Comparative Study of Sustainable Architecture in Stairway-like Ushtobin Village, Iran
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Abstract—Stairway Ushtobin Village is one of the five villages with original and sustainable architecture in Northwest of Iran along the border of Armenia, which has been able to maintain its environment and sustainable ecosystem. Studying circulation, function and scale (grand, medium and minor) of space, ratio of full and empty spaces, number and height of stairs, ratio of compound volume to luxury spaces, openings, type of local masonry (stone, mud, wood) and form of covering elements have been carried out in four houses of this village comparatively as some samples in this article, and furthermore, this article analyzes that the architectural shapes and organic texture of the village meet the needs of cold and dry climate. Finally, some efficient plans are offered suiting the present needs of the village to have a sustainable architecture.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The well-known Ushtobin Village is the center of the rural district of east Dizmar beside Aras River and along the border of Iran-Armenia. This village is limited to Aras River which flows along the fourteen-kilometer distance away from it on the north. Ushtobin is 237 kilometer far from the of province’s central city. Ushtobin is a historic village with beautiful stairway architecture; where the yard of one house is the roof of the other one. This historic village is a complex of three small villages and is a sample of mountainous and valley villages [1].

II. SKELETON OF THE VILLAGE

Qanat is composed of an open opening and an underground tunnel like vessel and some vertical shafts which connect the other shaft and vice versa [3].

A. Existing Land Use in Village

Total area of Ushtobin village is 73254.28 square meters, and among the land improvement, residential use with 30.09 percent of the whole village area is dominant; while other uses allocate the following percentages to themselves: religious use, educational use, gardens, Store place, and springs: 0.77%, 4.05%, 5.61%, 1.03%, 0.03% respectively.

B. Main Square of the Village and its Improvement

The main square is almost in the center of the village and it would be improved using local masonry including: small and big rubble stones, ax stone and common stone; these could fulfill future needs maintenance sustainable architecture of the village [3].

Fig. 2: Arial photo and topography
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C. Improving Spring Surrounding of the Village

To improve this environment a plan was introduced not only to recover the historical-natural values but also prevent from wasting spring water to attract natural-architectural tourism to the village [4].

IV. COMPARATIVE STUDY OF DIFFERENT VILLAGE HOUSES

Four types of houses named Sorkhaei, Nabati, Khodaverdizadeh and Salmanzadeh having rich architecture are selected. After conducting necessary studies and analysis in the field of spaces and organizing function of the spaces including: a) functional diagrams, b) spatial circulation, c) number and connection of floors, d) ratio of empty spaces to luxurious ones, e) number of openings, the sustainable plans were proposed to each of these house types [5].

A. Functional Diagrams

Fig 4 Proposed design for Main Square of Ushtobin Village

Fig 5 Main spring location and proposed plan to improve the spring, Ushtobin Village

Fig 6 Functional diagram of Sorkhaei House,

Fig 7 Functional diagram of Salmanzadeh House,

Fig 8 Functional diagram of Khodaverdizadeh House,

Fig 9 Functional diagram of Nabati House
## B. Circulation

### TABLE I
**CIRCULATION IN 4 HOUSES, USHTOBIN VILLAGE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>House Type</th>
<th>Circulation in Ground Floor Plan</th>
<th>Circulation in First Floor Plan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sorkhaei</td>
<td>![Sorkhaei House Plan]</td>
<td>![Sorkhaei House Plan]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salmanzadeh</td>
<td>![Salmanzadeh House Plan]</td>
<td>![Salmanzadeh House Plan]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khodaverdizadeh</td>
<td>![Khodaverdizadeh House Plan]</td>
<td>![Khodaverdizadeh House Plan]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nabati</td>
<td>![Nabati House Plan]</td>
<td>![Nabati House Plan]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### C. Number and Access of Floors

Although the heights of the floors are rather different, both Sorkhaei and Salmanzadeh houses have two floors and the relation between stairs is through staircase [6]. While Khodaverdizadeh house has two floors which are not related, Nabati house has only one floor.

### D. Ratio of Empty Space to Luxurious Space

- **Sorkhaei House**: ratio of empty space to luxurious space: 0.28;
- **Salmanzadeh House**: ratio of empty space to luxurious space: 0.21;
- **Khodaverdizadeh House**: ratio of empty space to luxurious space: 0.08;
- **Nabati House**: ratio of empty space to luxurious space: 0.28.

### E. Openings

- **Shorkhaei house**: 4 windows, 2 doors, area: 8.07; wall area: 47.12; percent of openings to wall: 17.12;
- **Salmanzadeh house**: 6 windows, 1 door, area: 13.65; wall area: 66; ratio of empty space to luxurious space: 0.21; percent of openings to wall: 20.68;
- **Khodaverdizadeh house**: 3 windows, 1 door, area: 7.52; ratio of empty space to luxurious space: 0.08; wall area: 67.26; percent of openings to wall: 11.18;
- **Nabati house**: 4 windows, no door (north façade), area of north façade: 7.01; wall area: 58.29; percent of openings to wall: 12.02.

### F. Proposed Plans

In general, efficient plans for these houses are offered in the following diagram including future needs of the residents and regarding the form and combination, the spaces are different for each house.
The main sustainable and traditional building masonry which are used in this village to resist against weather conditions are as follows:
a) Stone: Due to the resistance against humidity, stone has an effective rule in foundations and is used as infrastructure in adobe walls.

b) Adobe: As one the common building masonry and for its trustable quality that prevents heat, exchange adobe is always noticed. However, it is easily broken if a sudden quake happens and it should always be taken care of to resist against erosion caused by weather.

c) Wood: Ease of application and no need to special skill, using less working force, etc. are the reasons to use wood in constructing residential buildings.

To avoid rain penetration into the plain roofs of the houses, an insulator in the form of a mud cover which is sometimes mixed with clay is used in this village as well. On the whole, local materials are used in four sample houses. It means stone and mud straw mixture are used in harmony with wood and then the façade is whitened.

VI. TYPE, FORM AND QUALITY OF THE MAIN COVERING ELEMENTS

A. Roofs

Roof is made by some main and secondary timbers which are put vertically to each other and wooden sheets of four polish timber are pegged into them to make a strong channel and they are covered by an insulating layer of nylon or mat on which clay is poured and finally all are covered with a layer of mud straw mixture special for caulking.

B. Column and Foundation

Rubble stone is laid with different angles to distribute column force to different parts. Adobe, vertical foundation, white plaster cover, four polish timber, load bearing wall detail with hidden foundation, vertical and horizontal hasps, masonry and details connecting brick wall to stone wall, girder [7].
VIII. CONCLUSION

Owing to having all cultural and artistic heritages, the typical and old architectural works visualize special sight and beside other displays and products of the artificial environment as the meaning and value of the village space these architectural works create special image in people’s mind. Therefore, in distinguished village architecture, the matter of welfare and paying attention to the needs of the residents of a building considering existing condition without unconsidered imitation and incorrect elements is the base of architectural work.

The main principles considered in local architecture of Ushtobin Village located in cold and dry area of Iran are as follows:

a) Use of compressed and compact plans;
b) Minimizing ratio of external area to building area;
c) Use of high heat resistant masonry;
d) Minimizing exchange of inner space air and natural ventilation to avoid heat loss;
e) Use of plain roof to keep snow as natural heat insulator;
f) Darkening cover of external façade to maximize use of sun heat.

Generally speaking, sustainable renovation of constructions of a village would be based on gathering and analyzing the data and identifying ecological resources and the ecological capacity. But, it should be considered that this village and the like constructed relying on sustainable architecture and energy should not be threatened or destroyed in order to supply the needs of today or due to lack of sustainable planning it should not lose their identity and texture.
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