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Abstract—The Petri net tool INA is a well known tool by the Petri net community. However, it lacks a graphical environment to create and analyse INA models. Building a modelling tool for the design and analysis from scratch (for INA tool for example) is generally a prohibitive task. Meta-Modelling approach is useful to deal with such problems since it allows the modelling of the formalisms themselves. In this paper, we propose an approach based on the combined use of Meta-modelling and Graph Grammars to automatically generate a visual modelling tool for INA for analysis purposes. In our approach, the UML Class diagram formalism is used to define a meta-model of INA models. The meta-modelling tool ATOM3 is used to generate a visual modelling tool according to the proposed INA meta-model. We have also proposed a graph grammar to automatically generate INA description of the graphically specified Petri net models. This allows the user to avoid the errors when this description is done manually. Then the INA tool is used to perform the simulation and the analysis of the resulted INA description. Our environment is illustrated through an example.
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I. INTRODUCTION

THE Petri nets INA (Integrated Net Analyser) tool was developed by Prof. Dr. Peter H. Starke [12]. It is an interactive menu-driven program that allows a user to edit (in a textual form), reduce, execute and analyze Petri nets models. One of the advantages of Petri nets is their graphical representations of the models. However, INA tool is not graphical and the user has to transform the graphical representation to a textual description manually. So there is a risk of errors during the transformation process. The cost of building a visual tool for INA from the scratch is prohibitive. Meta-Modelling approach is useful to deal with such problems, as it allows (possibly graphical) the modelling of the formalisms themselves [8]. A model of formalism should contain enough information to permit the automatic generation of a tool to check and build models subject to the described formalism’s syntax. If this specification is done graphically, the time to develop a modelling tool can be drastically reduced to a few hours.

Since meta-model and model are stored as graphs, further manipulations of the models can be described graphically and formally as graph grammars [13]. Some of these manipulations are model simulation or animation, model optimisation, for example, to reduce its complexity, model transformation into another model (equivalent in behaviour), expressed in a different formalism, and the generation of textual model representations for use by existing simulators or tools. In this paper we will focus on the last kind of model transformation. These ideas presented above are implemented in ATOM3: A Tool for Multi-formalism and Meta-Modelling [2].

In this paper, we propose an INA Petri net meta-model and we use the meta-modelling tool ATOM3 to generate automatically a visual modelling tool to process models in INA formalism. We also define a graph grammar to translate the models created in the generated tool to a textual description in INA language (INA specification). Then the tool INA is used to perform the analysis of the resulted INA specification.

This paper is organized as follows: section II outlines some related work. In section III, we give an example of a graphical representation of a Petri nets model, its specification in INA, and justify the need for an automatic translator from the graphical representation to the INA specification. In section IV, we recall some concepts about Graph Grammars and ATOM3 tool. In section V, we define a meta-model for INA Petri net models and generate a visual tool for this formalism. In section VI, we propose a graph grammar to generate INA specification of models created with our tool. In section VII, we illustrate our tool through an example. Finally, section VIII concludes the paper.

II. RELATED WORK

The ATOM3 has been proven to be a very powerful tool allowing the meta-modeling and the transformations between formalisms. In [5] the authors proposed a transformation of non deterministic finite state automata to their equivalent deterministic finite state automata. In [6] the authors presented a transformation between Statecharts (without hierarchy) and Petri Nets. In [4] a transformation between Statecharts and DEVS is given. In [7] the authors used meta-modeling and
graph grammars to process GPSS models. The processing of UML Class Diagrams, Activity Diagrams, and many others using graph transformation can be found in [9] and [2]. In UML Activity Diagram for example, the authors were defined a graph grammar to transform UML Activity Diagram models into theirs equivalent Petri Nets models. Whereas in GPSS, the authors were defined a graph grammar to generate textual code for the HGPSS simulator from GPSS models. In this paper we propose a framework (a tool) based on the combined use of Meta-Modeling and Graph Grammars to generate a graphical environment for INA tool allowing the user to create the graphical representation of a Petri net model and then generate automatically its equivalent INA specification.

III. INA SPECIFICATION

Consider the following graphical representation of a Petri net model for three programmers and two terminals [12].

![Fig. 1 Three programmers sharing two terminals](image)

To perform analysis using INA tool, the graphical representation must be mapped to its equivalent INA specification. The textual description for INA tool (INA specification) of the above graphical representation is given in the file 3_prog_2_term.pnt as follows:

Fig. 2 The INA specification of the graphical representation of the net given in Fig. 1

When this INA specification is performed manually there is a risk of errors. So an automatic mapping from a graphical representation to INA specification will be welcome. So, we recall that our aim is to provide a user with a graphical tool for INA allowing it to create a graphical Petri net model (for example the model of Fig. 1) and then generate automatically the textual description for INA tool (for example the description of Fig. 2). The INA tool can be called for analysis purposes.

IV. GRAPH GRAMMARS AND ATOM3

We recall in the following subsections some basic notions about graph grammars and ATOM3.

A. Graph Grammars

Graph grammar [1] [13] is a generalization of Chomsky grammar for graphs. It is a formalism in which the transformation of graph structures can be modelled and studied. The main idea of graph transformation is the rule-based modification of graphs as shown in Fig. 2.

![Fig. 3 Rule-based Transformation of Graphs](image)

Graph grammars are composed of production rules; each having graphs in their left hand side (LHS) and right hand side (RHS). Rules are compared with an input graph called host graph. If a matching is found between the LHS of a rule and a subgraph in the host graph, then the rule can be applied and the matching subgraph of the host graph is replaced by the RHS of the rule. A rewriting system iteratively applies
Given our meta-model, we have used AToM³ tool to generate a graphical environment for INA Petri nets models. Figure 4 shows the generated INA graphical environment and a dialog box to edit a place. Each place has two attributes (name and initial marking) which are defined in the proposed Meta-model (see Fig. 3 in INAPlace class).

VI. GENERATION OF INA SPECIFICATION

In order to analyse INA models, it is necessary to translate these models into their equivalent representations in INA specification. In this section we show how to use the modelling environment obtained in the previous section to generate INA specification. We do this by defining a Graph Grammar to traverse the INA model and generate the corresponding code in INA. The advantage of using a graph grammar to generate the textual code is the graphical and high-level fashion. The graph grammar has an initial Action which opens the file where the code will be generated and decorates all the Transition and Place elements in the model with temporary attributes to be used in the conditions specified in the rules. In Transition elements, we use two attributes: current and visited. The current attribute is used to identify the transition in the model whose code has to be generated, whereas the visited attribute is used to indicate whether code for the transition has been generated yet. In Place elements, we use also two attributes: fromVisited and toVisited. The fromVisited attribute is used to indicate whether this place is processed as input place whereas the toVisited attribute is used to indicate if this place is processed as output place.

In our graph grammar, we have proposed seven rules which will be applied in ascending order by the rewriting system until no more rules are applicable. We are concerned here by automatic code generation, so none of these rules will change the INA models (i.e., in each of the six rules, the LHS is the same as the RHS). These rules are shown in figure 5 and described as follows:

Rule1: genLHS_E([priority 1]): is applied to locate a place (not previously processed) which is related to current...
transition with an input arc, and generate the corresponding INA specification.

**Rule2:** betweenLHSandRHS(priority 2): is applied to generate Maude code which separates LHS and RHS of the equivalent rewriting rule.

**Rule3:** genRHS_rL(priority 3): is applied to locate a place (not previously processed) which is related to current transition with a output arc, and generate the corresponding Maude specification.

**Rule4:** genTC(priority 4): is applied to generate the appropriate Maude syntax depending on the TC of the transition, and mark the transition as visited.

**Rule5:** InitialisePlace(priority 5): This rule is applied to locate and initialise temporary attributes in places for processing the next transition.

**Rule6:** SelectTransition(priority 6): is applied to select a transition that has not been previously processed to generate its equivalent in INA specification.

**Rule7:** SelectTransition(priority 7):

The graph grammar has also a final action which erases the temporary attributes from the entities and closes the output file. Finally, we have assigned the execution of this graph grammar to a button labelled as "Generate INA specification" in Fig. 4.

VII. CASE STUDY

In this section, we reconsider the same example presented in section 3 to illustrate our approach. We present first the graphical model describing this example via the generated tool. Thereafter, the translating of this graphical model into its equivalent INA specification using proposed graph grammar will be shown.

A. Example Presentation

This example is about three programmers sharing two terminals. Each programmer is either working at a terminal or pausing. Programmer 1 needs two terminals at the same time in order to work. The Fig. 6 presents the graphical model of this problem created in our tool.

B. Translating INA Petri Nets Model to INA Specification

In order to translate the graphical representation of the 3_prog_2_term problem into INA specification using the graph grammar defined in previous section, one have to click on the "Conv.to.INA" button in the interface of the generated tool. The result of this translation is the file (3_prog_2-term.pnt) which contains the description of the net and the initial marking in INA syntax as shown in Fig. 7.
In this paper, we have proposed an approach based on combining Meta-modelling and Graph Grammars to automatically generate a visual modelling tool for ECATNets for simulation and analysis purposes. ECATNets are a category of algebraic Petri Nets based on a safe combination of algebraic abstract types and high level Petri Nets. ECATNets’ semantic are defined in terms of rewriting logic allowing us to built models by formal reasoning. The cost of building a visual modelling tool (for ECATNets for example) from scratch is prohibitive. We have demonstrated in this work that Meta-Modelling approach is useful to deal with this problem since it allows the modelling of the formalisms themselves. By means of Graph Grammars, models manipulations are expressed on a formal basis and in a graphical way. In our approach, the UML Class diagram formalism is used as meta-formalism to propose a meta-model of ECATNets. The meta-modelling tool AToM³ is used to generate a visual modelling tool according to the proposed ECATNets meta-model. We have also proposed a graph grammar to generate Maude description of the graphically specified ECATNets models. Then the rewriting logic language Maude is used to perform the simulation of the resulted Maude specification.

In a future work, we are planning to hide the steps of the Simulation. The objective of this hiding is to unburden the user from having to manually invoke Maude language and to manipulate the textual version of the result of simulation. For this purpose, the result of simulation (final state) will be returned in graphically way in ECATNets model structure.
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