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I. INTRODUCTION

Hogg’s saying come to a greater importance when we notice today’s computer developments and their successful performance in all computing fields. Computers have accelerated advancements of sciences and technology. Statistics and Probability, as one of the most applied and essential sciences, has become strongly dependent on computers. Our contribution in this paper is modeling random variables in a certain way that they will conform with today’s computers’ structure. This way we can utilize computers in Statistics and Probability with higher speed and efficiency. It is hoped that these models will become stars of Statistics and Probability science.

Up to now, statisticians have used mathematical functions to model random variables. This approach conforms with human brain structure, in other words for human it is easier to use mathematical functions for modeling.

In this approach for modeling random variable \( X \), its distribution is represented by function \( F(x) \). Specification, characteristic and frequency of data represented by \( X \), is inferred from \( F(x) \) by mathematical calculations. Doing calculations is time consuming for computer, if we can model \( X \) based on a data structure then computer by exploiting this model can do statistical inference with few calculations. In our approach we will propose such data oriented models for random variable that model \( X \) based on sizeable number of data (data structure).

These models are developed upon Digital Probability Digraph and Digital n-Complete Probability Tree concepts defined in next section.

II. FUNDAMENTAL STRUCTURES

For data oriented modeling we define following concepts.

Definition:

Let \( G = (V,E) \) be a weighted directed graph with nonempty and finite set \( V \) as vertices and set \( E \) as edges. Weight of each edge is the probability of that transition, for example, weight of edge \( a \rightarrow b \) is the probability of transition from \( a \) to \( b \). It is called transition Probability and is denoted by \( P_{ab} \). If \( a \) and \( b \) are digits then \( P_{ab} \) is called Digit Probability.

Definition:

Weighted directed graph \( G \) is called probability digraph or prodigraph in short if and only if for any vertex \( a \in V \) we have: \( \sum_{b} P_{ab} = 1 \).

Note that \( P_{ij} = 0 \) if and only if there is not any edge from \( i \) to \( j \) and if \( P_{ij} > 0 \) then edge \( i \rightarrow j \) exists in prodigraph. Therefore like the adjacency matrix we can represent prodigraph by vector of vertices \( V = [v_i] \) and \( P = [P_{ij}] \).

P is called the probability matrix of prodigraph. Then a prodigraph can be denoted by \( G = ([V], [P]) \). For example prodigraph of figure 1 can be denoted by:

\[
G_p = \begin{bmatrix}
0.2 & 0.8 & 0.0 \\
0.6 & 0.0 & 0.4 \\
0.0 & 0.0 & 1.0
\end{bmatrix}
\]
To get involved in the world of numbers, we introduce a special case of prodigraph. This prodigraph is produced with digit vertices and is called Digital Prodigraph which is defined as follows:

**Definition:**
Let $G=(V,E)$ be a prodigraph, $G$ is a Digital Prodigraph if and only if $V=\{0,0,1,2,\ldots,9\}$.

**Definition:**
Let $G=(V,E)$ be a Digital Prodigraph and $w=0., a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n$ be a walk on this graph. Value Of Walk is denoted by $VOW$ and is defined as follows:

$$VOW_w = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \times 10^{-i} = 0.a_1 a_2 \ldots a_n$$

In other words, $VOW$ of each walk, $w=0., a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n$, is obtained by appending each vertex of $w$ as we traverse digital prodigraph from 0 to $a_n$. For each $VOW$, we assign a probability value which is defined as follows

**Definition:**
Let $G=(V,E)$ be a Digital Prodigraph, and $w=0., a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n$ be a walk on this graph. Suppose:

$$VOW_w = y = 0.a_1 a_2 \ldots a_n$$

Then $P_y$ is the Probability of $VOW_w$, if and only if

$$P_y = P_{0,0} \times P_{a_1,a_2} \times \ldots \times P_{a_n-a_1}$$

**Definition:**
Let $G=(V,E)$ be a prodigraph and $a$ and $b$ belong to vertices set $V$, $a$ is **Adjacent To** $b$ if and only if $P_{ab}>0$. $a$ is **Adjacent From** $b$ if and only if $P_{ba}>0$.

**Definition:**
$T$ is a probabilistically complete tree if and only if the sum of all **Adjacent To** edge weights of a vertex is either 1 or 0. Both trees shown by figure 2 are probabilistically complete trees.

Note that the vertices that have the total **Adjacent To** edge weight of 0 are the tree leaves. This tree is different from probability graph in that probability tree is acyclic, instead it has repeating digits.

**Definition:**
$T$ is an $n$-Complete Probability Tree if and only if:
1. It is a probabilistically complete tree.
2. Each of vertices that have total **Adjacent To** edge weight of 0 must be in depth $n$ of the tree root.

All leaves must be in depth $n$, which is the depth of tree. In figure 2, tree $a$ is a 2-Complete Probability Tree, but tree $b$ is not since it fails to satisfy the second part of this definition.

**Definition:**
For modeling we will use probability tree that “0.” (zero point) is the root of tree and rest of vertices are a single digit in base 10 (0,1,2,\ldots,9) and their edges are digit probabilities. Hereafter such tree is called Digital Probability Tree. Two trees in figure 2 are examples of Digital Probability Tree.

**Definition:**
Let $G=(V,E)$ be a Digital $n$-Complete Probability Tree and $a_n$ be a leaf vertex of it. Suppose that there is a unique path from tree root (“0.”) to $a_n$ by traversing vertices $a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots, a_n$. $y$ is the $VOL$, Value Of Leaf, which is defined as follows.


\[VOL_{a_k} = y = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \times 10^{-i}\]

\[= a_1 \times 10^{-1} + a_2 \times 10^{-2} + \ldots + a_n \times 10^{-n}\]

\[= 0.a_1a_2a_3 \ldots a_n\]

In other words, VOL of each leaf is obtained by appending each vertex as we traverse tree from root to that leaf.

For each VOL in Digital n-Complete Probability Tree, we denote a value named Probability of VOL, shown as \(P_{VOL}\) defined as follows.

**Definition:**  
Let \(T\) be a Digital n-Complete Probability Tree, and \(a_k\) be a leaf vertex of it. Suppose \(VOL_{a_k} = y = 0.a_1a_2a_3 \ldots a_n\). Then \(P_y\) is the Probability of \(VOL_{a_k}\), if and only if

\[P_y = P_{0.a_1} \times P_{0.a_2} \times \ldots \times P_{0.a_n} \times P_{a_k} = P_{0.a_1} \prod_{i=2}^{n} P_{a_{i-1}a_i}\]

III. DATA ORIENTED MODELING

Let \(X\) be a random variable whose probability distribution function is \(F(x)\). The main contribution of this paper is proposing Digital Probability Digraph and Digital n-Complete Probabilty Tree in a way that their VOWs and VOLs match the values of random variable \(X\) and \(P_{VOL}\) and \(P_{VOW}\) match the probability inferred from \(F(x)\).

Note that there are two basic types of random variable: continuous and discrete. Discrete random variable complies with the structures above but if \(X\) is a continuous random variable, the probability of \(X\) being exactly a specific value is zero. In the engineering world and applied systems, each continuous random variable is presented with a predefined precision and finite number of digits. This means that continuous random variables are converted to discrete random variables when they are used in applied and engineering systems. Hence, data oriented approach in fact can be used to model both continuous and discrete random variables.

To be able to model random variable with Digital Probability Digraph and Digital n-Complete Probability Tree we need to have Digit Probabilities in hand, so that we can use them as the edge weights in the structures above. We have present the calculations of these Digit Probabilities in [1] and we have proved that for uniform distribution, Digit Probabilities for all edges are equal to 0.1 in [2].

IV. UNIFORM DIGITAL PROBABILITY DIGRAPH

Similar to random variable \(U\), which is distributed uniformly in distance \([0, 1]\), we define \(G_u = (V_u, P_u)\), Uniform Digital Probability Digraph, UDPD in short, as a data oriented model of \(U\). We represent UDPD by vertices vector \(V_u\) and probability matrix \(P_u\) as follows:

\[V_u = [0,0,1,2,\ldots,8,9]\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 \\
0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 \\
0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 \\
0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 \\
0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 \\
0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 \\
0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 \\
0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 \\
0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 \\
0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

V. UNIFORM DIGITAL n-COMPLETE PROBABILITY TREE

Similar to random variable \(U\), which is distributed uniformly in distance \([0, 1]\), we define Uniform Digital n-Complete Probability Tree, UDCPT in short, as a data oriented model of \(U\) as follows:

Let \(T_u\) be a digital n-complete probability tree, it is a UDCPT if and only if all digit probabilities are 0.1.

\(G_u\) and \(T_u\) are the same as \(U\) and vice versa. We claim that all the inferences that can be made from distribution function of \(U\), can also be made from these two data oriented models.

VI. APPLICATIONS

We claim that data oriented models can be used for statistical and probabilistic inferences with a greater efficiency. Some of their applications are:

1. Estimation of Population Distribution

In [3] we have provided an algorithm to estimate distribution of a statistical population based on a data oriented model named Classified Image. A similar algorithm can be employed to estimate population distribution based on UDPD and UDCPT models. This algorithm measures the similarity of the sample classified image with distributions classified images and selects the most similar distribution to the population.

2. Simulation of Random Variable

In [2] we have used Digit Probability to simulate uniform distribution. In fact in this method of simulation, number generation is based on random walks on UDPD and UDCPT, starting from the vertex '0'.

3. Solving Special Problems

We have solved the problem stated in [1] and succeed to
represent two data oriented models named *Vivid Image* and *Blur Image*. These models carried the results inferred from the problem and established the base for data oriented models initiation. *Blur Image of Distribution* is similar to UDPD and *Vivid Image of Distribution* is similar to UDCPT. These models can be used to solve special problems like this one.

4. Testing Uniformity of Random Variable Generators
To test uniformity of a random number generator (RNG), we can produce the *Digital Probability Digraph* and *Digital n-Complete Probability Tree* models of the numbers generated by the RNG, the modeling approach is represented in [3]. Then, by measuring the similarity of these models with UDPD and UDCPT, we can test the uniformity of number generation.

VII. CONCLUSION
Models used in the applications above are data oriented models that represent population distribution and its samples by means of a data structure. UDPD and UDCPT are similar to these models but in that they are exact, analytical and formal and are particularly for modeling digital uniform distribution.

We claim that for all the problems that distribution function of uniform random variable can get a solution, we can use UDPD and UDCPT models and get the same solution. The difference is that conventional methods did calculations based on distribution function to produce the solution, but data oriented models answer the problem based on common techniques and methods in computer science. In other words Graph, Tree and Algorithm are the concepts that we present from computer science to statistics and probability. By using these models we aim to promote the conformity of statistics and probability with the computer structure even further, so that computer can be used more powerfully and efficiently in this science. According to Hogg’s saying these models will become stars of computer science and statistics and probability and will shine.
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