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**Abstract**—A cross-sectional study was carried out to determine the prevalence, species characterization and associated risk factors with *Eimeria* (E.) in sheep of district Toba Tek Singh from April, 2009 to March, 2010. Of the total 486 faecal samples examined for *Eimeria*, 209 (43%) were found infected with five species of *Eimeria*. Amongst the identified species of *Eimeria*, *E. ovoidalalis* was the commonest one (48.32%), followed in order by *E. ahsata, E. intricata, E. parva* and *E. faurei* with prevalence of 45.45, 28.71, 24.40 and 19.14 percent respectively. Peak prevalence was observed in August. Wet season (rainy and post-rainy) was found to be favourable for *Eimeria* infection. Lambs had significantly higher prevalence (P<0.05) of *Eimeria* than adults. Similarly higher prevalence of *Eimeria* was observed in female as compared to male. Among management and husbandry practices; watering system, housing system, floor type and herd size strongly influenced the prevalence of *Eimeria*. Coccidiosis was more prevalent in closed housing system, non-cemented floor type, pond watered animals and larger herds (P<0.05) as compared to open housing system, partially cemented floor type, tap watered animals and smaller herds respectively. Feeding system, breed and body condition of animals were not found as risk factors (P>0.05) influencing prevalence of *Eimeria*.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Coccidiosis is an economically important disease which is caused by unicellular protozoa, *Eimeria*. It continues to be a serious threat to animal health and results in lowered productivity due to the associated morbidity, mortality, cost of treatment and control measures. Fifteen *Eimeria* species considered to have the capability of infecting sheep are *E. ahsata, E. bakuensis, E. crandallis, E. faurei, E. granulosa, E. gonzalezi, E. gilruthi, E. intricata, E. marsica, E. ovinoidalis, E. pallida, E. parva, E. weybridgensis, E. punctata and E. gilruthi* [2], [11], [26]. *E. ovinoidalis, E. bakuensis and E. ahsata* are the most pathogenic species in small ruminants [18], [22]. All ages of sheep are susceptible to *Eimeria* infection but lambs are most severely affected by clinical coccidiosis [3]. It can be a serious clinical problem of lamb rearing, particularly in pre-weaned and recently weaned lambs [3], causing diarrhea [5]. High stock rates increase the environmental contamination with oocysts, consequently increasing the risk of an infection and outbreak of clinical coccidiosis [1]. Under normal conditions lambs are born into an oocyst-contaminated environment. Therefore, lambs usually come into contact with the parasite within the first days of life [16]. The main sources of contaminant at that time are the dirty, oocyst-contaminated udders of the ewes. At a later age, the main sources of infections are the uptake of oocysts containing straw from the floor, originating from excreting mothers and older lambs [16]. The production system may therefore play an important role in the development of subclinical and clinical coccidiosis. *Eimeria* species have been investigated in different sheep breeds by various researchers in different countries [7], [8], [11], [22] but there is no single report on *Eimeria* in sheep of Pakistan. Identification of *Eimeria* species is important because of differences in pathogenicity. Information of associated risk factors will assist in designing strategies to minimize losses conferred by clinical and subclinical form of the disease. Taking into account the economics of disease and scarcity of literature, present study was planned with objectives: 1). To identify different *Eimeria* species in sheep, 2). To determine risk factors influencing the prevalence of *Eimeria* in sheep population in Pakistan.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. Study Area

Study area confined to district T.T.Singh which is located in central Punjab between 30°33’ to 31°2’ degree north latitudes and 72°08’ to 72°48’ degree longitudes. The district comprises of three tehsils (Gojra, Kamalia and T.T.Singh) and 82 union councils (UC). It occupies an area of 3252 Km², most of which is lowland that floods during the rainy season. The floods originate from the Ravi River that runs along the southern and southeastern borders. According to Pakistan Livestock Survey (2006), T.T.Singh has an average sheep population of 0.50 million. May, June and July are the hottest months of the year with maximum mean temperature of 40.7°C while December and January are the coldest months of the year with minimum mean temperature of 6°C. An average annual rainfall is 254-381 mm.

B. Sampling Units

Based on two stage cluster random sampling, the number of primary units (UC) and elementary units (animals) was sample d using the formulae as given by Thrusfield [25]. Selection of primary units to be sampled was done using map grid method. Four hundred and eighty six animals were examined in the pr
esent survey.

C. Development of Questionnaire

A questionnaire was developed for collecting necessary information from farmers regarding associated risk factors using closed ended (dichotomous and multiple choice) questions [25]. Information regarding following determinants were collected through questionnaire.

1. Age: Animals were divided into two age categories viz; adults and lambs. The age range of adult and lamb was > 6 months and <6 months respectively.
2. Breed: Kajli, Lohani, Thalli and Cholistani were the breeds under study.
3. Sex: Both sexes were sampled during study.
4. Climate: Season wise prevalence was noted separately. The four well-marked seasons in Pakistan are:-
   (i) Cold season (December to March)
   (ii) Hot season (April to June)
   (iii) Rainy season (July to September)
   (iv) Post-rainy season (October and November)
5. Husbandry and Management: Type of feeding system (grazing/ground feeding/trough feeding), housing system (open/closed), floor pattern (non-cemented/partially cemented), watering system (tap water/pond) and herd size (larger/smaller) were observed in present study. Herds having more than fifty animals were declared as larger herds whereas herds with less than this were considered as smaller.

D. Collection of Samples

A total of 486 faecal samples were collected. Five grams of faecal samples were collected directly from rectum or immediately after defecation in a wide mouth plastic bottle and preserved in 10% formalin [28]. Collecting bottles were labeled properly for further process.

E. Parasitological Examination

Faecal samples were analyzed using floatation technique with saturated Sodium chloride solution as floatation solution for the presence of oocyst. The procedure was adopted as described by Zajac and Conboy [28]. Quantitative fecal examination was performed by McMaster technique to determine the number of oocysts per gram of feces (OPG) as per the procedures of MAFF [14]. Identification of Eimeria species was based on the morphological features of the oocysts (size, shape, color, and texture of oocyst wall, presence or absence of micropyle, polar cap) with the aid of taxonomic keys of Iqbal and Soulsby [9], [23].

F. Meteorological Data

Data regarding meteorological parameters i.e. monthly average temperature, relative humidity and rain fall have been collected from Meteorological Cell, Department of Crop Physiology, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad.

G. Statistical Analyses

Logistic analysis was carried out by using logit model including all variables in the model with backward elimination procedure. Factors with paired characteristics were analyzed using Odd’s Ratio (OR) and Mantel-Haenszel (M.H.) Chi-square. Hosmer-Lemeshow goodness-of-fit test indicated that model fits well. All the analyses were carried out using SAS software package (1998) at 95% confidence level.

The prevalence was calculated for all data by using the following formula:

\[
\text{Prevalence} = \frac{\text{Number of infected individuals (n)}}{\text{Total number of sampled individuals (N)}} \times 100
\]

III. RESULTS

Overall prevalence of Eimeria was 43% (209/486). Five species of Eimeria were identified during coprological examination. E. ovinaudalis was the commonest of the identified species (48.32%), followed in order by E. ahsata, E. intricata, E. parva and E. faurei with prevalence of 45.45, 28.71, 24.4 and 19.14 percent, respectively (Fig.1). Mean OPG was recorded highest in August (5361) which lies in rainy season in study area (Fig.2). Generally lambs were found to have high mean OPG than adults. Seasonal elevation in OPG was more apparent in lambs (Fig.3).

A. Associated Risk Factors

Analysis of all the hypothesized risk factors by stepwise multivariate logistic regression model and M.H. Chi-Square analysis revealed that age, sex, season, housing system, floor, watering system and herd size were the factors significantly associated (P<0.05) with Eimeria infection. Eimeria was observed in all age groups. Lambs had significantly higher prevalence (50.70%; 108/213; 11.225) than adults (36.99%; 101/273; OR=0.616) (Table 1;2, Fig.4). Eimeria infection was found to be more prevalent in females (49.26%; 168/341; OR=0.427) as compared to males (28.27%; 41/145) (Table 1;2, Fig.4). Prevalence of Eimeria was not different among four breeds studied i.e., Kajli, Lohani, Thalli and Cholistani (Fig. 5). Peak prevalence was observed in August (64.10%) while least number of animals (23.68%) were found infected with Eimeria in March (Fig 2). Higher prevalence was recorded during rainy seasons (56.29%) and post-rainy (55.88%) as compared to cold (34.4%) and hot seasons (32.93%). A relationship between high rain fall; temperature; relative humidity and occurrence of Eimeria observed during study is illustrated in Fig. 4.

A strong association (P<0.05) was observed between housing system and risk of Eimeria infection. Higher Eimeria prevalence was recorded in animals reared under closed type of housing system (53.64%; 140/261; 11.225) as compared to open type (30.67%; 69/225) (Table 1;2, Fig.5). Statistically different rate of prevalence was found in two floor types. Eimeria infection was more prevalent (P<0.05) in non-cemented floor type (48.50%; 129/266; 10.7054) as compared to partially cemented floor (36.36%; 80/220; OR=0.634) (Table 1;2, Fig.5). Multivariate logistic regression analysis and M.H. Chi-Square analysis of watering system with the intensity of infection revealed that there was a highly
significant association between these two. Significantly higher infection rate was observed in sheep watered at pond (48.98%; 121/247; $\chi^2=7.322$; OR=1.674), compared to those given tap water (36.82%; 88/239) (Table 1, Fig.5). Prevalence of Eimeria was strongly predisposed by the herd size (P<0.05). Higher prevalence was observed in larger herds (52.73%; 116/220; $\chi^2=15.472$; OR=2.219) as compared to smaller ones (34.96%; 93/266) (Table 1, Fig.5).

Although prevalence of Eimeria in sheep ($\chi^2=2.677$; grazing=17.5%; ground feeding=56.02%; trough feeding=38.14%) fed under three feeding systems was different being lowest in grazing sheep, followed in order by trough and ground feeding sheep but statistically insignificant association was found with P=0.1018. Moreover, Eimeria infection in poor body condition animals was a bit higher than animals with good body condition (Table 3) but there was a statistically non-significant association (P=0.1889) between body condition of animals and risk of Eimeria infection.

IV. DISCUSSION

Several Eimeria species have been reported from Europe, America, Africa and Asia [23]. To author’s knowledge; this is the first investigation of Eimeria species prevalent in sheep of Pakistan. Species of Eimeria identified in present survey have already been documented by Kaya [11] and Yakhchali and Golami [26]. E. ovinoidalis has been reported as the most prevalent specie by many researchers in various countries [11], [22], [26]. Higher prevalence in lambs in comparison to adults has been reported by Yakhchali and Golami [26] and Sisodia [21]. The observation that young animals excreted higher number of oocysts compared to adults has also been reported elsewhere [19]. Trend to shed more oocysts in lambs in comparison to adults may be due to acquisition of immunity by adults over periods of time which therefore suppress Eimeria infection. Ewes were found to be more susceptible to infection in present survey. Yakhchali and Golami [26] reported that gender significantly influences the prevalence of coccidia in sheep. Same results were recorded in a survey of sheep of another region of Iran which was conducted by Yakhchali and Zarei [27]. Breed susceptibility to Eimeria infection has been discussed by Biu [6] who reported that there was no significant difference among prevalence of Eimeria infection in different sheep breeds.

Post rainy season peak in prevalence of sheep coccidiosis could be attributed to wet, hot and humid climate which is ideal for reproduction of coccidial infection [24], [26]. Spring and summer coccidiosis was rarely observed by Skirnisson [22]. Oocyst count elevation in rainy season reported by Kusiluka [12] is in line with seasonal dynamics of Eimeria in present study.

Poor hygienic situations and overcrowded conditions may have resulted in the development of higher level of infection in non-cemented floor, closed housing system and large herds due to greater contamination [10], [13], [23]. Crowding of animals concentrates the hosts and parasites within a restricted area. McKellar [15] stated that coccidiosis is most commonly prevalent under conditions of poor sanitation and overcrowding. Bauer [4] described that high stock rates increase the environmental contamination with oocysts and therefore the risk of an infection and outbreak of clinical coccidiosis increases. Coccidiosis is seen universally, most commonly in animals housed or confined in small areas contaminated with oocysts as described by McKellar [15].

Higher prevalence in pond watered animals favours the recommendations described by Radostitis [17] and McKellar [15] that watering devices should be clean and protected from faecal contamination.
Fig. 3 Month wise mean OPG in young ones and adults

Fig. 4 Association of meteorological parameters with risk of Eimeria in sheep

Fig. 5 Association of various risk factors with Eimeria

TABLE I
MULTIVARIATE LOGISTIC REGRESSION ANALYSIS OF ASSOCIATED FACTORS WITH RISK OF EIMERIA INFECTION IN SHEEP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Odds ratio</th>
<th>C.I.</th>
<th>P- value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Month</td>
<td>1.127</td>
<td>1.063-1.195</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.616</td>
<td>0.413-0.917</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>0.427</td>
<td>0.273-0.669</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floor Type</td>
<td>0.634</td>
<td>0.421-0.923</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing system</td>
<td>2.106</td>
<td>1.373-3.230</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watering system</td>
<td>1.674</td>
<td>1.124-2.493</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herd size</td>
<td>2.219</td>
<td>1.487-3.311</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HOSMER-LEMESHOW GOODNESS-OF-FIT TEST: P=0.899

TABLE II
MANTEL-HAENSZEL CHI-SQUARE ANALYSIS OF ALL HYPOTHESIZED RISK FACTORS WITH EIMERIA INFECTION IN SHEEP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Associated determinants</th>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Prevalence</th>
<th>Mantel-Haenszel Chi-Square (P-value)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Young</td>
<td>50.70 % (108/213)</td>
<td>11.2239 (0.0008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>36.99 % (101/273)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>28.27 % (41/145)</td>
<td>18.2517 (&lt;.0001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>49.26 % (168/341)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breed</td>
<td>Kajli</td>
<td>43.81 % (46/105)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lohani</td>
<td>42.04 % (66/157)</td>
<td>10.4763 (0.8030)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thalli</td>
<td>38.71 % (24/62)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cholistani</td>
<td>45.06 % (73/162)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feeding system</td>
<td>Grazing</td>
<td>17.5 % (14/80)</td>
<td>2.6773 (0.1018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ground</td>
<td>56.02 % (121/216)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trough</td>
<td>38.14 % (74/190)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floor Type</td>
<td>Non-cemented</td>
<td>48.50 % (129/266)</td>
<td>10.7054 (0.0011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing system</td>
<td>Partially cemented</td>
<td>36.36 % (80/220)</td>
<td>9.3338 (0.0022)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>30.67 % (69/225)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Closed</td>
<td>53.64 % (140/261)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watering system</td>
<td>Tap water</td>
<td>36.82 % (88/239)</td>
<td>7.3220 (0.0068)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pond</td>
<td>48.98 % (121/247)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herd size</td>
<td>&gt;50</td>
<td>52.73 % (116/220)</td>
<td>15.4719</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;50</td>
<td>34.96 % (93/266)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.0001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body condition</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>45.14 % (144/319)</td>
<td>1.7260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>38.92 % (65/167)</td>
<td>(0.1889)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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