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Abstract—In this work, a special case of the image super-resolution problem where the only type of motion is global translational motion and the blurs are shift-invariant is investigated. The necessary conditions for exact reconstruction of the original image by using finite impulse-response reconstruction filters are developed. Given that the conditions are satisfied, a method for exact super-resolution is presented and some simulation results are shown.
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I. INTRODUCTION

SUPER-RESOLUTION image reconstruction can be defined as the process of constructing a high-quality and high-resolution image from several shifted, degraded, and under-sampled ones. In areas such as medical imaging and satellite imaging, where multiple frames of the same scene can be obtained, super-resolution is proven to be useful. Also, multiple frames in a video sequence can be utilized to improve the resolution for frame-freeze or zooming purposes.

In the literature, super-resolution is treated as an inverse problem, where the high-quality and high-resolution image to be obtained is linked to the under-sampled images by a series of operators such as warping, blur, decimation and additive noise. Some notable super-resolution studies include stochastic reconstruction methods, projection onto convex sets (POCS) approach, iterative back-projection, and others. Excellent tutorials about the subject with emphasis on POCS approach, iterative back-projection, and others. Existence-Uniqueness Conditions for 2D FIR Reconstruction Filters

The necessary conditions for exact reconstruction of the high-resolution image in case of pure translational motion (or no motion) and shift-invariant blur are derived. If the number of the low-resolution images is larger than a threshold and the blur functions meet a certain property, then a set of restoration filters can be constructed for exact high-resolution image reconstruction even in the absence of motion.

In this work, the conditions for the existence and uniqueness of finite-impulse response restoration filters for exact reconstruction of the high-resolution image in case of pure translational motion (or no motion) and shift-invariant blur are derived. If the number of the low-resolution images is larger than a threshold and the blur functions meet a certain property, then a set of restoration filters can be constructed for exact high-resolution image reconstruction even in the absence of motion.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, the conditions for the existence and uniqueness of perfect restoration filters are derived. In Section 3, given that the conditions are met, a perfect reconstruction method is presented. In Section 4, some simulation results are shown in order to validate the propositions and some conclusions are drawn in Section 5.

II. EXISTENCE AND UNIQUENESS CONDITIONS FOR 2D FIR RECONSTRUCTION FILTERS

Fig. 1 shows the observation model that relates the observed low-resolution images to the original high-resolution image [7]. The desired image, \( f(n_1,n_2) \), and the observed images, \( g'(n_1,n_2) (k = 1, ..., K) \), are linked through linear operations such as geometric warp, blur, sub-sampling, and additive noise (not shown in the figure). \( K \) is the number of observed low-resolution images. The geometric warp operator, which is denoted by \( W^r \), is the representation of the motion that occurs during the image acquisition process. It may consist of global or local translation, rotation, etc. The second linear operation is the blurring process. It results from factors such as relative motion between the imaging system and the scene, out of focus, point-spread function of the sensor, and so on. It is generally modelled as a linear shift-invariant finite impulse-response (FIR) two dimensional filter, \( h'(n_1,n_2) \). The aliased low-resolution image is then generated by sub-sampling the warped and blurred high-resolution image.

To recover the high-resolution and blur-free image, a set of finite impulse-response reconstruction filters, \( w'(n_1,n_2) \), is applied to the low-resolution images as in Fig. 2. In this section of the paper, we will investigate and determine the conditions that lead to the existence of such restoration filters.
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The following assumptions and notation are used throughout the paper:

- Additive noise is ignored.
- The only type of motion is global translational motion and it is merged into the blur function as described in [6].
- The size of each one of the blur kernels is $A \times A$, the size of each restoration filter is $B \times B$, and the down-sampling rate is $D$, for both horizontal and vertical directions. It is straightforward to extend the following discussion to more general cases (rectangular blurs and restoration filters, etc.).
- $h_{ij}^{k} = h_{ij}^{k}(i, j) \quad (0 \leq i, j < A, 1 \leq k \leq K)$ represents the blur coefficients.
- $w_{ij}^{k} = w_{ij}^{k}(i, j) \quad (0 \leq i, j < A, 1 \leq k \leq K)$ represents the restoration filter coefficients.

To determine the existence and uniqueness conditions for the restoration filters, the low-resolution images must be expressed in terms of the original image, the blur operators and the sub-sampling operator, and the estimated image must be expressed in terms of the low-resolution images and the restoration filters, both in vector-matrix notation. Let us define the input vector $f(n_{1}, n_{2})$ as the lexicographically ordered $(A+B-1)\times(A+B-1)$ portion of the original image that is centered at $(n_{1}, n_{2})$ such as:

$$f(n_{1}, n_{2}) = \begin{bmatrix}
  f(n_{1}-(A+B-1)/2, n_{2}-(A+B-1)/2) \\
  f(n_{1}-(A+B-1)/2, n_{2}-(A+B-1)/2+1) \\
  \vdots \\
  f(n_{1}+(A+B-1)/2, n_{2}+(A+B-1)/2)
\end{bmatrix}^{T}$$

The input vector is a row vector and its length is $(A+B-1)^2$. The data vector, $g(n_{1}, n_{2})$, can be defined as the concatenation of the $K$ data sub-vectors $g^{k}(n_{1}, n_{2})$ that are obtained from the degraded images where each sub-vector is formed by lexicographically ordering $B \times B$ portion of the corresponding degraded image centered at $(n_{1}, n_{2})$ such as:

$$g(n_{1}, n_{2}) = [g^{1}(n_{1}, n_{2}) \quad g^{2}(n_{1}, n_{2}) \quad \ldots \quad g^{K}(n_{1}, n_{2})]$$

where $k = 1, \ldots, K$. The data vector is a row vector and its length is $KB^2$.

The blur matrix $H$ is constructed from the coefficients of the blur functions. It is defined as:

$$H = \begin{bmatrix}
  H_{1} & H_{2} & \cdots & H_{K}
\end{bmatrix}$$

where $i = 1, \ldots, A, k = 1, \ldots, K$, the size of $H_{i}^{k}$ is $(A+B-1)\times B$, the size of $H_{k}$ is $(A+B-1)^2 \times B^2$, and the size of $H$ is $(A+B-1)^2 \times KB^2$. $\overline{0}$ represents a properly-sized zero-matrix.

The sub-sampling matrix $S$ can be defined as:

$$S = \begin{bmatrix}
  S_{x} & \overline{0} & \cdots & \overline{0} \\
  \overline{0} & S_{x} & \cdots & \overline{0} \\
  \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
  \overline{0} & \overline{0} & \cdots & S_{x}
\end{bmatrix},

S_{xx} = \begin{bmatrix}
  S_{xx} & \overline{0} & \cdots & \overline{0} \\
  \overline{0} & S_{xx} & \cdots & \overline{0} \\
  \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
  \overline{0} & \overline{0} & \cdots & S_{xx}
\end{bmatrix}$$

where the size of $S_{xx}$ is $D_{x} \times D_{x}$, the size of $S_{x}$ is $B \times B^2$, the size of $S_{x}$ is $B^2 \times B^2$, and the size of $S$ is $KB^2 \times KB^2$. The rate of $S_{xx}$'s that appear on the main diagonal of $S_{x}$ is $1/D_{x}$. Note that the sub-sampling matrix described here does not reduce the size...
of the image, rather it leaves the relevant pixels as they are and makes the others zero.

Based on the definitions above, the input-output relationship of the degradation model described in Fig. 1 in vector-matrix notation is as follows:

\[ \mathbf{g}(n_1, n_2) = \mathbf{f}(n_1, n_2) \cdot \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{S} \quad (1) \]

To reconstruct the original image, a set of FIR filters are applied on the degraded (blurred and sub-sampled) images as shown in Fig. 2. Let us define the restoration filter vector \( \mathbf{w} \) as the concatenation of \( k \) vectors that are formed by the coefficients of the corresponding adaptive filters such that:

\[ \mathbf{w} = \left[ \mathbf{w}^1 \mathbf{w}^2 \ldots \mathbf{w}^k \right]^T \]

\[ \mathbf{w}^k = \left[ w_{11}^k \quad w_{12}^k \ldots \quad w_{(B-1)B}^k \right]^T \]

\( \mathbf{w}^k \) is a column vector of size \( B^2 \), and \( \mathbf{w} \) is a column vector of size \( KB^2 \). The reconstructed image can be expressed as follows:

\[ \hat{f}(n_1, n_2) = \mathbf{g}(n_1, n_2) \cdot \mathbf{w} \quad (2) \]

For perfect image reconstruction, the reconstructed image must be equal to a shifted version of the original image, i.e.

\[ \hat{f}(n_1, n_2) = f(n_1 - \alpha, n_2 - \beta) \]

for all \((n_1, n_2)\) possibly with the exception of image borders that can’t be reconstructed because of the involvement of the convolution operator. Here, the shift is represented by \((\alpha, \beta)\). Combining (1) and (2), the following condition must be satisfied for perfect reconstruction:

\[ \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{e}_{\alpha, \beta} \quad (3) \]

where \( \mathbf{e}_{\alpha, \beta} \) is defined as a column vector of length \((A+B-1)^2\) whose only non-zero element is determined by the shift \((\alpha, \beta)\). For the system in (3) to be consistent, i.e. for the existence of a restoration filter vector that satisfies (3), \( \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{S} \) must be full row-rank. Recall that the size of \( \mathbf{H} \) is \((A+B-1)^2\). To determine the conditions that lead to system consistency, consider the case where \( D_s = 1 \), i.e. no sub-sampling. In this situation, \( \mathbf{S} \) is the unity matrix of size \( KB^2 \). The problem reduces to the multi-channel deconvolution task. The full row-rank conditions for \( D_s = 1 \) are stated as follows [8]:

- The 2-D Z-transforms of \( h_1(n_1, n_2), h_2(n_1, n_2), \ldots, h_L(n_1, n_2) \) must be co-prime. (For the definition and consequences of coprimeness, please refer to [8].)
- \( \mathbf{H} \) must have more columns than rows, i.e. \( KB^2 \geq (A+B-1)^2 \).

Returning to our case where \( D_s > 1 \), we can use the inequality about the rank of multiplication of matrices:

\[ \text{rank}(\mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{S}) \leq \min\{\text{rank}(\mathbf{H}), \text{rank}(\mathbf{S})\} \quad (4) \]

The inequality in Eq. (4) states that for \( \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{S} \) to be full row-rank, the rank of \( \mathbf{S} \) must be at least equal to the rank of \( \mathbf{H} \), i.e. \((A+B-1)^2\). Considering the fact that \( \mathbf{S} \) is a diagonal matrix whose non-zero entries only appear on the main diagonal as 1’s, its rank is equal to the number of 1’s that it contains.

\[ \text{rank}(\mathbf{S}) = (# \text{ of } 1’s \text{ in } \mathbf{S}_{xx}) \times (# \text{ of } \mathbf{S}_{xx}’s \text{ in } \mathbf{S}_{xx}) \times (# \text{ of } \mathbf{S}_{xx}’s \text{ in } \mathbf{S}) = \left[ B / D_s^2 \right] \left[ B / D_s^2 \right] K \]

where \( \lfloor x \rfloor \) denotes the smallest integer larger than \( x \). As a result, the existence condition for \( D_s = 1 \) case turns out to be as follows:

\[ K \left[ B / D_s^2 \right] \geq (A+B-1)^2 \quad (5) \]

Some direct consequences of the inequality in (5) can be stated as follows:

- The uniqueness of the solution is satisfied when the inequality in (5) becomes equality.
- \( K \) must be at least \( D_s^2+1 \).
- Motionless super-resolution is possible as long as the co-primeness condition and the inequality in (5) are satisfied.

III. AN EXACT SUPER-RESOLUTION ALGORITHM

From (3), the restoration filter vector can be found as follows:

\[ \mathbf{w} = \text{pinv}(\mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{S}) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\alpha, \beta} \quad (6) \]

where \( \text{pinv}(X) \) represents the pseudo-inverse of matrix \( X \). Let us denote \( \mathbf{w} \) that is obtained in (6) as \( \mathbf{w}_{\alpha, \beta} \) to indicate that it is determined by the shifted version of the original image. The estimated image can be written as follows:

\[ \hat{f}_{\alpha, \beta}(n_1, n_2) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} g^k(n_1, n_2) \ast w^k_{\alpha, \beta}(n_1, n_2) \quad (7) \]

where * denotes the 2-D convolution operator and \( w^k_{\alpha, \beta}(n_1, n_2) \) denotes the restoration filters in rectangular form. The following theorem will be given without proof:

**Theorem 1:**

\[ \hat{f}_{\alpha, \beta}(n_1, n_2) = \begin{cases} f(n_1 - \alpha, n_2 - \beta), & \text{if both } n_1 \text{ and } n_2 \text{ are integer multiples of } D_s \\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases} \]

if the existence conditions are satisfied and \( \hat{f}(n_1, n_2) \) is obtained by (7).

Theorem 1 states that by using \( \mathbf{w}_{\alpha, \beta} \), only a portion of the (shifted) original image can be reconstructed. The proof resides in the relationship between the sub-sampling matrix and the low-resolution images. A method to reconstruct the
full original image (excluding the borders) is given below:

- Given A and K, find B using Eq. (5).
- Construct H and S.
- For all $0 \leq \alpha, \beta < D_2-1$, find $w_{a,b}$ using Eq. (6).
- For all $a$ and $b$, find $\hat{f}_{a,\beta}(n_1,n_2)$ using Eq. (7).
- For all $a$ and $b$, shift $\hat{f}_{a,\beta}(n_1,n_2)$ by $(-a, -b)$.
- Construct $\hat{f}(n_1,n_2) = f(n_1,n_2)$ by using
  $$\hat{f}(n_1,n_2) = \sum_{a=0}^{D_2-1} \sum_{\beta=0}^{D_2-1} \hat{f}_{a,\beta}(n_1,n_2).$$

IV. SIMULATION RESULTS

To validate the discussion above, a number of computer simulations are performed on a 512x512 Lena image. The image is first blurred, then sub-sampled. Motion is not considered (note that motion is not necessary as long as the conditions are satisfied). Blurring and sub-sampling of the original image is repeated several times to obtain a number of low-resolution images. The parameters of the blur functions are chosen randomly. Different simulations are performed for different blur kernel sizes, down-sampling factors and different original images. For each case, we tried to reconstruct the original image by using the method described in the previous section.

Some results for $D_s = 3$ are given in Table I. The first column of the table is the number of low-resolution images, and the second column is the blur kernel size (assumed to be the same for all blurs). The third column is the size of each restoration filter obtained by (5), and the last column is the mean-square error between the original image and the reconstructed image for the corresponding case. In the table, “n.e.” denotes for “non-existent”, and “n.a.” denotes for “not applicable”. It is demonstrated that as long as the number of low-resolution images exceeds the square of the down-sampling rate (9 in this case), a set of 2-D FIR restoration filters can be constructed such that exact super-resolution is possible. From the table, it can be deduced that if the number of low-resolution images increases, then the minimum length of restoration filters for exact super-resolution decreases, as expected. Visual results are not necessary to be shown, because when the existence conditions are satisfied, exact reconstruction occurs, when they are not satisfied, no image can be constructed at all. Similar results were obtained for other down-sampling rates, and also when different original images were used.

V. CONCLUSION

In this work, the existence and uniqueness conditions for finite impulse-response restoration filters for exact image super-resolution in the case of pure translational motion and shift-invariant blur are derived. If the conditions are satisfied, a method for exact reconstruction is proposed. Simulation results demonstrate that as long as the conditions are met, exact super-resolution is possible even in the case of non-existent motion.

TABLE I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$K$</th>
<th>$A$</th>
<th>$B$</th>
<th>MSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>n.e</td>
<td>n.a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>n.e</td>
<td>n.a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>n.e</td>
<td>n.a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>n.e</td>
<td>n.a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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