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II. NETWORK RELATIONSHIPS

The multilateral relationships among firms and their stakeholders refers to the process of network relationships [1]. Today, any bad or good news that is done to the members of a stakeholder group quickly spreads to other stakeholder groups. If stakeholders of a firm do not like the action of a firm, it can find itself in public relations nightmare that can threaten its existence [2]. The increase in communication among stakeholders via internet [3], [4] makes companies more visible. These multiple and interdependent interactions among the network of stakeholders constitutes the firm [5], [6], [7], [8], [9], [10]. This phenomenon is called as the network relationships. As can be seen in Fig. 1, there are many multilateral interactions among stakeholders in and around firms. Thus, management scholars and managers recognize that there are complex interactions and network effects between the firm and its stakeholders [11]. Therefore, finding ways or strategies to manage the communication across
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stakeholder groups is the most important issue [12] in today’s business world. NGOs may have a central role in terms of initiating network relationships among stakeholder groups. When pressure groups (NGOs) protest the unethical behaviors of a firm, they also initiate the flow of information about the relevant firm’s behaviors (i.e. they initiate network relationships among them). In other words, NGOs are catalyst to enhance the communication and to initiate network relationships among firms’ stakeholders [7], [10]. In sum, the process of network relationships emerged as a result of development in communication technologies and NGOs, which eased the information flows among the stakeholder groups. Successful companies are aware of this fact that the best strategy to be successful is to cooperate with the NGOs so that a good reputation can be created.

III. COOPERATIVE BEHAVIORS

When a firm moves with its stakeholders [12] or when a firm forms collaborative relationships with its stakeholders [13] or when employees contribute voluntarily to firm [14] or when there is a collective action between the managers and employees [15] or when there is an unity of action in the organization [16] or when a firm honors its contracts, cooperates in joint efforts and delivers on time [17], the process of “cooperative behaviors” emerges in and around firm. The most effective strategy to form cooperative behaviors with the stakeholders is to cooperate with the well known NGOs such as World Wildlife Fund (WWF) or GreenPeace or World Resources Institute so that they will always spread good news about your company rather than bad ones. The most important mission of the NGOs is to serve to the needs of the civil society. Thus, well-known independent NGOs are expected to pursue the interests of society and monitor companies to achieve this mission. In other words, NGOs are bridge between firms and their stakeholders. In sum, if a firm wants to develop a sound strategy in terms of responding to the needs of its stakeholders, it is expected to form cooperative behaviors (e.g. being an environment friendly company or producing high qualified products) with the well-known independent NGOs.

IV. GOOD CORPORATE REPUTATION

The importance of generating a good corporate reputation is emphasized by some scholars [18], [19]. When a firm wins broad public acceptance in a complex business environment [20], good corporate reputation emerges as a business result. A good reputation, which emerges when stakeholders have a positive opinion about the firm, is very important for the firms because it can act as a buffer when things go wrong [12]. A good corporate reputation may also aid to the customer loyalty and foster the feeling of trust between a firm and its stakeholders [5]. When organizations build good reputation, they also reduce the costs of social controls [18].

V. IMMUNIZATION TO CRISIS

On the other hand, when a firm preserves its license to operate in changing circumstances [11] or when a firm is resilient to short-term shocks or crises [13], we talk about a firm’s immunization to crisis as a business outcome. For example, a good reputation is very important for the firms because it can act as a buffer when things go wrong [12]. When the turbulent environment around the firms during recent global economic crisis is considered, a good reputation makes more sense for these firms because they have the chance to immunize themselves to economic crises or shocks by having a good corporate reputation.

VI. SUSTAINABLE GROWTH

Sustainable growth of the firm is the concern of many scholars [21], [22], [11]. Constituting an ongoing growth of the firm [15] is the definition for the sustainable growth of the firm as a business result. A stakeholder inclusive firm is expected to achieve sustainable growth [23]. Stakeholder perspective posits that forming good relationships with critical stakeholders leads to sustainable growth over time [11]. Firms are expected to achieve sustainable growth by forming systematic communication [24] or establishing active communication [3] with their stakeholders. Of course, the principle of mutual-interests is the underlying reason for sustainable growth as a business outcome. If a firm can align the interests of its stakeholders, sustainable growth of the firm can be constituted [15].

The importance of good corporate reputation can be seen during economic shocks or crisis. As can be seen in Fig. 2, firms that understand the importance of network relationships and form cooperative behaviors with the NGOs will be the ones that will achieve a good corporate reputation in the long term. Therefore, firms that achieve a good corporate reputation (e.g., firm B shown with the straight line in Fig. 2) is expected to produce better financial performance than the ones that ignore the importance of network relationships and cooperative behaviors with the well-known NGOs and produce a bad corporate reputation (e.g., firm A shown with the dashed line in Fig. 2) as a business outcome. In sum, firms that can achieve sustainable growth in the long term by emphasizing right relationships with the NGOs will more likely be the ones that will survive and prosper even during the economic shocks and crisis.
The recent global economic crisis has led to bankruptcies of many well known companies. On the other hand, there are firms that still perform well during this crisis. Firms that cooperate with the well-known NGOs also serve well to the needs of their stakeholders, which is very important for their survivals. Firms are aware of the fact that they are surrounded by the network of stakeholder groups, which are organized by the NGOs. Besides, firms are well aware of the fact that NGOs play the role of a catalyst among stakeholders for the related information flows and organized actions about firms. Thus, some of the firms choose to cooperate with the NGOs so that stakeholders of these firms are satisfied with these firm’s activities and continue their transactions with these kinds of firms. In other words, these firms are well aware of the fact that their cooperation with the NGOs is expected to create sustainable growth and a good corporate reputation for them in the long term. Therefore, it will be these kinds of corporations that will survive and prosper during the global economic crisis. When the performance (e.g., Sales, market value, profits, assets, and market value) results of these firms are examined, it can be seen that the most admired firms in the world are the ones that still perform well during recent the global economic crisis.
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