Numerical Simulation of the Aerodynamic Loads acting on top of the SMART Centre for PV Applications
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Abstract—The flow field around a flatted-roof compound has been investigated by means of 2D and 3D numerical simulations. A constant wind velocity profile, based both on the maximum reference wind speed in the building site (peak gust speed worked out for a 50-year return period) and on the local roughness coefficient, has been simulated in order to determine the wind-induced loads on top of the roof. After determining the influence of the incoming wind directions on the induced roof loads, a 2D analysis of the most severe load condition has been performed, achieving a numerical quantification of the expected wind-induced forces on the PV panels on top of the roof.
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I. INTRODUCTION

CURRENT trends in energy supply and use are patently unsustainable, as well as economically, environmentally and socially. Without decisive action, energy-related emissions of CO2 will more than double by 2050 and increased oil demand will heighten concerns over the security of supplies [1]. Nevertheless, the rising concerns for the effects of the increased amount of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere have given solar photovoltaic (PV) industry a considerable push forward. Global PV capacity has in fact been increasing at an average annual growth rate of more than 40% since 2000 and it has significant potential for long-term growth over the next decades [1]. According to the last EPIA report, based on extensive analysis of five electricity markets (France, Germany, Italy, Spain and the United Kingdom), PV competitiveness with grid electricity can be achieved in some countries as early as 2013 and then spread across the continent in different market segments by 2020 [2]. PV installations may be ground-mounted (and sometimes integrated with farming and grazing) or built into the roof or walls of a building, known as Building Integrated Photovoltaics (BIPV).

In the last years, BIPV have increasingly been incorporated into the construction of new buildings as a principal or ancillary source of electrical power, although existing buildings may be retrofitted with BIPV modules as well [3]. However, as pointed out by Cosoiu et al. [4], the structure of the PV panel is rather flexible, continuous and fragile, sustained only by a thin framework: these features make it easily damageable by high winds and, in order to prevent such events, wind engineering experimental tests and numerical simulations are demanded if a more optimized and cheaper solution for a solar panel framework is required.

The complexity of the phenomena involved in the experimental investigation of the flow field around roof-mounted PV panels (and the consequent wind-induced loads on the supporting structures) gives an account of the use of computational fluid dynamics (CFD) aimed at determining the main structures of the flow field (recirculation bubbles, vertical air suction, vortices, three-dimensional effects), otherwise impossible to analyze. Several authors focused on the analysis of the flow field around buildings or bluff-shaped bodies [5] [6] [7].

Panneer Selvam [8] compared the computed pressure coefficients on the Texas Tech experimental building with experimental results, using both k-ε and Kato-Lauder k-ε turbulence models and obtaining good agreement between numerical predictions and experimental measurements.

Calhoun et al. [9] compared the numerical prediction of a Reynolds-Averaged Navier Stokes (RANS) model with experimental measurements of the flow field around a complex building for several incoming wind directions, finding a good reproduction of the mean dynamics of the flow field and ascribing some numerical errors to the recirculation vortices that resulted shifted in space with respect to the experimental measured ones.

Baskaran and Kashef [10] applied CFD techniques for the prediction of wind flow conditions around a single building, between two parallel buildings and around a multiple building configuration. Finally, a case study was presented, simulating an existing site together with the existing building and the local landscape.

Yang et al. [11] conducted an experimental study to quantify the characteristics of flow structures and the resultant wind loads (both forces and moments) on a high-rise building model in tornado-like winds. A Particle Image Velocimetry
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(PIV) system was also adopted to conduct detailed flow field measurements to reveal the evolution of the unsteady vortex and turbulent flow structures around the test model.

In order to develop a preliminary procedure to be used as a guidance in selecting the appropriate grid configuration and corresponding turbulence model for the prediction of the flow field over a two-dimensional roof architecture dominated by flow separation, Raciti Castelli et al. [12] tested the capability of several turbulence models to predict the separation that occurs in the upstream sector of the roof and the extension of the relative recirculation region for different vertical longitudinal positions, respectively from the upstream leading edge to the downstream bottom edge of a reference model building. Also spatial node distribution was investigated, in order to determine the best compromise between numerical prediction accuracy and computational effort. On the basis of this preliminary study, Raciti Castelli et al. [13] [14] numerically investigated the flow field over a 5° pitched roof-based integrated PV system, in order to determine the distribution of wind loads as a function of the panel row position on the roof and the amplitude of the recirculation region downstream the building. A solution for mitigating wind-induced loads on the panel rows was finally proposed, achieving a significant reduction of the resultant forces acting on the supporting frame of the PV panels.

Raciti Castelli and Benini [15] investigated the static pressure field on the top of a complex flat roof by means of a 3D numerical simulation of the flow field around the SMART shopping centre, see [15]. A constant wind speed in the building site (peak gust speed worked out for a 50-year return period) and on the local roughness coefficient, was simulated, in order to determine the wind pressure loads acting on a roof-based PV system. A full campaign of numerical simulations allowed to analyze the effect of several wind directions on the static pressure field on the top of the roof. In the present work, the incoming wind direction (West-East) causing the most severe load condition on the top of the roof was examined through a 2D simulation of the flow field around a vertical section of the building, determining a numerical quantification of the aerodynamic forces acting on the supporting structure of the PV panels.

II. THE CASE STUDY AND PREVIOUS WORK

The SMART shopping centre of Galliera Veneta is located close to an industrial and commercial area placed on a wind plain in northern Italy. Figs. 1 and 2 show respectively a general aerial view of the geographical area and a close-up of the building site, while Figs. 3, 4 and 5 show some views of the building, also evidencing the vertical section examined in the presented numerical simulations.

A full campaign of 3D simulations was already performed in [15] by analyzing the effect of several incoming wind directions on the static loads acting on the top of the roof, thus determining the most severe wind-induced load condition on the top of the roof as a function of the incoming wind sector. As can be seen from Fig. 6, most of the roof appeared to experience low static pressure: this phenomenon was ascribed to a large separation zone occurring on the top of the building, causing a wide recirculation bubble and consequent vertical air suction on the roof elements. Being the recirculation region connected with the severe impact of the velocity wind profile to the lateral walls of the building, the vertical air suction on top of the roof resulted maximized when the impact between the incoming flow and the lateral walls of the building was orthogonal (for North, South, West and East incoming wind directions). For more detail about the 3D simulation of the flow field around the SMART shopping centre, see [15].

As can be seen from Fig. 7, representing the modulus of the negative static pressure peaks on top of the building as a function of the incoming wind direction, the most severe vertical air suction on the PV panels was caused by an incoming West-wind. The flow field around a West-East vertical section of the building was therefore investigated in the present work, thus demonstrating the feasibility of a simplified 2D analysis in order to reduce the computational
time (with respect to a much longer 3D simulation) and also to quantitatively investigate the distribution of aerodynamic forces along the top of the roof.

[16] the values of \( v_{b,0} \), \( a_0 \), \( c_t \), \( k_r \), \( z_0 \) and \( z_{\text{min}} \) for the building site, the reference wind speed was determined as:

\[ v_b = v_{b,0} \]

being:

\[ a_s \leq a_0 \]

and the coefficient of exposure for the building site was determined as:

\[ c_e(H_{\text{building}}) = k_r^2 c_t \ln(H_{\text{building}}/z_0) \left[ 7 + c_t \ln(H_{\text{building}}/z_0) \right] \]

being:

\[ H_{\text{building}} \geq z_{\text{min}} \]

The maximum reference wind speed for the building site was eventually determined as:

\[ v_{b,\text{max}} = \left[ v_b^2 c_e(H_{\text{building}}) \right]^{0.5} \]
Table I summarizes the main coefficients adopted for the calculation of the maximum reference wind speed on the building site.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Denomination</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( v_{b,0} ) [m/s]</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( a_0 ) [m]</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( a_s ) [m]</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \nu_0 ) [m/s]</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( c_t ) [-]</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k_r ) [-]</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \zeta_0 ) [m]</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \zeta_{\text{min}} ) [m]</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( H_{\text{building}} ) [m]</td>
<td>10.7 (max)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( c_e(H_{\text{building}}) ) [-]</td>
<td>1.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( v_{b,0} ) [m/s]</td>
<td>33.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IV. MODEL GEOMETRY AND SPATIAL DOMAIN DISCRETIZATION

The flow field around the East-West vertical section of the SMART building was numerically simulated by reproducing a computational domain of rectangular shape, whose boundary conditions and main geometrical features are summarized in Fig. 8 and Table II.

A symmetry boundary condition was adopted for the terrain, in order to avoid the development of an atmospheric boundary layer: this choice, though not realistic, allowed to invest the tested model with an uniform velocity profile, computed according to DM 14/01/2008 [16].

An isotropic unstructured mesh, whose resolution was based on the validation work performed by Raciti Castelli et al. [12], was created around the model building. The characteristic data of the adopted grid architecture are summarized in Table III, as a function of the normalized grid resolution on the building, defined as:

\[
\text{Res}_{\text{building}} = \frac{\Delta g_{\text{building}}}{H_{\text{building}}} \quad (6)
\]

and as a function of the normalized grid resolution on outer computational domain, in formulas:

\[
\text{Res}_{\text{domain}} = \frac{\Delta g_{\text{domain}}}{H_{\text{domain}}} \quad (7)
\]

Figs. 9 and 10 show the main features of the spatial domain discretization. For further details upon the validation procedure and the reliability of the adopted numerical settings (as far as grid resolution and turbulence model are concerned), see [12].
A segregated solver, implicit formulation, was chosen for steady flow computations. Standard k-ε model was used for turbulent calculations as suggested by Yoshie et al. [17].

As a global convergence criterion, residuals were set to $10^{-5}$. Each simulation, performed on a 2.33 GHz clock frequency quad core CPU with Hyper-Threading, required a total computational time of about 2 hours.

VI. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Fig. 11 shows the contours of absolute velocity around the East-West vertical section of the building. A stagnation zone (evidenced by the red circle) is clearly visible on top of the roof, where the relative static pressure drops down to a negative value, as can be seen from Fig. 12, representing the static pressure contours around the building.

![Fig. 11 Contours of absolute velocity [m/s] around the East-West vertical section of the SMART centre; the red circle evidences the stagnation zone on top of the roof](image)

The resulting separation bubble on top of the roof is clearly visible from Fig. 13, showing the absolute pathlines - colored by particle variables - around the building. In order to achieve a numerical quantification of the aerodynamic forces acting on the PV panels, the roof was subdivided into 63 horizontal sectors of 1 m length, numbered from 1 to 63 starting from the upstream edge of the building, as evidenced from Fig. 14.

Figs. from 15 to 17 show the horizontal, vertical and resultant forces per unit length on the roof of the building as a function of the roof sector number. As can be clearly seen, the horizontal forces on the roof are completely negligible, while no downward force is registered on the roof sectors. On the contrary, all sectors are subjected to upward thrusts, due to the low pressure in the recirculation zone on top of the building, as a consequence of the large separation bubble on top of the building.

![Fig. 13 Absolute pathlines colored by particle variables around the SMART building](image)

![Fig. 14 Subdivision of the roof into 63 horizontal sectors, starting from the upstream edge of the building](image)

![Fig. 15 Horizontal force per unit length on the examined roof sectors](image)

![Fig. 16 Vertical force per unit length on the examined roof sectors](image)
A two-dimensional numerical model for the evaluation of wind-induced loads on the top of a flat roof compound was presented. After determining the most severe load condition as a function of the incoming wind sector, a constant wind velocity profile, based on the maximum reference wind speed in the building site and on the local roughness coefficient, was simulated for an East-West vertical section of the building.

Owing to a large recirculation zone on top of the building, no downward force was registered on the horizontal roof. On the contrary, all roof sectors resulted subjected to a severe upward thrust.

Further work should be performed, in order to investigate a means for the vertical aerodynamic loads on the PV panels on top of the building by balancing the negative pressure field on both sides (upper and lower) of each panel.

**VII. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK**

The present work was developed in cooperation with ESPE S.r.l., San Pietro in Cu (Italy).
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**NOMENCLATURE**

- $a_0$ [m] reference height above sea level for the building site
- $h$ [m] height of the building site above sea level
- $c_{(H)}$ [-] coefficient of exposure for the building site
- $c_t$ [-] coefficient of topography for the building site and height
- $f_1$ [N/m] horizontal force per unit length on the roof sector
- $f_0$ [N/m] vertical force per unit length on the roof sector
- $f_{tot}$ [N/m] total force per unit length on the roof sector
- $H$ [m] building height
- $k_b$ [-] reference roughness coefficient at the building site
- $Re_{building}$ [-] normalized grid resolution on the building
- $Re_{domain}$ [-] normalized grid resolution on outer computational domain
- $V_b$ [m/s] basic wind speed at the building site and height above sea level
- $V_{b0}$ [m/s] basic wind speed at the building site
- $V_{b, max}$ [m/s] maximum reference wind speed for the building site
- $z_0$ [m] reference height of roughness coefficient for the building site
- $z_{min}$ [m] minimum reference height of roughness coefficient for the building site
- $A_{building}$ [m] grid resolution on the building
- $A_{domain}$ [m] grid resolution on outer computational domain