so the temperature correction \( c / c_0 \) influence can be ignored. The center frequency of different leaks is shown in Table I.

### TABLE I

CENTER FREQUENCY OF DIFFERENT DIAMETER OF LEAK SIGNAL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Leak diameter (mm)</th>
<th>0.3</th>
<th>0.4</th>
<th>0.8</th>
<th>1.0</th>
<th>1.5</th>
<th>2.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Center frequency (kHz)</td>
<td>196.7</td>
<td>147.5</td>
<td>73.8</td>
<td>59.0</td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td>29.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As shown in Table I, the frequency corresponding to max sound power is all bigger than 20 kHz, which means that all the signals are ultrasonic. The noise sound in the cabin environment can be avoided by detector, which can only check out ultrasonic signal.

### III. EXPERIMENTAL VERIFICATION

In the experiment, we made a mobile platform with a leak detection sensor. When the platform moves, the distance between the leak acoustic sources and leak detection sensor changes. The leak detection sensor measure the sound pressure which produced by leak jet gas as Fig. 3, which is made up with ultrasonic sensor and amplifier circuit. The leak is set up to the vacuum container. The air jet into the vacuum container and produce sound in air. The different size leak can be changed with flapper valve as Fig. 4.

#### A. The Distance Test and Result Analysis

During the test, the ultrasonic detection sensor is placed on the bracket. Moving the distance between sensor and leak from 20 mm to 500 mm, we record the sound pressure. The diameter of 0.8 mm, 1.5 mm, and 2.0 mm leak change alternately. The test data are shown in Table II.

We paint the data of Table II in a two-dimensional chart, fit the data to a polynomial equation, and get the curve of three different leaks as Fig. 5 shows.

According to the data in Table II and Fig. 5, the accepted leak sound pressure signal value rapidly descends with the testing distance increasing, and this relationship is little different from the formula shown in (8), due to the air absorbability and dispersion effect to make the sound transmitting away from the point source sphere wave module.

#### TABLE II

EXPERIMENT RESULTS OF SOUND PRESSURE CHANGING WITH DISTANCE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The distance between sensor and leak ( d ) (mm)</th>
<th>Sound pressure of different diameter leak ( P_{rs} ) (mV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.8mm</td>
<td>1.5mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>69.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>42.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>38.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>24.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>20.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>16.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>17.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>17.77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 1. Receiving Angle Test and Results Analysis

We set up a rotation flat as shown in Fig. 6 to measure sound pressures because of angle change between the normal of leak surface and the normal of receiving ultrasonic sensor surface. In Fig. 6, \( R \) is the radius, \( S \) is the area of receiver, \( Sc \) is the efficiency receiving area. So, we can acquire the formula in (11):

\[
\alpha = \arctan \left( \frac{I - R \sin c}{I_1 + R - R \cos c} \right) - c
\]

We tested sound pressure of sensor at the different angles,
and the results are shown in Table III.

![Fig. 6 Leak sound signal and testing angle](image)

**TABLE III**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table rotation angle c (°)</th>
<th>Angle the normal of leak surface and the normal of receiving ultrasonic sensor surface(°)</th>
<th>Sound pressure $P_r$/mV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.8mm</td>
<td>1.0mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>13.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>11.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>34.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>18.78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to Table III, the sound pressure change by angle of different leaks can be drawn in Fig. 7, in which the y-coordinate is sound pressure, and the x-coordinate is the angle between sound source and receiver surface.

![Fig. 7 Test result of the sound pressure for the ultrasonic receiving sensor on the rotation flat](image)

According to (11), we can conclude that the corresponding value of $\alpha$ is $88.6^\circ$, $50^\circ$, $4^\circ$, $47^\circ$ when the test angle is $0^\circ$, $30^\circ$, $60^\circ$, $90^\circ$, and the effective test area is correlation with cosine $\alpha$, the corresponding value is 0.024, 0.643, 0.99, 0.681. The biggest sound pressure signal in the test is acquired at the angle of $60^\circ$, which is the same with theoretical value.

IV. THE DESIGN OF ULTRASONIC LEAK DETECTOR

Based on the theoretical analysis and test research, the ultrasonic sensor accepts the leak sound signal including leak dimension and testing angle information. To design the portable ultrasonic on-orbit Intravehicular leak detector, it must include some functions as the following:

1. Cabin and pipes leak detection and location and leak diameter evaluation.
2. Good interface, real-time showing, single handed operation.
3. Power charging through the cabin power supply and the interface meeting the requests.
4. On-orbit repair and replacing ability.

The most important function is the seal cabin and pipes leak detection and location. In the cabin, the detector can pick up the feeble leak ultrasonic signal in the complex environment and can rapidly find the leak location when the gas leaks from the cabin inside to the vacuum environment of cabin outside. The development of the detector can meet the requirement where on-orbit leak detection is operated in cabin and astronauts do not need to take a risk to go outside. And then, the detector can have the no-contact, large area, long-distance testing function. At the same time, it can detect the pipe leak belonging to the gas jet.

The leak detector consists of the sensor, mainframe, structure, preamplifier, DSP, display and key-press circuit, power supply and batteries. The system structure is shown in Fig. 8.

The portable ultrasonic leak detector sensor is the no-contact piezoelectricity ultrasonic sensor, and the laser and red photosensitive are used for testing the distance and point. The DSP is used as the core processor, and the leak ultrasonic signals are amplified by a four-class amplifier circuit for extracting the faint ultrasonic signal from the environment noise background, sending the analog signal to DSP circuit with embedded control precision of 3M 16bit AD, FFT transformation, character spectrum accumulation, character signal contrast and estimating leak size. The detector can show the result in LCD screen, and the leak diameter can be evaluated by the sound pressure connects with distance measurement by laser. And the detector belongs to the micro system, the software is developed by the C, and compiled into the hardware by CCS5.

The detector structure has the gun shape as shown in Fig. 9, the material is black ABS. It has Li battery (2200 mAh), switch and J599 charging interface.

Through the performance testing, it has some parameters: air→vacuum, Φ 0.3 mm leak, leak rate equivalent to 0.8Pa m/s, (atmospheric side measurement), and sensing range is up to 100 mm × 100 mm with a 0.3-mm diameter leak, and the position accuracy is better than 20 mm, measured weight is 1.0 kg, working power consumption is 2.2 W.
V. CONCLUSION

The production, propagation, and detection of the leak ultrasonic signal on-orbit intravehicular for manned spacecraft are discussed. The relationship of sound power between leak diameter, testing distance, and detecting angle is acquired. And the results are validated by some experimentation.

There are some conclusions as the following:

1) The leak ultrasonic power is related with leak diameter, and the center frequency is more than 20 kHz when the leak diameter is up to mm.
2) The leak ultrasonic pressure declines quickly as the testing distance is increasing.
3) Sensor accepting leak ultrasonic pressure is direct ratio correlation with cosine the angle between the sensor normal and the center line from sensor and leak.
4) The on-orbit detector sensitivity is up to $10^{-1} \text{ Pa \cdot m}^3$/; location precision is better than 20 mm, the mass is letter than 1.0 kg, and the electric power is less than 2.2 W.
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