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Abstract—A data warehouse (DW) is a system which has value and role for decision-making by querying. Queries to DW are critical regarding to their complexity and length. They often access millions of tuples, and involve joins between relations and aggregations. Materialized views are able to provide the better performance for DW queries. However, these views have maintenance cost, so materialization of all views is not possible. An important challenge of DW environment is materialized view selection because we have to realize the trade-off between performance and view maintenance. Therefore, in this paper, we introduce a new approach aimed to solve this challenge based on Two-Phase Optimization (2PO), which is a combination of Simulated Annealing (SA) and Iterative Improvement (II), with the use of Multiple View Processing Plan (MVPP). Our experiments show that 2PO outperform the original algorithms in terms of query processing cost and view maintenance cost.
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I. INTRODUCTION

A data warehouse (DW) can be defined as subject-oriented, integrated, nonvolatile, and time-variant collection of data in support of management’s decision [1]. It can bring together selected data from multiple database or other information sources into a single repository [2]. To avoid accessing from base table and increase the speed of queries posed to a DW, we can use some intermediate results from the query processing stored in the DW called materialized views. Although materialized views speed up query processing, they have to be refreshed when changes occur to the base tables. Therefore, materialized view selection involved query processing cost and materialized view maintenance cost. So, many literatures try to make the sum of that cost minimal. For all of operation, i.e., select, project, join, order, group-by and aggregation operation; join operation has the most impact on query processing cost. In addition, some researchers consider only join order optimization or aggregation operation, or both. The existing algorithms solving query optimization, multiple query optimizations, and materialized view selection can be classified into four categories, i.e., deterministic algorithm, randomized algorithm, evolutionary algorithm and hybrid algorithm [3]. In our previous work [4], we analyzed and compared only three types of algorithm; deterministic algorithm, evolutionary algorithm and hybrid algorithm. However in this paper, we explore the application of randomized algorithm. We address Two-Phase Optimization (2PO) algorithm, which is a combination of Simulated Annealing (SA) and Iterative Improvement (II), to the materialized view selection problem with MVPP techniques. In the experimental study we show that, comparing to [5] and [10], our method provides a further improvement in term of query processing cost and view maintenance cost.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2, we describe Multiple View Processing Plan (MVPP). Section 3, we focus on Iterative Improvement and Simulated Annealing. Section 4, we propose our Two-Phase Optimization approach which aimed to solve the materialized view selection problem. Section 5, deal with our experimental studies, and conclude in section 6.

II. MULTIPLE VIEW PROCESSING PLAN (MVPP)

According to [5], they use multiple query processing technique (MQP) to build multiple views processing plan (MVPP) in order to identify views to be materialized.

Fig. 1 An example MVPP plan

An MVPP is a directed acyclic graph that represents a query processing of DW views. An example MVPP is shown
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in Fig. 1. The leaf nodes correspond to the base relations, and the root nodes represent the queries. Any vertex which is an intermediate or a final result of a query is denoted as a view. The cost for each operation node is labeled at the right side of the node. The query access frequencies are labeled on the top of each query node.

III. ITERATIVE IMPROVEMENT AND SIMULATED ANNEALING

A. Iterative Improvement (II)

[7] exposed II algorithm to the large join query optimization problem. II is a simple hill-climbing algorithm. This algorithm performs a large number of local optimizations. A local optimization starts at a random state, and seeks minimum cost point using a strategy-like hill-climbing. At the starting point, a random neighbor is selected. If the neighbor’s cost is lower than current’s cost, the move is carried out and a new neighbor with the lower cost is sought. II performs random series of move and accepts only downhill ones until it reaches a local minimum. This algorithm is repeated until a time limit is exceeded or a predetermined number of starting points is processed, then the lowest local minimum encountered is the result. The II algorithm present in Fig. 2.

1. random starting point
2. at the starting point, a random neighbor is selected
3. if the neighbor’s cost is lower than current’s cost then move is carried out and a neighbor is sought
4. performs random series of move and accepts only downhill ones until it reaches a local minimum

Fig. 2 Iterative Improvement (II) algorithm

B. Simulated Annealing (SA)

[8] invented SA algorithm, and used it on traveling salesman problem. SA follows a procedure similar to II, but it accepts uphill move with some probability, while II performs only downhill move. At each step, the SA considers neighbor’s cost of the current’s cost, and probabilistically decides among moving the system to neighbor’s state or staying in current’s state. The probabilities are chosen, so the system ultimately tends to move to states of the lower cost. This step is repeated until the time becomes zero, or until the system reaches a state which is good enough for the application. [9] applied this algorithm to the optimization of some recursive queries.

In [10], they introduced a new approach for materialized view selection based on SA in conjunction with the use of a MVPP. Fig. 3 shows SA algorithm.

IV. OUR APPROACH: TWO-PHASE OPTIMIZATION FOR SELECTING MATERIALIZED VIEW

Ioannidis and Kang [6] inspired Two-Phase Optimization (2PO) algorithm to the optimization of project-join queries. Our approach is designed based on 2PO with MVPP for solving the materialized view selection problem. 2PO combines both SA and II. It begins by running II to find a good local minimum, before applying SA to search for the global minimum from the state found by II. Our algorithm present in Fig. 4.

1. Input a MVPP represented by a DAG
2. Use width-first searching method to search through all of the nodes in the DAG and produce an ordered sequence of these nodes into a binary string
3. Call Iterative Improvement algorithm
4. Call Simulated Annealing algorithm
5. Present set of views to materialized with minimum cost

Fig. 4 Our Two-Phase Optimization (2PO) algorithm

In the following subsection, we give the details of representation of solutions and define the cost model of materialized view selection.

A. Representation of Solutions

Output from MVPP is a DAG. We do not directly use a DAG as the input of our 2PO algorithm. Instead, we first map it into a binary string. For example, search through the DAG, shown in Fig. 1, using width-first, we obtain the mapping array, i.e. [result3,0], [result1,0], [result2,0], [tmp14,0], [tmp12,0], [tmp11,0], [tmp13,0], [tmp8,0], [tmp10,0], [tmp6,0], [tmp7,0], [tmp9,0], [tmp4,0], [tmp5,0], [tmp2,0], [tmp3,0], [tmp1,0]. A binary string of [0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0] indicates that none of node is materialized. A binary string of [0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,1,0,0,0] implies that nodes [tmp13, tmp7] are materialized, but others are not.

B. Cost Model of Materialized View Selection

According to [5], a linear cost model is used to calculate the cost of query Q. The cost of answering Q is the number of rows in the table that query Q used to construct Q.

Let M be a set of materialized views, $C_q(M)$ be the cost to compute $q$, from the set of materialized views $M$, $C_m(v)$ be the cost of maintenance when $v$ is materialized, and $f_q$, $f_v$ are query and updating frequency, respectively. Then the total query processing cost is $\sum_{q \in Q} f_q C_q(M)$. The total maintenance cost is $\sum_{v \in M} f_u C_m(v)$.

The total cost of the materialized views $M$ is

$$\sum_{q \in Q} f_q C_q(M) + \sum_{v \in M} f_u C_m(v)$$
Our goal is to find the set M, if the members of M are materialized then the value of total cost will be minimal among all feasible sets of materialized view.

V. EXPERIMENTAL STUDIES

In our experiment, we employ MQP technique to all of three algorithms and use the same cost model proposed by [5] to compute query processing cost, materialized view maintenance cost and total cost. We do not consider any constraints. We use the TPC-H database of size 1GB as a running example throughout our paper. It has 22 read-only queries. Most of them are large and complex, and perform different operations on the database table. For more details on this benchmark refers to www.tpc.org. In this paper, we cover all of regular aggregate functions; MIN, MAX, SUM, AVG, COUNT, VARIANCE and STDDEV as listed in Fig. 5.

![Fig. 5 Our Queries](image)

We observe that these queries are defined over overlapping portion of the base data or intermediate query result. For example Query 5 and Query 6 can share the intermediate portion of the base data or intermediate query result. For our two-phase optimization algorithm, we map a DAG into a binary string using the same method as used by SA. Then we run II and then followed by SA. The selected views into a binary string using the same method as used by SA. Based on these results; it would be benefit to materialize them, reducing the cost from 7,688,720,739,017 to 6,184,919,079,222.

According to simulated annealing algorithm, we use an existing simulated annealing package [11] and define this problem based on [10]. We first map the solution of view selection problem into a binary string of 1s and 0s. For example, search through the DAG, shown in figure 7, using width-first, we obtain the mapping array, i.e. [result2,0], [result7,0], [result3,0], [result4,0], [result1,0], [result6,0], [result5,0], [tmp15,0], [tmp25,0], [tmp11,0], [tmp23,0], [tmp19,0], [tmp22,0], [tmp21,0], [tmp14,0], [tmp8,0], [tmp17,0], [tmp16,0], [tmp20,0], [tmp13,0], [tmp6,0], [tmp24,0], [tmp18,0], [tmp12,0], [tmp4,0], [tmp5,0], [tmp7,0], [tmp10,0], [tmp2,0], [tmp3,0], [tmp9,0] and [tmp1,0]. According to our search method, A binary string of {1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,1} means that nodes named result7, result2, tmp9 and tmp1 are materialized, but others are not. We set SA parameters like [10] the results are Tmp5, Tmp11, Tmp15, Tmp17, Tmp18, Tmp21 and Tmp24. Based on these results; it would be benefit to materialize them, reducing the total cost from 7,688,720,739,017 to 6,184,918,609,222.
deterministic algorithm, its maintenance cost is the cheapest, however, its query processing cost is the most expensive this lead to its total cost the most expensive. For SA algorithm, its query processing cost and maintenance cost is moderate, so its total cost is moderate too.

VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we introduce Two-Phase Optimization (2PO) algorithm, which is a combination of Simulated Annealing (SA) and Iterative Improvement (II), to materialized view selection with Multiple View Processing Plan (MVPP) proposed by [5]. Comparing to deterministic algorithm proposed by [5] and Simulated Annealing proposed by [10], our approach provides a better result than Deterministic algorithm and Simulated Annealing algorithm. Two-Phase Optimization finds the best solution, and avoids unnecessary large uphill moves at the early stages of Simulated Annealing.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithm</th>
<th>Selected views</th>
<th>Cost of query processing</th>
<th>Cost of maintenance</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deterministic</td>
<td>Tmp11, Tmp15, Tmp17, Tmp21, Tmp24</td>
<td>591,205,328,714</td>
<td>5,593,713,750,508</td>
<td>6,184,919,079,222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulated Annealing</td>
<td>Tmp5, Tmp11, Tmp15, Tmp17, Tmp18, Tmp21, Tmp24</td>
<td>591,204,438,714</td>
<td>5,593,714,770,508</td>
<td>6,184,918,609,222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two-Phase Optimization</td>
<td>Tmp5, Tmp11, Tmp12, Tmp15, Tmp17, Tmp18, Tmp21, Tmp24</td>
<td>591,203,688,714</td>
<td>5,593,714,470,508</td>
<td>6,184,918,159,222</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The query processing, maintenance and total cost for each algorithm.
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