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Abstract—This paper focuses on designing PSS and SVC controllers based on chaos and PSO algorithms to improve the stability of power systems. Single machine infinite bus (SMIB) system with SVC located at the terminal of generator has been considered to evaluate the proposed controllers where both SVC and PSS have the same controller. The coefficients of PSS and SVC controller have been optimized by chaos and PSO algorithms. Finally the system with proposed controllers has been simulated for the special disturbance in input power of generator, and then the dynamic responses of generator have been presented. The simulation results showed that the system composed with recommended controller has outstanding operation in fast damping of oscillations of power system.

Keywords—PSS, CHAOS, PSO, Stability

I. INTRODUCTION

Power systems experience low frequency oscillations (in the range of 0.1 Hz to 2.5Hz) during and after a large or small disturbance has happened to a system, especially for middle to heavy loading conditions [1]. These oscillations may sustain and grow to cause system separation if no adequate damping is available [2]. Power System Stabilizers (PSSs) are the most cost effective devices used to damp low frequency oscillations. For many years, Conventional PSS (CPSSs) have been widely used in the industry because of their simplicity [3]. To improve the performance of CPSSs, numerous techniques have been proposed for their design, such as using intelligent optimization methods (simulated annealing, genetic algorithm, tabu search) [4], fuzzy, neural networks and many other nonlinear control techniques. During some operating conditions, PSS may not produce adequate damping, and other effective alternatives are needed in addition to PSS. Recent development of power electronics introduces the use of Flexible AC Transmission Systems (FACTS) controllers in power systems [5]. FACTS utilize high power semiconductor devices to control the reactive power flow and thus the active power flow of the transmission system so that the ac power can be transmitted through a long distance efficiently [6]. The conception of FACTS as a total network control philosophy was first introduced by N.G. Hingorani [7] from the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) in the USA in 1988, although the power electronic controlled devices had been used in the transmission network for many years before that. The FACTS devices may be connected so as to provide either series compensation or shunt compensation depending upon their compensating strategies [8].

Nowadays, Static Var Compensator (SVC) is one of the key elements in the power system that provides the opportunity to improve power quality and reliability due to its fast response. SVC has the functional capability to handle dynamic conditions, such as transient stability and power oscillation damping in addition to providing voltage regulation [6]. Due to the characteristics of power transmission systems, the FACTS Compensator control algorithm must be designed resorting to control methods capable to deal with system nonlinearities and unknown disturbances [9]. In this paper the PSS and SVC have the same controller, that their coefficients have been optimized by chaos and PSO algorithms. Then the system with proposed controller has been simulated for the special disturbance and the dynamic response of generator has been represented.

II. MODEL OF PROPOSED SYSTEM

A synchronous machine with an IEEE type-ST1 excitation System connected to an infinite bus through a transmission Line has been selected to demonstrate the derivation of simplified linear models of power system for dynamic stability analysis [10]. Fig. 1 shows the model consists of a generator supplying bulk power to an infinite bus through a transmission line, with an SVC located at its terminal. The equations that describe the generator and excitation system have been represented in following equations:

\[ \dot{\delta} = \omega_0 (\omega - 1) \]  
\[ \dot{\omega} = (P_m - P_e - D(\omega - 1))/M \]  
\[ E_q = (E_{jd} - (X_d - X'_d)id - E'_q)/\tau'do \]  
\[ \dot{E}_{jd} = (K_A(V_{ref} - V_i + U_p) - E_{jd})/T_A \]  

Where

\[ P_e = V_i I_d + V_q I_q \]
\[ V_i = V_{qs} + jV_{qf} \]  
\[ V_{qs} = X_{qf}I_{qf} \]  
\[ V_{qf} = E_{qf} - X_{qf}I_{qf} \]

\( \delta \) is the rotor angle, \( V_b \) the infinite bus voltage, \( \omega \) the rotor speed, \( P_m \) the mechanical input power, \( P_e \) active power, \( E' \) the internal voltage, \( E_{fd} \) the excitation voltage, and \( V_{ref} \) is the reference voltage. The constant values of these equations have been represented in Table 1.

III. STATIC VAR COMPENSATOR

SVC is a currently widely used FACTS device in power systems. By adjusting the firing angle, it can provide smoothly and rapidly reactive power control and therefore, provide great control to the bus voltage. In addition, SVC can enhance the transient stability [11] and provide additional damping to power systems as well [12].

SVC is mainly operated at load side bus and used as replacement for existing voltage control devices [13]. A basic topology of SVC consists of a series capacitor bank \( C \) in parallel with a thyristor controlled reactor \( L \), as shown in Fig. 2. The SVC can be seen as an adjustable susceptance which is a function of thyristor firing angle.

IV. POWER SYSTEM LINEARIZED MODEL

A linear dynamic model is obtained by linearizing the nonlinear model round an operating condition (\( P_e = 1 \), \( Q_e = 0.59 \)). The linearized model of power system as shown in Fig. 1 is given as follows:

\[ \Delta E_{sd} = \left( K_d (\Delta V_{ref} - \Delta V_b + U_{pos}) - \Delta E_{sd} \right) / T_d \]  
\[ \Delta P_e = K_c \Delta \delta + K_{qf} \Delta E'_{qf} + K_{fd} \Delta B_{svc} \]  
\[ \Delta V_i = K_c \Delta \delta + K_{qf} \Delta E'_{qf} + K_{fd} \Delta B_{svc} \]

\( K_1, K_2, \ldots, K_6 \) are linearization constants.

### Table I System Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( X_d )</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( X_q )</td>
<td>1.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( X'_{d} )</td>
<td>0.245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( D )</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( H )</td>
<td>2.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \tau_{do} )</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( X_f )</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( X_c )</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_e )</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V. CHAOS OPTIMISATION ALGORITHM

Chaos is a general phenomenon in non-line system. It can get all the states in the search space by the rules of itself. Moreover, a tiny change of initial values can lead to a big change of the system. The chaos search can generate the neighbourhoods of near-optimal solutions to maintain solution diversity. It can prevent the search process from becoming premature. The chaos optimization method based on Chaos Search is proposed to avoid the local optimal [14]. Chaos variables are usually generated by the well known logistic map. The logistic map is a one-dimensional quadratic map defined by (15)

\[ \gamma'_{i}(k + 1) = \beta \gamma_i(k)(1 - \gamma_i(k)) \]  

Where \( \beta \) is a control parameter and \( 0 \leq \gamma_i(0) \leq 1 \).

Despite the apparent simplicity of the equation, the solution exhibits a rich variety of behaviours. For \( \beta = 4 \) system (15) generates chaotic evolutions. Its output is like a stochastic output, no value of \( \gamma_i(k) \) is repeated and the deterministic equation is sensitive to initial conditions. Those are the basic characteristics of chaos. Chaos variable \( \gamma_i(0) \) is mapped into...
the variance ranges of optimisation variables by the following equations [15]:

\[ x_i(k) = x_{i*} + \alpha_i(2\gamma_i(k) - 1) \]  

\[ \alpha_i = 0.0(\hat{b}_j - \hat{a}_j) \] \[ x_i = [\hat{a}_i, \hat{b}_i] \]  

Where \( \chi \) is optimization variable, \( \chi^* \) is the best experiment of variable, and \( \alpha \) is the feasible region. Fig 3 shows the flowchart of chaos algorithm.

VI. PSO ALGORITHM

The particle swarm optimization (PSO) algorithm was first proposed by Kennedy and Eberhart [16] where is a novel evolutionary algorithm paradigm which imitates the movement of birds flocking or fish schooling looking for food. Each particle has a position and a velocity, representing the solution to the optimization problem and the search direction in the search space. The particle adjusts the velocity and position according to the best experiences which are called the pbest found by itself and gbest found by all its neighbors. In PSO algorithms each particle moves with an adaptable velocity within the regions of decision space and retains a memory of the best position it ever encountered. The best position ever attained by each particle of the swarm is communicated to all other particles. The updating equations of the velocity and position are given as follows [17]:

\[ v_i(k + 1) = \omega v_i(k) + r_1 c_1 [p_i - x_i(k)] + r_2 c_2 [p_g - x_i(k)] \]  

\[ x_i(k + 1) = x_i(k) + v_i(k + 1) \]  

Where \( v \) is the velocity and \( x \) is the position of each particle. \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \) are positive constants referred to as acceleration constants and must be \( c_1 + c_2 \leq 4 \), usually \( c_1 = c_2 = 2 \). \( r_1 \) and \( r_2 \) are random numbers between 0 and 1, \( w \) is the inertia weight, \( p \) refers to the best position found by the particle and \( p_g \) refers to the best position found by its neighbors. Fig 4 shows the flowchart of PSO algorithm.

VII. SIMULATION RESULTS

The deviation of speed that obtained from linearization has been selected for inputs of PSS and SVC controller which is shown in Fig. 5. As specified by Fig. 5 PSS and SVC have the same Lead-lag controller. The constant values of Fig. 5 have been represented in Table 2.

The fitness function used in this paper for chaos and PSO algorithms is represented in (20) that \( t_{sim} \) is the simulation time, \( dw \) is the deviation of speed and \( dv_t \) is the deviation of terminal voltage of generator.

\[ \text{fitness} = \int_0^{t_{sim}} [10 \cdot |dw| + |dv_t|]dt \]  

Fig. 3 Flowchart of the chaos algorithm
The deviation of speed (\(dw\)) has been multiplied by 10 to both section of fitness have the same range. Control parameters and their boundaries are given below:

\[
0<K<50 \quad (21)
\]

\[
0.01<T_1<1 \quad (22)
\]

\[
0.01<T_2<1 \quad (23)
\]

The convergence of the PSO and chaos algorithms is represented in Fig. 6. As specified by Fig. 6 the PSO algorithm is faster than chaos algorithm to achieve the optimum coefficients. Table 3 shows the optimized parameters that found by PSO and chaos algorithms. Optimized parameters have been earned when the input power of generator has been changed 5% instantaneously and the operating condition was \(P_e=1\) and \(Q_e=0.59\). Fig. 7 shows the system dynamic response for a six cycle fault disturbance for rotor speed variation, rotor angle variation and terminal voltage variation for following three state,

- with PSO controller
- with chaos controller
- Non-controller

Table II Constant Values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table III Optimized Values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PSO</th>
<th>chaos</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(K)</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>3.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(T_1)</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(T_2)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 4 Flowchart of the PSO algorithm

Fig. 5 PSS and SVC controller

Fig. 6 Convergence of PSO and chaos algorithms
In this paper the SMIB system where SVC located at the terminal of generator has been considered. The SVC and PSS have the same controller where their optimized coefficients have been earned by chaos and PSO algorithms. In order to show the excellent operation of proposed controller, the input power of generator has been changed 5% instantaneously and the system with proposed controllers has been simulated, then the dynamic response of generator for rotor speed variation, rotor angle variation and terminal voltage variation have been represented. The simulation results showed that the system composed with proposed controller has superior operation in fast damping of oscillations of power system.

Fig. 7 system dynamic response for a six cycle fault disturbance. (a) rotor speed variation, (b) rotor angle variation, (c) terminal voltage variation

VIII. CONCLUSION

In this paper the SMIB system where SVC located at the terminal of generator has been considered. The SVC and PSS have the same controller where their optimized coefficients have been earned by chaos and PSO algorithms. In order to show the excellent operation of proposed controller, the input power of generator has been changed 5% instantaneously and the system with proposed controllers has been simulated, then the dynamic response of generator for rotor speed variation, rotor angle variation and terminal voltage variation have been represented. The simulation results showed that the system composed with proposed controller has superior operation in fast damping of oscillations of power system.

REFERENCES