Fuzzy rules emulated network adaptive controller with unfixed learning rate for a class of unknown discrete-time nonlinear systems
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Abstract—A direct adaptive controller for a class of unknown nonlinear discrete-time systems is presented in this article. The proposed controller is constructed by fuzzy rules emulated network (FREN). With its simple structure, the human knowledge about the plant is transferred to be if-then rules for setting the network. These adjustable parameters inside FREN are tuned by the learning mechanism with time varying step size or learning rate. The variation of learning rate is introduced by main theorem to improve the system performance and stabilization. Furthermore, the boundary of adjustable parameters is guaranteed through the on-line learning and membership functions properties. The validation of the theoretical findings is represented by some illustrated examples.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Adaptive controllers for a class of nonlinear discrete-time have been received more efforts recently. Under the assumption that only linear parameters are all known, the successful adaptive schemes have been introduced in [1] and its companion article [2]. Recently, with some applications of artificial neural networks, the nonlinearities have been assumed to be unknown. In the case of indirect adaptive controllers, neural networks have been implemented as nonlinear system identifications to support control algorithms for the unknown constrains and plants. The linearization feedback controller has been developed in [3] for unknown nonlinear discrete-time systems. The control algorithm has been constructed by neural networks linearization models. Thus the system performance and the stability analysis have been related to the models accuracy. To ensure the system performance, in the case of NN controllers, the adaptation algorithm with a robust term has been attempted in [4]. This controller has been designed for systems which have output linear with the control effort. The stabilized tracking control based on NN has been introduced in [5]. Only unknown nonlinearities have been assumed to be unknown thus high-order neural networks have been employed to approximate.

In the adaptation, small learning rates or step sizes are often used in gradient search methods because of the system stability [6]. On the other hand, the small step size can slow down the reaching solution. Usually, these learning rates are all fixed as the suitable constants which can be obtained by some algorithms such as [7] for adaptive controllers based on neural networks.

In this article, however, a direct adaptive controller for a class of unknown nonlinear discrete-time systems is developed with the associate of the fuzzy rule emulated network or FREN. The initialization of parameters and if-then rules is given by human knowledge of controlled plants. Those parameters are automatically adjusted by an on-line learning mechanism. The time-varying learning is determined by the main theorem to guarantee the closed-loop system performance. Illustration examples are utilized to represent the system validation.

II. CONTROL ALGORITHM

A. System configuration

In this work, we consider the system formulation which can be written in the format as

\[ x(k + 1) = f(x(k)) + g(u(k))u(k), \]

(1)

when \( x(k) \) denotes the output, \( u(k) \) is the controller effort and \( \mu(k) = [x(k), x(k-1), \cdots, x(k-n), u(k-1), u(k-2), \cdots, u(k-m)]^T \). These nonlinear functions \( f(\cdot) \) and \( g(\cdot) \) are assumed to be unknown. For the convergence and stability analysis, the function \( g(\cdot) \) the upper limit should be determined or possible for estimation. The control signal \( u(k) \) is directly generated my FREN with this formulation

\[ u(k) = \beta^T(k)\phi(k), \]

(2)

where \( \beta(k) \) is adjustable parameter vector defined by \( \beta(k) = [\beta_1(k), \beta_2(k), \cdots, \beta_L(k)]^T \) and \( \phi(k) \) is basis function vector for FREN fuzzy mechanism or \( \phi(k) = [\phi_1(k), \phi_2(k), \cdots, \phi_L(k)]^T \) where \( L \) denotes as the number of fuzzy rules.
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system output $x$ to track the desired trajectory $x_d$. It is clear that the knowledge about controlled plant is directly integrated to the adaptive controller FREN by if-then rules format. In this work, only on-line leaning mechanism is applied with the associate of an estimated variable call $g_M$ which will be discussed next.

### B. Parameters adaptation

To update the parameter $\beta_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, l$, the gradient descent technique is implemented with time varying step size or learning rate. Let us define the cost function $E(k)$ at time index $k$ as

$$E(k) = \frac{1}{2}e^2(k),$$

where

$$e(k) = x_d(k) - x(k).$$

The tunable parameter $\beta_i$ can be obtained in the next time index by

$$\beta_i(k + 1) = \beta_i(k) - \eta_i(k)\frac{\partial E(k+1)}{\partial \beta_i(k)},$$

when $\eta_i(k)$ is a time varying learning rate which will be discussed next for system stability and convergence. Apply the chain rule through (3), (4) and (2), we obtain

$$\frac{\partial E(k+1)}{\partial \beta_i(k)} = \frac{\partial E(k+1)}{\partial u(k)} \frac{\partial u(k)}{\partial \beta_i(k)}.$$

Thus, the tuning law can be written as

$$\beta_i(k + 1) = \beta_i(k) + \eta_i(k)u(k)[x_d(k+1) - x(k+1)]y_p(k)\phi(k).$$

where $y_p(k)$ denotes $\frac{\partial e(k+1)}{\partial \beta_i(k)}$. Let us consider the system formulation in (1), clearly, we have

$$y_p(k) = g(\mu(k)).$$

As we mentioned in the previous, in this work, $g(\mu(k))$ is assumed to be unknown but the tuning law needs this information to adjust the parameter. To overcome this problem, we need to design the new adaptation law which will be represented in the next subsection with the convergence analysis.

### C. Convergence and stability analysis

The key of this work is to determine the learning rate $\eta_i(k)$ for every tunable parameter $\beta_i$ for every time index $k$. Substitute the control effort $u(k)$ given by (2) into the system formulation (1), we have

$$x(k + 1) = f(x(k)) + g(\mu(k))\beta^T(k)\phi(k).$$

Thus, the next time step error can be rewritten as

$$e(k + 1) = x_d(k + 1) - f(k) - g(k)\beta^T(k)\phi(k),$$

where $f(k)$ and $g(k)$ denote for $f(x(k))$ and $g(\mu(k))$, respectively. Substitute (10) into (7), the adaptation equation can be obtained as

$$\beta(k+1) = \beta(k) + \eta(k)x_d(k + 1) - f(k) - g(k)\beta^T(k)\phi(k)\phi(k),$$

$$= [I - \eta(k)g^2(k)\phi^T(k)\phi(k)]\beta(k) + \eta(k)x_d(k + 1) - f(k)\phi(k).$$

With this result, let us select learning be

$$\eta(k) = \frac{g_M^2\gamma}{g^2(k)\phi^T(k)\phi(k)},$$

(12)

when $g_M$ is the upper bound of $g(k)$ and $0 < \gamma < 2$ is the designed parameter. Consider (12), thus only the upper bound of $g(k)$ is needed to be known and the convergence of the tunable parameters can be demonstrated with the following lemma.

#### Lemma: The convergence of tunable parameters

With the system given by (1), let the control effort $u$ generated by (2) and the adjustable parameters be tuned by (7). If the learning rate $\eta$ is given by (12) where $0 < \gamma < 2$ and $g_M$ is the upper bound of $|g(k)|$ then the convergence of adjustable parameters $\beta$ is guaranteed.

**Proof** Substitute (12) into (7) and associate (1) for the next time index error (10), we have

$$\beta(k + 1) = \beta(k) + \eta(k)x_d(k + 1) - f(k)\phi\phi(k),$$

$$= [I - \eta(k)g^2(k)\phi^T(k)\phi(k)]\beta(k) + \eta(k)x_d(k + 1) - f(k)\phi(k),$$

$$= \xi(k)\beta(k) + \xi_2(k),$$

(13)

where $\xi_1(k) = I - \frac{\gamma g^2(k)}{g_M^2}$ and $\xi_2(k) = \eta(k)x_d(k + 1) - f(k)\phi(k)$. By setting the designed parameter $\gamma$ as the above, $\xi_1(k)$ is always less than 1 ($\xi_1(k) < 1, \forall k$).

The previous lemma shows about the convergence of the adjustable parameters but the system stability does not discuss yet. The convergence of closed-loop systems will be introduced by the following theorem.

#### Theorem: System stability (Closed loop system convergence)

Let the desired trajectory $x_d(k)$ be bounded and the upper bound of $g(k)$ be known as $g_M$. Determine the control effort $u(k)$ by (2) and tune parameters by (7) with the varying learning rate given by (12) when $0 < \gamma < 2$. Then the tracking error $e(k)$ defined by (4) is bounded for the nonlinear system given by (1).

**Proof** Let define the Lyapunov function candidate as

$$V(k) = \frac{1}{2}e^2(k),$$

(14)
thus the change of Lyapunov function can be given as
\[ \Delta V(k) = V(k+1) - V(k), \]
\[ = \frac{1}{2}c^2(k+1) - \frac{1}{2}c^2(k), \]
\[ = \frac{1}{2}c(k) - \Delta c(k)^2 - \frac{1}{2}c^2(k), \]
\[ = \Delta c(k)[c(k) + \frac{\Delta c(k)}{2}], \tag{15} \]

Let consider the next time index error can be written by
\[ e(k+1) = e(k) + \Delta c(k), \tag{16} \]
where \( \Delta c(k) \) can be estimated by
\[ \Delta c(k) \approx \Delta c^{T}(k)\Delta \beta(k), \tag{17} \]
when \( \Delta c_{0}(k) = \left[ \frac{\partial c_{0}(k+1)}{\partial \beta(k)} \frac{\partial c_{0}(k+1)}{\partial \beta(k)} \cdots \frac{\partial c_{0}(k+1)}{\partial \beta(k)} \right]^T \). To simplify, we obtain
\[ \Delta c(k) \approx -g(k)\phi^{T}(k)\Delta \beta(k). \tag{18} \]

From the tuning law obtained by (11), the change of adjustable parameters can be rewritten as
\[ \Delta \beta(k) = \beta(k+1) - \beta(k), \]
\[ = -\eta(k)\beta_{0}(k)\|\phi(k)\|^2\Delta \beta(k) + \eta(k)[x_{d}(k+1) + f(k)\phi(k)], \tag{19} \]

Substitute (19) into (18), we have
\[ \Delta c(k) = \eta(k)\beta_{0}(k)\|\phi(k)\|^2\Delta \beta(k) - \eta(k)[x_{d}(k+1) + f(k)\phi(k)], \]
\[ = -\eta(k)\beta_{0}(k)\|\phi(k)\|^2[c(k)+1], \tag{20} \]

By using (16), we can rearrange (20) as
\[ \Delta c(k) = \frac{-\eta(k)\beta_{0}(k)\|\phi(k)\|^2c(k)}{1 + \eta(k)\beta_{0}(k)\|\phi(k)\|^2}. \tag{21} \]

Substitute (21) into (15), we obtain
\[ \Delta V(k) = -\frac{\eta(k)\beta_{0}(k)\|\phi(k)\|^2c(k)}{1 + \eta(k)\beta_{0}(k)\|\phi(k)\|^2}\times\left[ 1 - \frac{\eta(k)\beta_{0}(k)\|\phi(k)\|^2}{2 + 2\eta(k)\beta_{0}(k)\|\phi(k)\|^2} \right]^2. \tag{22} \]

With the learning rate give by (12), the change of Lyapunov function candidate can be rearranged by
\[ \Delta V(k) = \frac{-\gamma \delta(k)}{\eta(k)\beta_{0}(k)}c^2(k)
\[ \times \left[ 1 - \frac{\gamma \delta(k)}{\eta(k)\beta_{0}(k)} \right]^2 \leq 0. \tag{23} \]

The validation of the proposed controller and our claim about the closed-loop performance will be presented by simulation systems in the next section.
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### III. ILLUSTRATED EXAMPLES

#### A. Controller design

The nonlinear discrete-time system which is selected to demonstrate the performance is described as
\[ x(k+1) = \sin(x(k)) + u(k)\left[ 5 + \alpha\sin(x(ku(k)) \right], \tag{24} \]
when \( x(k) \) denotes the output and \( u(k) \) stands for the control effort. The controller receives the error signal defined by (4) and generates the control effort \( u(k) \) to track the desired trajectory \( x_{d}(k) \) given by
\[ x_{d}(k) = 2\sin\left( \frac{2\pi k}{30} \right) + 2\sin\left( \frac{2\pi k}{100} \right). \tag{25} \]

For generality, the range of error should be designed to be \( \pm 5 \) and the membership functions are all given to cover this range as described in Fig. 2.

The next step is to define IF-THEN rules set which is suitable for this system. Consider to the fact that if the error signal is so large in the positive side then the system output should be increased to compensate this error. At the sense of human, the controller should give more the control effort to the plant. With this knowledge, the IF-THEN rule can be constructed as the followings:

- If \( e(k) \) is PL
  - Then \( u_{0}(k) = \beta_{PL}(k)\phi(k) \)
- If \( e(k) \) is PS
  - Then \( u_{0}(k) = \beta_{PS}(k)\phi(k) \)
- If \( e(k) \) is Z
  - Then \( u_{0}(k) = \beta_{Z}(k)\phi(k) \)
- If \( e(k) \) is NS
  - Then \( u_{0}(k) = \beta_{NS}(k)\phi(k) \)
- If \( e(k) \) is NL
  - Then \( u_{0}(k) = \beta_{NL}(k)\phi(k) \)

when \( N, Z \) and \( P \) denote “negative”, “zero” and “positive” linguistic levels respectively, \( L \) stands for “large” and \( S \) intends for “small”. These adjustable parameters \( \beta_{\square} \) will be discussed next to follow those initial methods.

#### B. Human based initialization

Based on the knowledge of system, the control effort \( u \) has a suitable range that is \( \pm 1 \). At the first IF-THEN rule, the “PL” for \( \beta_{PL} \) should be the larger value at the positive side. On the other hand, those remaining parameters can be given.
as the same sense. Thus, for this initial setting, all parameters are defined by this following list:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\beta_{PL}(1)$</td>
<td>1,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta_{PS}(1)$</td>
<td>0.5,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta_{2}(1)$</td>
<td>0,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta_{NS}(1)$</td>
<td>-0.5,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta_{NL}(1)$</td>
<td>-1.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Let us define $\|\beta(k)\| = [\beta^T(k)\beta(k)]^{\frac{1}{2}}$, the time variation of $\|\beta(k)\|$ is illustrated in Fig. 3. In Fig. 4, it is clearly attained that the learning rate $\eta(k)$ is varied by following the proposed algorithm. The tracking result is displayed in Fig. 5. Because of the initial setting based on the best knowledge of system, we can obtain the good result at the first running state.

The effects of initial setting and the performance of the learning algorithm will be discussed in next subsections.

C. Zero initial setting

In this case, all parameters $\beta_{\cdot}(1)$ are given to be “zero” at the initial setting. With the parameter adaptation, Fig. 6 displays the time variation of $\|\beta(k)\|$ along the simulation. The learning rate $\eta(k)$ can be shown in Fig. 7. Fig. 8 represents the tracking performance. It is clear that the learning algorithm can provide the better results at the final state with “zero” initial setting.

D. Random initial setting

The initial setting of those parameters is given by random values with in $\pm 1$ and normal distribution. The colored tracking result is occurred at the beginning as depicted in Fig. 11. At the final state, we can obtain the satisfied result because of the on-line learning process. Fig. 9 and 10 show the time varying of $\|\beta(k)\|$ and $\eta(k)$, respectively.
IV. Conclusion

In this article, we have developed a direct adaptive controller for discrete-time nonlinear systems. These adjustable parameters inside an adaptive network called FREN are automatically tuned by an on-line algorithm. The step size of learning rate is determined on-line to guarantee the system stability. This proposed algorithm can be implemented even if the plant mathematical model is unknown. With the simulation example, only the estimated $g_M$ is needed to operate the controller. An advantage point of FREN is the integrated human knowledge through IF-THEN rules. The initial setting for membership functions and adjustable parameters can be designed by that knowledge. The simulation results confirm our claim with human sense setting as the better tracking performance than "zero" and "random" settings.

Eventually, if the good initial setting can not be contributed by the designer then the satisfied tracking results can be obtained by the on-line learning algorithm at the last state. Not only the tracking performance is guaranteed but also the convergence of adjustable parameters is verified by lemma and time variations of $||\beta(k)||$.
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