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Abstract—Diabetes Mellitus (Diabetes) is a disease based on insulin hormone disorders and causes high blood glucose. Clinical findings determine that diabetes can be diagnosed by electrophysiological signals obtained from the vital organs. 'Diabetic Retinopathy' is one of the most common eye diseases resulting on diabetes and it is the leading cause of vision loss due to structural alteration of the retinal layer vessels. In this study, features of horizontal and vertical Video-Ocu lography (VOG) signals have been used to classify non-proliferative and proliferative diabetic retinopathy disease. Twenty-five features are acquired by using discrete wavelet transform with VOG signals which are taken from 21 subjects. Two models, based on multi-layer perceptron and radial basis function, are recommended in the diagnosis of Diabetic Retinopathy. The proposed models also can detect level of the disease. We show comparative classification performance of the proposed models. Our results show that proposed the RBF model (100%) results in better classification performance than the MLP model (94%).
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I. INTRODUCTION

Since diabetic retinopathy which prevents vision because of blood leaking from the eye vessels by retinal swelling, early diagnosis is significant. A variety of devices such as fundus fluorescein angiography, optical coherence tomography provides information only when disease complications occur [1]. If methods are developed to detect differences in retinal tissue before complications, specialist doctors may have the ability to intervene the disease early. When the literature is examined; studies on diabetic retinopathy involve the use of artificial intelligence techniques in the field of image processing by using Electro-oculography (EOG) and VOG signals [2]. Priya et al. compared the methods of probabilistic neural networks with support vector machine during the identification and classification of diabetic retinopathy by using fundus images [3]. Rajput et al. used wavelet transform and feature-mean clustering algorithm in feature extraction of retinal fundus images belonging to diabetic retinopathy patients [4]. Noronha et al. extracted the features of fundus images with discrete wavelet transform and classified them with support vector machine [5]. Gürkan et al. compared the support vector machine and artificial neural network methods in classification of EOG signals [6]. Banerjee et al. classified the EOG signal by artificial neural networks using k-means clustering algorithm according to eye movements [7]. In a study by Lawrence et al., a human-computer interface was designed based on eye movements [8]. Kim et al. designed a decision support system by creating a new algorithm to distinguish EOG signal from other signals originating from eye movements [9]. The wavelet transform is a commonly used method for efficiently signal analysis in time-domain. It exhibits the signals minutiae in the multi-resolution analysis. In this context, the wavelet transform has shown successful results in waveform detection of EOG signals [10].

This study aimed to exhibit a decision support system which could classify individuals with or without diabetic retinopathy by using horizontal and vertical VOG signal. Moreover, the model can detect levels of diabetic retinopathy. These are defined as NPDR (Non-Proliferative Diabetic Retinopathy) and PDR (Proliferative Diabetic Retinopathy). The data has been subjected to pre-processing, in which the attributes were determined by the discrete wavelet transform (DWT) method. The classification performance of normalized data which obtained with DWT method has been investigated by using multi-layer perceptron and radial basis function neural networks.

II. VOG SIGNAL MEASUREMENT AND FEATURE EXTRACTION

We prepare a VOG signal dataset which is obtained by using a 200 Hz internal monitoring camera located in the Metrovision MonPackOne EOG device to measure accurately the performance of proposed model. The observed dataset includes 21 samples and three classes (Healthy: 7, NPDR: 7 and PDR: 7). Each sample has 25 features calculated with wavelet transform. Figs. 1-3 show example of the Healthy, NPDR and PDR VOG signals and wavelet transform.
coefficients for subjects, respectively.

II. DISCRETE WAVELET TRANSFORM

Wavelet transform is derived as an alternative method for traditional Fourier transform. The most important advantage of wavelet transform is the use of different window sizes at high and low frequencies. This gives a good time-frequency resolution over the entire frequency range [11]. In biomedical signal processing, DWT is preferred rather than continuous wavelet transform (CWT) due to the nature of biological signals. Calculation of wavelet coefficients is both difficult and time consuming, so the original signal is divided a certain scale by wavelet transform, defined as “Multiresolution Signal Decomposition” (Fig. 4) [12].

As seen in Fig. 4, the original signal \( x[n] \) is passed through a high pass filter \( g[n] \) and a low pass filter \( h[n] \) to acquire the detail (D1-D2-D3) and approximation (A3) coefficients, respectively. Then, the same operation is continued to determine decomposition level only for approximation coefficients. The number of decomposition levels and proper wavelet selection are very significant in DWT method. The number of decomposition levels is selected based on the dominant frequency components of the signal [12]. In this study, Coiflet wavelet (Coif4) is used to calculate wavelet coefficients of VOG signals. Calculated coefficients are taken as feature vectors. The following statistical features are used to reduce the feature vectors’ dimension and represent the time-frequency distribution of VOG signals:

![Fig. 1 Healthy VOG signal and wavelet coefficients](image1)

![Fig. 2 NPDR VOG signal and wavelet coefficients](image2)

![Fig. 3 PDR VOG signal and wavelet coefficients](image3)

![Fig. 4 Sub-band decomposition by DWT method](image4)
1. Maximum of the coefficients in each sub-band,
2. Minimum of the coefficients in each sub-band,
3. Mean of the absolute values of the coefficients in each sub-band,
4. Standard deviation of the coefficients in each sub-band,
5. Variance of the coefficients in each sub-band.

An example of statistical feature vectors is shown in Table I.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE I</th>
<th>STATISTICAL FEATURE VECTORS OF VOG SIGNALS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maximum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2311.57864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2285.40764</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9657.531623</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9555.400599</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### III. MULTI-LAYER PERCEPTRON NEURAL NETWORK

Multi-layer perceptron neural networks (MLP) are mathematical models that are inspired by information processing way of biological nervous systems such as brain. MLP architecture consists of many interconnected neurons working together to solve certain problems. These modules are structured for specific applications such as pattern recognition or data classification via learning process [13], [14]. For this reason, MLP network model should be trained with a data set. In the prepared model, the data normalized in [0, 1] range is used as the input of MLP. With the normalized data set, the network is trained for 200 iterations.

In the training process, the problem of over learning is avoided by using the cross-validation method. In this study, the Levenberg-Marquardt back propagation algorithm, which is the fastest of MLP learning algorithms, is used. Regression coefficient, mean square error, mean absolute error, accuracy, precision, sensitivity and specificity values are calculated with 80% training and 20% test of dataset to find out the model performance.

### IV. RADIAL BASIS FUNCTION NEURAL NETWORK

Radial Basis Function (RBF) neural networks can be used in large applications, because they can approach any function, and their training is faster than MLP neural networks. This rapid learning comes from the fact that RBFs have only two layers of parameters (the width of centroids and weights) and each layer can be determined sequentially.

MLP is trained by supervised techniques, that the weights are calculated by minimizing a nonlinear cost function. Unlike MLP, the learning of the RBF neural network can be divided into unsupervised and linear supervised sections. Update techniques for unsupervised parameters are relatively fast and have forward propagation. The supervised learning portion includes a linear problem solution to avoid the local minima problem.

Training process of RBF neural networks can be described in three stages [15]:
1. Locate the centers (Ci) of the radial functions (Gaussian function).
2. Determine their widths (σi).
3. Determine the weight of the network (λj) between radial function layer and output layer.

In training process, the RBF network comprises neurons of two layers (input and hidden layers). The output cells perform a linear combination of nonlinear functions provided by the neurons in the hidden layer. The RBF network transfer function is defined as in (1):

\[
F(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{M} \phi_j(||x - c_j||) + \sum_{j=1}^{M} a_j x_j + b
\]

where M is number of Centers (Cj), d is dimension of input variables, ||.|| denotes the Euclidean distance, ai and b are weights of the linear and independent terms respectively, and \( \phi_j \) represents nonlinear function of the network, which is calculated by (2):

\[
\phi_j(||x - c_j||) = \exp\left(-\frac{||x - c_j||^2}{2\sigma_j^2}\right)
\]

The network parameters are: Cj, σi and λj, the Cj centers are determined and adapted respectively using unsupervised learning with (3) and (4):

\[
d_i(x', C^i) = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{M} (c_j' - c_j)^2} = ||x' - C^i|| = (x' - C^i)'(x' - C^i)
\]

\[
C^k(t + 1) = C^k(t) + \alpha(t) \sigma(x' - C^k)
\]

\[
\sigma = \frac{d_{max}}{\sqrt{2M}}
\]

where M is the number of centroids, and dmax is maximum distance between any pair of centroids.

### V. RESULTS

In the study, VOG signals are first taken. Approximation and detail coefficients are obtained by DWT method. Then, the statistical features of these coefficients are calculated. Twenty-five statistical features obtained were normalized and the data set was created.
MLP model with 25 inputs, 1 hidden layer and 1 output is created. For this topology, the dataset is divided into two parts: training and testing by cross-validation method. To find the number of hidden layer neurons, a hidden layer experiment is performed. In this experiment, the effect of changing hidden layer neurons in the MLP topology is investigated with Mean Square Error (MSE) when 80% of the data set is used for training and the remaining 20% was used for testing. The obtained result is shown in Fig. 5.

As seen in Fig. 5, the MLP topology with 18 neurons in hidden layer has the best performance. Therefore, we focus on this topology in our models (25-18-1). After this process, we train the MLP and RBF models with training dataset (80% of dataset). We perform test phase with 20% of dataset for these models. In the test phase, the error analysis of the models is presented in Tables II and III. It has seen that RBF model (0) has the better mean error performance than the MLP model (0.0823) and has higher correlation than the MLP model for each eye direction.

In addition, we determine the classification performance for each model by using the accuracy method (the confusion matrix). The method expressions are given as follow in (6)-(9) (FN: False Negative, FP: False Positive, TN: True Negative, TP: True Positive):

\[
\text{Accuracy} = \frac{TP + TN}{TP + TN + FP + FN}
\]

\[
\text{Precision} = \frac{TP}{TP + FP}
\]

\[
\text{Sensitivity} = \frac{TP}{TP + FN}
\]

\[
\text{Specificity} = \frac{TN}{TN + FP}
\]

The results are presented in Table IV. The RBF model has more success in classification with an accuracy of 100% than the MLP with an accuracy of 94%. It is also observed that the RBF model displays robust character to recognize of diabetic retinopathy by having a sensitivity of 100% and a specificity of 100%.

Finally, we also analyze the level of disease with three classes in the diagnosis of diabetic retinopathy and the
calculated confusion matrix results are presented graphically for each model in Fig. 6.

Fig. 6 Confusion matrix results obtained for 80%-20% data set; (a) Left horizontal (b) Right horizontal (c) Left vertical (d) Right vertical
VI. CONCLUSION

Our study has shown that it is feasible to extract VOG signal features by using DWT and that can be used as a good classification tool. It has been observed that the magnitudes of statistical features obtained with approximation and detail coefficients of the wavelet transform have remarkable information to recognize healthy, NPDR and PDR signals. The proposed model illustrates a clear advantage to classify the level of diabetic retinopathy with VOG signals. Our results indicate that RBF classification model exhibits better classification performance than the MLP model. As a future work, we plan to use different feature extraction methods for pre-processing phase and research detectability of various eye diseases by using VOG signal.
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