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Abstract—This paper presents the comparison study of current control techniques for shunt active power filter. The hysteresis current control, the delta modulation control and the carrier-based PWM control are considered in the paper. The synchronous detection method is used to calculate the reference currents for shunt active power filter. The simulation results show that the carrier-based PWM control technique provides the minimum %THD value of the source currents compared with other comparable techniques after compensation. However, the %THD values of all three techniques can follow the IEEE std.519-1992.
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I. INTRODUCTION

POWER connected nonlinear loads can generate the harmonics into the systems. Theses harmonics cause a lot of disadvantages such as loss in transmission lines and electric devices, protective device failures, and short-life electronic equipments in the system [1]. Therefore, it is very important to reduce or eliminate the harmonics in the system. It is well known that the harmonic elimination via a shunt active power filter (SAPF) [2] as shown in Fig.1 provides higher efficiency and more flexible compared with a passive power filter. In Fig.1, the three-phase bridge rectifier feeding resistive and inductive loads (R=130 \( \Omega \) and \( L=4 \) H) behaves as a nonlinear load into the power systems. A synchronous detection (SD) method [3] is used for a harmonic detection to calculate the reference currents for the shunt active power filter. The hysteresis current control (HCC) [4], [5], the delta modulation control (DMC) [6], [7] and the carrier-based PWM control (CPWM) [8], [9] are considered for performance comparison. The performance index for this comparison is %THD of the source currents after compensation. The paper is structured as follows. The overview of the synchronous detection method is addressed in Section II.

The concepts of three current control techniques are presented in Section III. The simulation results and discussion are presented in Section IV. Finally, Section V concludes the results from the comparison study.

Fig.1 The power system considered
II. THE HARMONIC DETECTION USING SYNCHRONOUS DETECTION METHOD

The synchronous detection method to calculate the reference currents of shunt active power filter is explained in this section. The assumption of this method is that the balanced three-phase source currents have to be obtained after compensation. Therefore, the peak value of source currents ($I_{sa}$, $I_{sb}$ and $I_{sc}$) can be set in (1).

$$I_{sa} = I_{sb} = I_{sc}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

The procedure to calculate the reference currents using the synchronous detection method can be summarized as follows:

**Step 1:** Calculate the three-phase power ($P_{3p}$) by:

$$P_{3p} = v_{sa}i_{sa} + v_{sb}i_{sb} + v_{sc}i_{sc}$$ \hspace{1cm} (2)

**Step 2:** Determine the fundamental power of $P_{3p}$ ($P_{dc}$) by using low pass filter (LPF) as shown in Fig. 3.

**Step 3:** Calculate the average active power for each phase ($P_{a,m}$, $P_{b,m}$, $P_{c,m}$) by:

$$P_{a,m} = \frac{2}{3}v_{sa}\sqrt{V_{sa}^2} ; k = a, b, c$$ \hspace{1cm} (3)

The $V_{sa}$, $V_{sb}$ and $V_{sc}$ in (3) are the peak value of three-phase source voltages in phase $a$, $b$ and $c$, respectively. The total of peak voltage ($V_{tot}$) in (3) can be calculated by (4).

$$V_{tot} = V_{sa} + V_{sb} + V_{sc}$$ \hspace{1cm} (4)

**Step 4:** Calculate the fundamental source currents for each phase ($i_{sa}, i_{sb}, i_{sc}$) by:

$$i_{sa} = 2v_{sa}P_{a,m}/V_{sa}^2 ; k = a, b, c$$ \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $v_{sa}$, $v_{sb}$ and $v_{sc}$ are instantaneous source voltages in phase $a$, $b$ and $c$, respectively.

**Step 5:** Calculate the reference currents of shunt active power filter in each phase ($i'_{sa}, i'_{sb}, i'_{sc}$) by:

$$i'_{sa} = i_{sa} - i_{dc} ; k = a, b, c$$ \hspace{1cm} (6)

The block diagram of overall procedure to calculate the reference currents using the synchronous detection method is given in Fig. 2.

III. CURRENT CONTROL TECHNIQUES

A. Hysteresis Current Control

The hysteresis current control (HCC) scheme is based on a nonlinear control as shown in Fig. 4. The compensating currents ($i_{sa}, i_{sb}, i_{sc}$) in Fig. 4 are compared with the reference currents ($i'_{sa}, i'_{sb}, i'_{sc}$) by using hysteresis comparators to...
generate the six switching pulses. These pulses are used to control the IGBTs to turn on and turn off. The basic concept of the hysteresis current control is shown in Fig. 5. According to Fig. 5, hysteresis band (HB) is the possible boundary of the compensating current. This current swings between upper and lower hysteresis limits. For example in phase a, if $i_{ca}$ is equal or over than the upper hysteresis limit ($i_{ca}^* + HB/2$) then the comparator output is 0 (S1=0, S2=1). On the other hand, if $i_{ca}$ is equal or less than the lower hysteresis limit ($i_{ca}^* - HB/2$) then the comparator output is 1 (S1=0, S2=1). From this operating, the $i_{ca}$ can swing inside the hysteresis band following the reference current ($i_{ca}^*$). This reference current can be calculated by the synchronous detection method from section II.

The maximum switching frequency ($f_{s,max}$) of IGBTs can be calculated by (7) [10]. The $V_{dc}$ and $L_f$ in (7) are the value of the dc bus voltage and the size of inductor, respectively.

$$f_{s,max} = \frac{2V_{dc}}{9HB L_f} \quad (7)$$

The delta modulation current control (DMC) is also based on a nonlinear control as shown in Fig. 6. Form this figure, the limit comparators and D-type flip-flops are applied to generate the switching signals of six IGBTs. The concept of the delta modulation current control technique is simple and easy to implementation. In Fig. 6 for phase a, if $i_{ca}$ is over than $i_{ca}^*$ then the comparator output (y1) is 0. In contrast, if $i_{ca}$ is less than $i_{ca}^*$ then y1 is 1. This output is transferred to D-type flip-flop for generating the switching pulses. The output of D-type flip-flop (Q or S1) is determined by the clock signal as shown in Fig. 7. When the clock signal changes from 0 to 1, S1 is set equal to the output of comparator (y1). The signal S2 or $\overline{Q}$ is the opposite state of the switching pulse S1.

The switching frequency of delta modulation current control technique is not constant. The maximum switching frequency ($f_{s,max}$) for this technique can be calculated by (8) [11], where $f_{clock}$ is the frequency of the clock signal.

$$f_{s,max} = \frac{f_{clock}}{2} \quad (8)$$

The carrier-based PWM current control technique is a linear control. The block diagram of this technique is shown in Fig. 8. In this figure, the difference between the reference currents ($i_{ca}^*, i_{cb}^*, i_{cc}^*$) and the compensating currents ($i_{ca}, i_{cb}, i_{cc}$) are sent to the proportional-integral (PI) controllers to generate the reference voltages ($u_{ca}^*, u_{cb}^*, u_{cc}^*$). These voltages are compared with the triangular carrier signals by using the limit comparators to generate the switching pulses of six IGBTs.
Fig. 8 The block diagram of carrier-based PWM current control

The principle of the carrier-based PWM current control is illustrated in Fig. 9. For example in phase \(a\), if the reference voltage \(u_{ca}^*\) is over than the triangular carrier voltage \(u_{tr}\) then the comparator output is 1 (S1=1, S2=0). If \(u_{ca}^*\) is less than \(u_{tr}\) then the comparator output is 0 (S1=0, S2=1).

The switching frequency of this technique is constant and it is equal to the frequency of triangular carrier \(f_{tr}\) signal [12].

This value can be designed by the maximum order of harmonic component considered for elimination.

IV. SIMULATION RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The three current control techniques described in previous section are applied to control the current injection of shunt active power filter. In Fig. 1, the DC bus voltage \(V_{dc}\) and inductor \(L_f\) values are set to 750 V and 39 mH, respectively. These parameters are designed by adaptive tabu search (ATS) method [13]. The hysteresis band (HB) for hysteresis current control is equal to 40 mA. The clock frequency \(f_{clock}\) for delta modulation current control is set to 200 kHz and the triangular frequency \(f_{tr}\) of carrier-based PWM current control is set to 10 kHz. Moreover, \(k_p\) and \(k_i\) values for carrier-based PWM current control are equal to 5 and 1000, respectively [14].

(a) The simulation results of hysteresis current control (HCC)
The simulation results of phase \( a \) with three current control methods are shown in Fig. 10. The performance index in the paper for comparison study is the average total harmonic distortion (\( \%THD_{av} \)). This value can be calculated by (9).

From the simulation results in Fig. 10, the source currents are highly distort waveforms and \( \%THD_{av} \) of these currents is equal to 25.50% as shown in Table I. In the paper, the compensating currents from shunt active power filter (\( i_{ca} \)) inject into the system at \( t=0.04 \) s. It can be seen that the source currents after compensation (\( i_{sa} \)) are nearly sinusoidal.
waveform. From Table I, the $\% \text{THD}_{av}$ of these currents for hysteresis current control (HCC), delta modulation current control (DMC) and carrier-based PWM current control (CPWM) are equal to 3.67\% 4.39\% and 3.32\%, respectively.

$$\% \text{THD}_{av} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} \% \text{THD}_k}{3}$$ (9)

In Table I, the results after compensation using CPWM technique can provide the minimum $\% \text{THD}_{av}$ compared with HCC and DMC techniques. It means that the CPWM technique is the best method for the proposed system to control the current injection of shunt active power filter. However, the $\% \text{THD}_{av}$ values of three techniques can be satisfied under IEEE Std. 519-1992.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$% \text{THD}_{av}$ of source current</th>
<th>Current control techniques</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$% \text{THD}_{av}$ before compensation</td>
<td>25.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$% \text{THD}_{av}$ after compensation</td>
<td>3.67%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V. CONCLUSION
This paper presents the comparison study of three current control approaches for shunt active power filter. The hysteresis current control, the delta modulation control and the carrier-based PWM control are selected to consider the performance comparison. The synchronous detection method is applied to calculate the reference currents for shunt active power filter. The comparison results show that the carrier-based PWM control technique is the best method to obtain the minimum $\% \text{THD}_{av}$ after compensation. However, the $\% \text{THD}_{av}$ values of all three techniques can follow the IEEE std.519-1992.
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