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Abstract—The influence of copper promoters and reaction conditions on the formation of alcohols byproducts of a common Fischer-Tropsch synthesis used iron-based catalysts were investigated. A good compromise of 28%Cu/FeKLaSiO$_2$ can lead to the optimization of an improved Fischer-Tropsch catalyst. The product distribution shifts towards hydrocarbons with increasing the reaction temperature, while pressure promotes the formation of alcohols. It was found that the production of either alcohols or hydrocarbons followed A-S-F distributions, and their α parameters were essentially different which indicated a competition in the growing chain between the two species. TPD after acetaldehyde adsorption gave strong evidence of the insertion of a C$_1$ oxygen-containing species into an alkyl chain.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The Fischer-Tropsch synthesis (FTS) on iron catalysts is one of the most important routes for the production of liquid fuel from coal-derived syngas with low H$_2$/CO ratio. The product spectrum of Fischer-Tropsch synthesis with an iron catalyst consist of a complex multicomponent mixture of linear and branched hydrocarbons and oxygenates, especially at lower CO conversions. The oxygenated compounds have often been considered as unimportant byproducts of the synthesis. However, synthesis pathways of higher alcohols and other oxygenates from syngas are relevant for a fundamental understanding of FTS. A substantial number of studies have been devoted to mechanism of formation of oxygenates while many questions remain unanswered.

When then mechanism of the first carbon-carbon bond formation is concerned, a scheme based on the CO insertion into a CH$_3$-metal bond has been proposed on Rh [1] and Fe [2]. Others have suggested the reaction of CH$_3$ with formyl or methoxycarbonyl species on Rh [3] or proposed mechanism similar to the olefin hydroformylation (Mo) [4]. Katzer [5] noticed that methanol is formed through a non-dissociative way. Using labeled CO he concluded from the distribution of the labeled atoms in the reaction products that the methanol is not the precursor of the ethanol [6]. Studies with probe molecular, chemical trapping and coupling reactions have led us to propose that the higher alcohol formation proceeds through coupling of a carboxylic type hydrocarbonated species, which can contain several carbon atoms, with a C$_1$ oxygenated entity [7]. Recently, Tamaru [8] concluded to the intermediacy, of a surface acetate ion like structure. The same remark, concerning the rapid exchange CH$_3$CHO with H$_2$O can be formulated here. Tamaru, in accordance with the results of Somorjai [9], concluded that hydrocarbons and C$_2$ oxygenates have a common hydrocarbonated C$_1$ intermediate.

Mechanistic investigations indicated that surface hydrocarbon species appear, either as intermediates on the path to oxygenate formation, or as products of oxygenate decomposition. It is proposed that propagation of hydrocarbon chain involves stepwise addition of CH$_x$-monomeric units while the chain growth is terminated by CO insertion to form surface-bound acyl species. Hydrogenation of acyl may lead to oxygenates which can undergo secondary reactions [10].

The aim of the present work is to take into account the available data on the reaction mechanism to tempt to predict the overall alcohol selectivity and the percentage of chain growth on iron-copper based catalysts.

II. EXPERIMENTAL

A. Catalyst Preparation

Iron-based catalysts with or without promoter were prepared by conventional co-precipitation method. Typically, a mixture of iron and related metallic nitrates was introduced into a well-stirred thermo-stated vessel containing deionized water (0.1 l) at 343 ± 1 K. An aqueous solution of NH$_3$H$_2$O with concentration of 3 mol/L was added simultaneously into this precipitation vessel to maintain the pH at a constant value of 7.5 ± 0.2. After aging for 12 h, the precipitate was washed
thoroughly with deionized water, and was dried at 110°C for 24 h and then calcined at 480°C in air for 5 h. The obtained catalysts were composed of 100Fe/xCu/5K/5La/17SiO₂ in molar ratio. In addition, an unpromoted model catalyst with a composition of 100Fe/5K/5La/17SiO₂, an iron-free model catalyst with a composition of 100Cu/5K/5La/17SiO₂ were prepared to study the influence of modified component. The compositions of all samples were determined by ICP-AES emission spectrometry.

B. Reactivity Measurements

Apparatus

1-Stabilizing pressure valve; 2-Cleaning cartridge; 3- Mass flowmeter; 4-Heating oven; 5-Thermocouple; 6-Heat sink; 7-Cold trap; 8-Counterbalance valve; 9-Soup bubble flowmeter; 10-GC

The catalytic performance testing was conducted with a fixed-bed, stainless flow micro-reactor (6 mm I.D.) containing 0.5 g of catalysts. The inlet gas flows were controled by a Brooks 5850E mass flow-meter. The formed liquid and wax products were condensed in a cold trap at 273 K and a warm trap at 423 K respectively. The tail gas was analyzed online by gas chromatography (model 7890A; Agilent) equipped with a 6-port sampling valve and two sampling loops. In one sampling loop, CO, CO₂, CH₄, N₂ and H₂ were analyzed with a molecular sieve 5A packed column (HP-LOT, 30 m × 0.53 mm), a ProPack Q packed column (HP-LOT, 30 m × 0.53 mm), and a thermal conductivity detector (TCD). In the other loop, C₁-C₆ hydrocarbons were analyzed with an Al₂O₃ capillary column (HP-AL/S, 30 m ×0.530 mm) and a flame ionization detector (FID). The products in oil and wax phase were analyzed off-line by GC (model 7890A; Agilent) with a phenyl polysiloxane capillary column (HP-5, 30 m ×0.320 mm) and an FID. Oxygenates in water were analyzed off-line using GC (model 7890A; Agilent) with a phenyl polysiloxane capillary column (HP-5, 30 m ×0.320 mm) and an FID. A soup bubble flow meter was used to monitor the flow rate of tail gas.

Reduction conditions

For all experiments, the samples were reduced in a hydrogen flow at ambient pressure with a designed temperature-programmed procedure, which was employed as followed: RT→493 K with a rate of 1 K/min and keeping for 6 h. A steady gas flow of 1 L·h⁻¹·g⁻¹ cat was maintained through the whole activation process.

Reaction conditions

After on-line activation described above, the temperature is decreased to above 473 K and the pressure is gradually increased to 4.0 MPa in H₂ atmosphere. Then syngas (H₂/CO =0.67) with 4 L·h⁻¹·g⁻¹ cat was introduced into reactor, followed by a temperature-programmed procedure: 473 K→523 K in 3 h; 523 K→533 K in 1 h; 533 K→543 K in 1 h. The carbon balance was 100±5%. The yields, conversions and selectivities were determined with an accuracy of 5%.

ICP-AES emission spectrometry:

0.0200 g of the samples were dissolved in Hydrofluoridic acid and Aqua regia in turn and heated to wet dry (353 K). Then they were metered to 100 ml Clarified solution. The tests were performed with Varian 710ES emission spectrometry.

Power X-ray diffraction (XRD):

Power X-ray diffraction patterns of the catalysts were recorded on a Bruker D8 advanced diffractometer using Cu Kα radiation (λ=1.54056 A) at 40 kV and 100mA.

Temperature programmed reduction of H₂:

The tests were performed with Autochem II 2920 model multifunctional adsorption instrument (Micromeretics Comonya, USA). H₂ consumption was monitored with a thermal conductivity detector (TCD). An in-line liquid-nitrogen trap located between the reactor and the TCD was used to continuously remove water produced during reduction. Typically, the samples (0.5 g) were flushed at 773 K in a helium flow of 50 ml/min for 30 min, and then cooled to 323 K. After stabilization, the temperature was raised with a slope of 10 K/min up to 1173 K under a 30 ml/min 10% H₂ in Ar mixture.

Temperature programmed desorption of acetaldehyde

They were performed in equipment the same to that used in the TPR tests. After reducing the catalyst with the same procedure as in the catalytic tests, the catalyst was cooled to 308 K in a 4 L·h⁻¹·g⁻¹ cat He. CH₃CHO was chemisorbed by passing a 4 L·h⁻¹·g⁻¹ cat 1.01% CH₃CHO in He mixture for 30 min. After flushing again with 4 L·h⁻¹·g⁻¹ cat He for 2 hours, the temperature was increased with a 5 K/min slope up to 773 K. Desorption products were analyzed and identified by on-line gas chromatograph fed by heating multi-position sampling valves. Acetaldehyde, acetone, ethanol were detected after separation on HP-LOT-Q (FID), carbon monoxide and dioxide on INNO Wax (TCD). Hydrogen, water were not analyzed.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Reducibility of the catalysts

H₂-TPR was used to investigate the effect of Cu on the reduction behavior. Fig. 2 shows H₂-TPR profiles of the five catalysts with different compositions. The H₂-TPR profiles of the copper-modified iron catalysts are generally similar with each other. TPR experiments show that copper favors the reduction of iron. The spectra given in Fig. 2 shows that under our conditions the reduction peak maxiums for the systems are situated at 473K and around and the high-temperature TPR profile shows a broad peak.
The amount of H\textsubscript{2} consumed during different reduction stages, obtained from integrating the area of the corresponding reduction peak, is summarized in Table I. For the FeCuKLa/SiO\textsubscript{2} catalyst, the amounts of H\textsubscript{2} consumption for reduction peaks at lower temperature range (< 800 K) (Table I) are close to the theoretical value for the reduction of metal oxides to Cu, La\textsubscript{2}O and Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} (0.24, 0.31, 0.35, 0.37, 0.44 mol H\textsubscript{2} / mol M with the increase of x). The peaks at higher temperature (above 800 K) correspond to the reduction of Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} to Fe, and the H\textsubscript{2} consumptions are consistent with theoretical values (1.33 mol H\textsubscript{2} / mol Fe). Two peaks of H\textsubscript{2} consumption were observed at 516 and 555 K for the iron-free catalyst (see Fig. 3), corresponding to the reduction of highly dispersed CuO, La\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} and the reduction of bulk CuO, La\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} [11], [12]. The H\textsubscript{2} consumptions were very close to the theoretical value (0.98 mol H\textsubscript{2} / mol M), which indicated complete reduction.

There should be three reduction peaks corresponding to Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}→Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}, Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}→FeO and FeO→Fe process respectively. Our spectra shows a broad peak for the Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}→FeO and FeO→Fe process. According to Munteanu et. al [13] who calculated kinetic parameters of reduction process of Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}, the activation energy and rate constants for Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}→FeO and FeO→Fe are similar, which indicated that Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} reduced to Fe directly. Thus the TPR profile shows a broad peak for Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}→FeO→Fe. Fig. 4 shows the fitted superposition of two hydrogen consumption peaks at around 900 K for the FeCuKLa/SiO\textsubscript{2} catalyst (x=0.08).

**TABLE I QUANTITATIVE RESULTS OF H\textsubscript{2} CONSUMPTION FOR CATALYSTS IN H\textsubscript{2}-TPR**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalysts</th>
<th>Peak (K)</th>
<th>H\textsubscript{2} consumption</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>mol H\textsubscript{2} / mol M\textsuperscript{a}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CuKLa/SiO\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>516</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeCuKLa/SiO\textsubscript{2} (x=0.08)</td>
<td>490</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeCuKLa/SiO\textsubscript{2} (x=0.18)</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeCuKLa/SiO\textsubscript{2} (x=0.28)</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeCuKLa/SiO\textsubscript{2} (x=0.31)</td>
<td>469</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeCuKLa/SiO\textsubscript{2} (x=0.31)</td>
<td>461</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeKLa/SiO\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>914</td>
<td>1.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeKLa/SiO\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>990</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeKLa/SiO\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>1182</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a} The H\textsubscript{2} consumption was measured from the area under the corresponding peak.

\textsuperscript{b} M = Fe + Cu + La.

**B. Comparison with monometallic systems**

Table II shows the catalytic behavior of monometallic and bimetallic catalysts. From the table we can see that Fe-Cu catalyst showed the reaction behavior of F-T synthesis rather than mixed alcohols synthesis stemmed from the strong activation tendency of iron towards CO in dissociative mode. Under our reaction conditions, methanol is the main product on CuKLa/SiO\textsubscript{2}, whereas on the FeCuKLa/SiO\textsubscript{2} catalyst higher alcohols as well as hydrocarbons are formed. The production of C\textsubscript{2} \textsuperscript{+} alcohols in the alcohol fraction amounts to 79%.

In the condition of FeKLa/SiO\textsubscript{2} catalyst, the H\textsubscript{2} consumption of the peak at 733 K (0.33 mol H\textsubscript{2} / mol M) and 921 K is close to the theoretical value for the reduction of α-Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} to FeO. It is clearly shown that the phase transformations of the iron based catalysts during TPR process are Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}→Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}→FeO→Fe.
FeKLa/SiO₂ also gives hydrocarbons and alcohols, the higher alcohol fraction represents 78% of the total alcohol production. However, the Fe-Cu has an activity which is almost five times as high as that of the monometallic catalysts with a higher total alcohol selectivity.

TABLE II
CATALYTIC BEHAVIOR OF MONOMETALLIC AND BIMETALLIC CATALYSTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalysts</th>
<th>Conversion (%)</th>
<th>Selectivities (wt.%) C₂OH</th>
<th>C₃OH/ROH (wt.%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CuKLa/SiO₂</td>
<td>5.92</td>
<td>53.39</td>
<td>3.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeCuKLa/SiO₂ (x=0.08)</td>
<td>21.09</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>8.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeKLa/SiO₂</td>
<td>4.32</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>10.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reaction conditions: H₂/CO=0.67, 4 L·h⁻¹·g⁻¹cat, P=4 MPa, T=543 K.

The XRD patterns of the fresh catalysts are shown in figure 5. For the three samples, the diffraction peaks ascribed to K, La species are too weak to be identified. From the figure we can see that the XRD patterns of three catalysts are obviously different. Two broad diffraction peaks around 20 values of 34° and 64° appear in the patterns of catalyst FeKLa/SiO₂ and FeCuKLa/SiO₂(x=0.08). This result corresponds to C.H.Zhang, et al. [14], who reported that the incorporation of SiO₂ decrease the crystallite size of iron oxides. The broad peaks are characteristic of small particles with crystallite diameter lower than 15 nm [15]. The diffractogram has a maximum relative intensity at 20 of 34.7° and 32.6°, which coincides with the maximum characteristic peak of maghemite (γ-Fe₂O₃) and maybe indicative of the existence of γ-Fe₂O₃ in the two catalysts. The typical diffraction peaks of CuO at 20 of 38.7° and 48.9° and Cu₂O at 20 of 35.5° appear in the patterns of catalyst CuKLa/SiO₂.

Influence of the copper content

Five catalysts with different Cu contents were prepared and tested in CO + H₂ reactions and the results are compared in Table III and IV. It can be seen that the addition of only a slight amount of copper changed evidently the performance for CO hydrogenation, in which a drastic decrease in the CH₄ selectivity and a simultaneous increase of C₂°OH and C₃°HC yields. This have also been reported for cobalt-containing catalysts by Takeuchi et al. [16]. A common intermediate for the formation of both compounds was proposed. A competition between the growing chain between CH₂ addition leading to hydrocarbons and an insertion of a C₁ oxygenated species (formyl or adsorbed CO) resulting in alcohol formation could be observed.

A good 94.72% content of C₂° hydrocarbons in the hydrocarbon mixture and a high hydrocarbon selectivity is observed with a 28% copper loading.

Thus the amount of copper influences the formation both to hydrocarbons and alcohols and only a good compromise can lead to the optimization of an improved Fischer-Tropsch catalyst.

D. Alcohol distribution

The oxygen-containing products of FTS have received much more attention since the pioneering work of Emmett and coworkers [17]. Though the content of the oxygenates is small relative to the total products, it has significant influence on the upgrading of primary FTS products. Therefore, discussing the selectivity to oxygenates in total products is important. It may also provide some valuable information for understanding the complex FTS reaction mechanism from the point of view of conservation of mass.

The acids and alcohols with lower molecular weight are mainly dissolved in water, while alcohols with higher molecular weight are mainly dissolved in oil. The product distributions are listed in Table IV. The alcohols obtained on the FeCuKLa/SiO₂ catalysts were mainly linear, and less than 20% iso-alcohols were observed in the C₄ alcohol fraction. In all cases, a little amount of other oxygenates, less than 3 wt.% in liquid products, including aldehydes, ketones, esters and ethers were also detected. The hydrocarbons were mainly composed of C₂-C₅ and C₆-C₁₀ olefins and the CH₄ selectivity was relatively lower compared with monometallic catalysts.
Liu thought it is owing to the increased H\textsubscript{2} methane selectivity increase with increasing temperature. Y.

while hydrocarbons increase from 86.24% to 91.31%. The selectivities for alcohols decrease from 13.76% to 8.69%, 12.83% to 24.65%. It seems that increasing temperature makes the CO convertion increased from 3.00 to 3.75 MPa, T=543 K. (x=0.08) catalyst. Figure 7 represents the reactivity as a function of temperature and pressure. It is shown that with increasing temperature from 523 to 553 K (3.75 MPa), the CO convertion increased from 18.09 18.94 25.81 28.35 14.46 7.17 (x=0.28) catalyst.

In commercial process, the FTS reaction usually operates under high pressure. The effect of reaction pressure on the catalytic performance, shown in Table V, shows that the CO conversion increased with the increasing pressure from 3.00 to 3.75 MPa. This is due to the enhanced concentration of active surface carbon species with increasing pressure and the improved collision probability of the catalysts and reactants. It is clear that pressure promotes the formation of hydrocarbons which may due to the favor of the conversion of CO. The results of the detailed analysis of the hydrocarbons and alcohols produced have been interpreted in terms of the Schulz-Flory distributions, as illustrated in Table VI. As we mentioned above, carbon number distributions of C\textsubscript{15} \textsuperscript{+} alcohols deviate from the ASF plots. It is generally assumed, that the olefin readsorption probability increases with increasing carbon number due to increased residence times of longer chains in the liquid-filled pores of the catalyst [20]. For that reason, only the C\textsubscript{1} – C\textsubscript{15} fraction has been taken into account. A linear distribution, in agreement with the Schulz–Flory equation, is observed. However, as is usual [21], the values for the C\textsubscript{1} and C\textsubscript{2} products are situated above and below the line respectively. The change trends of chain growth probability (\(\alpha\) parameter) for alcohols and hydrocarbons with reaction temperature and carbon number distributions (less than 15C) of alcohols over this FeCuKLa/SiO\textsubscript{2} catalyst followed excellently Anderson-Schulz-Flory (ASF) plots (logarithm of mole fraction vs. carbon number) (see Fig. 6). A slight difference appears for ethanol formation which is higher than expected. Carbon number distributions of C\textsubscript{15} \textsuperscript{+} alcohols deviate from the ASF plots. Some researchers proposed that, alcohols’ re-adsorption on the active sites and further participation in the chain growth caused the deviation [18].

E. Influence of the reaction temperature and pressure

The reactivity results at different temperatures and pressures are listed in Table V for the FeCuKLa/SiO\textsubscript{2}(x=0.08) catalyst. Figure 7 represents the reactivity as a function of temperature and pressure. It is shown that with increasing temperature from 523 to 553 K (3.75 MPa), the CO convertion increased from 12.83% to 24.65%. It seems that increasing temperature makes the selectivities for alcohols decrease from 13.76% to 8.69%, while hydrocarbons increase from 86.24% to 91.31%. The methane selectivity increase with increasing temperature. Y. Liu thought it is owing to the increased H\textsubscript{2}/CO ratio inside the reactor [19].

In commercial process, the FTS reaction usually operates under high pressure. The effect of reaction pressure on the catalytic performance, shown in Table V, shows that the CO conversion increased with the increasing pressure from 3.00 to 3.75 MPa. This is due to the enhanced concentration of active surface carbon species with increasing pressure and the improved collision probability of the catalysts and reactants. It is clear that pressure promotes the formation of hydrocarbons which may due to the favor of the conversion of CO. The results of the detailed analysis of the hydrocarbons and alcohols produced have been interpreted in terms of the Schulz-Flory distributions, as illustrated in Table VI. As we mentioned above, carbon number distributions of C\textsubscript{15} \textsuperscript{+} alcohols deviate from the ASF plots. It is generally assumed, that the olefin readsorption probability increases with increasing carbon number due to increased residence times of longer chains in the liquid-filled pores of the catalyst [20]. For that reason, only the C\textsubscript{1} – C\textsubscript{15} fraction has been taken into account. A linear distribution, in agreement with the Schulz–Flory equation, is observed. However, as is usual [21], the values for the C\textsubscript{1} and C\textsubscript{2} products are situated above and below the line respectively. The change trends of chain growth probability (\(\alpha\) parameter) for alcohols and hydrocarbons with reaction temperature and pressure.
The influence of reaction condition (temperature, pressure) on CO conversion of a FeCuKLa/SiO2(x=0.08) catalyst. Reaction conditions: H2/CO=0.67, 4 L·h⁻¹·g⁻¹·cat.

The alcohols differ somewhat from those of the corresponding hydrocarbons. Unlike Co-Cu catalysts reported in the literature,

**Table V**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T(℃)</th>
<th>P(Mpa)</th>
<th>ROH</th>
<th>HC</th>
<th>S_ROH(wt%)</th>
<th>S_HC</th>
<th>S_CH4</th>
<th>S_H2</th>
<th>ROH</th>
<th>HC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>523 K</td>
<td>3.00MPa</td>
<td>7.95</td>
<td>8.69</td>
<td>29.66</td>
<td>12.27</td>
<td>7.61</td>
<td>80.12</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.25MPa</td>
<td>10.70</td>
<td>11.67</td>
<td>39.82</td>
<td>12.55</td>
<td>6.23</td>
<td>81.22</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.50MPa</td>
<td>12.64</td>
<td>12.80</td>
<td>44.58</td>
<td>13.03</td>
<td>6.05</td>
<td>80.92</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.75MPa</td>
<td>12.83</td>
<td>14.91</td>
<td>54.55</td>
<td>13.76</td>
<td>5.83</td>
<td>80.41</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>533 K</td>
<td>3.00MPa</td>
<td>12.65</td>
<td>10.55</td>
<td>50.02</td>
<td>10.78</td>
<td>5.81</td>
<td>83.40</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.25MPa</td>
<td>15.15</td>
<td>12.58</td>
<td>55.55</td>
<td>10.89</td>
<td>6.82</td>
<td>82.29</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.50MPa</td>
<td>15.39</td>
<td>14.03</td>
<td>62.38</td>
<td>11.03</td>
<td>6.10</td>
<td>82.87</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.75MPa</td>
<td>16.38</td>
<td>17.04</td>
<td>88.60</td>
<td>11.30</td>
<td>5.09</td>
<td>83.62</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>543 K</td>
<td>3.00MPa</td>
<td>17.49</td>
<td>11.83</td>
<td>59.26</td>
<td>9.23</td>
<td>7.77</td>
<td>83.00</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.25MPa</td>
<td>17.94</td>
<td>12.72</td>
<td>60.39</td>
<td>9.58</td>
<td>7.60</td>
<td>82.82</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.50MPa</td>
<td>19.13</td>
<td>13.91</td>
<td>63.73</td>
<td>9.86</td>
<td>7.73</td>
<td>82.42</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.75MPa</td>
<td>20.33</td>
<td>14.86</td>
<td>85.66</td>
<td>10.20</td>
<td>5.01</td>
<td>84.79</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>553 K</td>
<td>3.00MPa</td>
<td>22.94</td>
<td>12.65</td>
<td>66.22</td>
<td>7.99</td>
<td>9.31</td>
<td>82.70</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.25MPa</td>
<td>23.18</td>
<td>12.45</td>
<td>63.76</td>
<td>8.29</td>
<td>9.27</td>
<td>82.43</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.50MPa</td>
<td>23.60</td>
<td>13.54</td>
<td>66.95</td>
<td>8.61</td>
<td>9.19</td>
<td>82.20</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.75MPa</td>
<td>24.65</td>
<td>14.28</td>
<td>73.34</td>
<td>8.69</td>
<td>8.84</td>
<td>82.46</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a* Reaction conditions: H2/CO=0.67, 4 L·h⁻¹·g⁻¹·cat.

---

The corresponding graphs for the influence of reaction condition (temperature, pressure) on CO conversion of a FeCuKLa/SiO2(x=0.08) catalyst are presented in Figures 8 and 9. The graphs show the relationship between various parameters and the conversion of CO under different conditions. The graphs differ somewhat from those of the corresponding hydrocarbons. Unlike Co-Cu catalysts reported in the literature,
between the two species. As for hydrocarbon products, it was
desorbed acetone produced by the surface trapping of an acetyl
alcohol chain growth probability by relating it to the amount of
indications on the alcohols formation routes. The organic
compound which is nearest to an acyl is the adsorbed aldehyde.
Therefore, the TPD of acetaldehyde is used to predict the
formation of acyl species. The products obtained in the TPD
showed different α parameters for two products. This
discrepancy should be due to the bulk compositions of the two
hydrocarbons appeared in parallel [7], the Fe-Cu based catalyst
over which the α parameters, for both alcohols and
hydrocarbons indicated a competition in the growing chain
discrepancy should be due to the bulk compositions of the two
species. As for hydrocarbon products, it was
noted that the α parameter generally shows a monotonic
decrease with the increasing reaction temperature. While this
tendency cannot be observed in the alcohol products.

**F. Relation between catalytic behavior and characterization - TPD of acetaldehyde**

The study of the behavior of the acyl can give useful
indications on the alcohols formation routes. The organic
compound which is nearest to an acyl is the adsorbed aldehyde.
Therefore, the TPD of acetaldehyde is used to predict the
alcohol chain-growth probability by relating it to the amount of
desorbed acetone produced by the surface trapping of an acetyl
surface species by CH₃. On FeCuKLa/SiO₂ catalysts, acetone is
the major obtained product and the peak which seems to be the
most important at low temperature is that of acetone. These
results are in good agreement with the previous data given by
Kiennemann [22].

According to Kiennemann et al. three areas of desorption
products can be observed:

353-393K CH₃CHO and C₂H₅OH,

At about 473K acetone and butyraldehyde,

573-673K acetone, C₃ hydrocarbons, methane, C₄ hydrocarbons and carbon dioxide.

According to the literature [4], higher alcohols are produced
through the insertion of an oxygenated Cₓ entity into a CHₓ,
or hydrocarbonated species. That means surface species would be
formed (M + CH₃O → M + CH₃OH → M + CH₃CHO).

This means the amount of acetone correlates with the
formation of acyl species. The products obtained in the TPD
can be considered as representing the three pathways of
acetaldehyde: hydrogenation, oxidation and acyl species
formation. If the route proposed existed, the last pathway is
characterized by the acetone formation. Thus for a given
catalyst, the higher the amount of acetone formed, the more the
last pathway is favored. Since the distribution of the alcohols
followed the ASF plots, if the trend to insert CO for the Cₓ
oxygenates formation is proved it can also be considered for the
Cₓ⁺ oxygenates.

Comparing the data in Fig. 10, the acetone desorption at 473
K and around for the catalysts prepared in the present study, it
can be observed that on Fe-Cu catalysts, the selectivity of
alcohols can roughly be related to the amount of desorbed
acetone (e.g. 11.09 and 10.66 wt.% of alcohols corresponds to
10.80 and 8.56 A.U. of acetone respectively). That means the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T(°C)</th>
<th>P(Mpa)</th>
<th>ROH(wt.%)</th>
<th>HC(wt.%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C₁</td>
<td>C₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>523 K</td>
<td>3.00Mpa</td>
<td>17.46</td>
<td>41.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.50Mpa</td>
<td>23.08</td>
<td>21.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>533 K</td>
<td>3.00Mpa</td>
<td>18.40</td>
<td>17.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.25Mpa</td>
<td>19.68</td>
<td>18.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.50Mpa</td>
<td>18.03</td>
<td>11.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.75Mpa</td>
<td>16.35</td>
<td>14.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>543 K</td>
<td>3.00Mpa</td>
<td>20.14</td>
<td>19.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.25Mpa</td>
<td>19.48</td>
<td>18.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.75Mpa</td>
<td>19.12</td>
<td>33.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.25Mpa</td>
<td>20.82</td>
<td>19.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.50Mpa</td>
<td>20.37</td>
<td>19.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.75Mpa</td>
<td>19.01</td>
<td>18.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Reaction conditions: H₂/CO=0.67, 4 L h⁻¹ g⁻¹ of catalytic activity."
Fig. 8 The ASF distribution of product on the FeCuKLa/ SiO$_2$ catalyst (x=0.08), ×-alcohol,●-hydrocarbon
a – 3.00 MPa, 523 K; b – 3.00 MPa, 533 K; c – 3.00 MPa, 543 K; d – 3.00 MPa, 553 K

Fig. 9 The ASF distribution of product on the FeCuKLa/ SiO$_2$ catalyst (x=0.08), ×-alcohol,●-hydrocarbon
a – 3.00 MPa, 533 K; b – 3.25 MPa, 533 K; c – 3.50 MPa, 533 K; d – 3.75 MPa, 533 K
percentage of the peak area of low-temperature acetone could be well correlate with the ability to produce alcohols of the catalyst.

**Fig. 10 TPD spectra of CH₃COCH₃ after CH₃CHO loading Reaction conditions: H₂:CO=0.67, 4 L h⁻¹ g⁻¹ cat, P=4 MPa, T=543 K.**

**Fig. 11 Comparison of the computed and measured alcohol chain growth probability. Reaction conditions: H₂:CO=0.67, 4 L h⁻¹ g⁻¹ cat, P=4 MPa, T=543 K.**

### IV. CONCLUSION

A common FTS used iron-base catalyst was prepared. The influence of copper promoter and reaction conditions on the reactivities were investigated and compared. The amount of copper influences the formation both to hydrocarbons and alcohols and only a good compromise can lead to the optimization of an improved Fischer-Tropsch catalyst. The product distribution shifts towards hydrocarbons with increasing the reaction temperature, while pressure promotes the formation of alcohols.

In the present work, it has been shown that the alcohols productivities of Fe-Cu catalysts are different from that of cobalt containing catalysts. The alcohol chain growth follows an ASF distribution with extremely different chain growth probabilities with the hydrocarbon chain growth. The distributions of the alcohols compared to those of the hydrocarbons indicate that they have a competition in the growing chain between the two species. The alcohol distributions as well as the results of TPD after acetaldehyde adsorption are in good agreement with a mechanism of insertion of a C₁-oxygen containing species into an alkyl growing chain.
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