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Abstract—Automatic reusability appraisal is helpful in evaluating the quality of developed or developing reusable software components and in identification of reusable components from existing legacy systems; that can save cost of developing the software from scratch. But the issue of how to identify reusable components from existing systems has remained relatively unexplored. In this research work, structural attributes of software components are explored using software metrics and quality of the software is inferred by different Neural Network based approaches, taking the metric values as input. The calculated reusability value enables to identify a good quality code automatically. It is found that the reusability value determined is close to the manual analysis used to be performed by the programmers or repository managers. So, the developed system can be used to enhance the productivity and quality of software development.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The demand for new software applications is currently increasing at the exponential rate, as is the cost to develop them. The numbers of qualified and experienced professionals required for this extra work are not increasing commensurably [1]. Software professionals have recognized reuse as a powerful means of potentially overcoming the above said software crisis [2,3] and it promises significant improvements in software productivity and quality [4,5]. There are two approaches for reuse of code: develop the reusable code from scratch or identify and extract the reusable code from already developed code. The organizations that have experience in developing software, but not yet used the software reuse concept, there exists extra cost to develop the reusable components from scratch to build and strengthen their reusable software reservoir [4]. The cost of developing the software from scratch can be saved by identifying and extracting the reusable components from already developed and existing software systems or legacy systems [6]. But the issue of how to identify reusable components from existing systems has remained relatively unexplored. In both cases, whether we are developing software from scratch or reusing code from already developed projects, there is a need of evaluating the quality of the potentially reusable piece of software.

The aim of Metrics is to predict the quality of the software products. Various attributes, which determine the quality of the software, include maintainability, defect density, fault proneness, normalized rework, understandability, reusability etc. The requirement today is to relate the reusability attributes with the metrics and to find how these metrics collectively determine the reusability of the software component. To achieve both the quality and productivity objectives it is always recommended to go for the software reuse that not only saves the time taken to develop the product from scratch but also delivers the almost error free code, as the code is already tested many times during its earlier reuse.

Tracz observed that for programmers to reuse software they must first find it useful [7]. Experimental results confirm that prediction of reusability is possible but it involves more than the set of metrics that are being used [8]. According to Poulin [9], in some sense, researchers have fully explored most traditional methods of measuring reusability: complexity, module size, interface characteristics, etc., but the ability to reuse software also depends on domain characteristics. It means we should concentrate on evaluating the software in terms of its relevancy to a particular domain.

The contribution of metrics to the overall objective of the software quality is understood and recognized [10, 11, 12]. But how these metrics collectively determine reusability of a software component is still at its naïve stage although a number of attempts are made in [15-22]. A neural network approach could serve as an economical and automatic tool, to generate reusability ranking of software components [13]. In this paper, neural network approach is extensively explored to automatic evaluate the reusability of Object-oriented software components in existing systems as well as the developed reusable components. Inputs to Neural Network system are provided in form of structural attributes of software component in form of metric values and output is the reusability value category. In this paper a different types of Neural Networks are experimented.

II. PROPOSED METHODOLOGY

Reusability evaluation System for Object oriented Software Components can be framed using following steps:

A. Selection & Refinement of Metrics

Selection and refinement of metrics targeting the quality
of object oriented software system and perform parsing of the software system to generate the Meta information related to that Software. The metrics of [17] [22] are used and details of the metrics are as under:

i) Weighted Methods per Class (WMC): According to this metric if a Class C, has n methods and $c_1, c_2, ..., c_n$ be the complexity of the methods, then $WMC(C) = c_1 + c_2 + ... + c_n$. Mc Cabe’s complexity metric is chosen for calculating the complexity values of the methods of a class; the value is normalized so that nominal complexity for a method takes on a value of 1.0. If all method complexities are considered to be unity, then $WMC = n$ i.e. the number of methods existing in that class [23] [24].

“Tuned WMC” (TWMC) measure is used as input to the NF inference engine by restricting the WMC value in between 0 and 1 with help of sigmoid function shown below:

$$f(x, a, c) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-a(x-c)}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Where $a=10$ and $c=0.5$.

ii) Depth of Inheritance Tree (DIT): According to this metric Depth of inheritance of a class is “the maximum length from the node to the root of the tree”. More is the depth of the inheritance tree greater the reusability of the class corresponding to the root of that tree as the class properties are shared by more derived classes under that class. Greater depth dilutes the abstraction and there is a need to set the minimum and maximum DIT value for a class as a contribution towards the reusability [23] [24].

The definition of DIT is ambiguous when multiple inheritance and multiple roots are present as the alternative paths are shared by more derived classes under that class. Hence, there should be some maximum value of DIT for a parent class for its reusability [23] [24].

iv) Coupling Between Object Classes (CBO): According to this metric, “Coupling Between Object Classes” (CBO) for a class is a count of the number of other classes to which it is coupled. Theoretical basis of CBO relates to the notion that an object is coupled to another object if one of them acts on the other. Here, we are restricting the unidirectional use of methods or instance variables of another object by the object of the class whose reusability is to be measured. As Coupling between Object classes increases, reusability decreases and it becomes harder to modify and test the software system. So, there is a need to set some maximum value of coupling level for its reusability and if the value of CBO for a class is beyond that maximum value then the class is said to be non-reusable [23] [24].

In order to restrict the input value between 0 and 1, we have used “Lack of CBO” (LCBO) measure as input to the NF inference system.

The different Neural Network approaches are used for the Modeling of the reusability data as generated from the previous step.

B. Collection of Metric Values

Collect the object oriented components and generate the different metric values of the exemplars.

C. Modeling of the Reusability Data

The different Neural Network approaches are used for the Modeling of the reusability data as generated from the previous step. For each approach following steps are used:

1. Perform the Training of the Neural Network
After training tests the Neural Network on the basis of Accuracy, MAE and RMSE.

The mean absolute error is chosen as the standard error. The technique having lower value of mean absolute error is chosen as the best modeling or prediction technique.

- **Mean absolute error**

  Mean absolute error, MAE is the average of the difference between predicted and actual value in all test cases; it is the average prediction error. The formula for calculating MAE is given in equation shown below [26]:

  \[
  \frac{|a_1 - c_1| + |a_2 - c_2| + \ldots + |a_n - c_n|}{n}
  \]  

  Assuming that the actual output is \( a \), expected output is \( c \).

- **Root mean-squared error**

  RMSE is frequently used measure of differences between values predicted by a model or estimator and the values actually observed from the thing being modeled or estimated. It is just the square root of the mean square error as shown in equation given below [26]:

  \[
  \sqrt{\frac{(a_1 - c_1)^2 + (a_2 - c_2)^2 + \ldots + (a_n - c_n)^2}{n}}
  \]

  The mean-squared error is one of the most commonly used measures of success for numeric prediction. This value is computed by taking the average of the squared differences between each computed value and its corresponding correct value. The root mean-squared error is simply the square root of the mean-squared-error. The root mean-squared error gives the error value the same dimensionality as the actual and predicted values.

### III. RESULTS & DISCUSSION

The proposed Neural based methodology is implemented in MATLAB 7.4 environment is one such facility that lends a high performance language for technical computing. A variety of neural network techniques are experimented in the study to predict reusability of the objected oriented software components. The list is as follows:

- Batch Gradient Descent without momentum
- Batch Gradient Descent with momentum
- Variable Learning Rate without momentum
- Variable Learning Rate training with momentum
- Resilient Backpropagation
- Fletcher-Reeves version of the conjugate gradient algorithm
- Polak-Ribiére Update version of the conjugate gradient algorithm
- Powell-Beale Restarts version of the conjugate gradient algorithm
- Scaled Conjugate Gradient
- Quasi-Newton BFGS Algorithm
- Quasi-Newton One Step Secant Algorithm
- Levenberg-Marquardt Algorithm
- Generalized Regression Neural Networks

- Self Organizing Network

The generalized structure of the Neural Network is shown in Fig. 1.
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In each of the above mentioned technique first the network is created and training of the network is performed with the training dataset. There after the network is tested and the results are shown in Table I.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithm</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
<th>MAE</th>
<th>RMSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BGD</td>
<td>94.2529</td>
<td>0.2799</td>
<td>0.3282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BGDWM</td>
<td>97.7011</td>
<td>0.1561</td>
<td>0.1966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VLR</td>
<td>96.5517</td>
<td>0.1277</td>
<td>0.1798</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VLRM</td>
<td>98.8506</td>
<td>0.1033</td>
<td>0.134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RB</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.0779</td>
<td>0.1001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRCG</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>0.1003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRUCG</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.0649</td>
<td>0.0899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRRCG</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.0592</td>
<td>0.0806</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCG</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.0638</td>
<td>0.0818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QNBFGR</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.0589</td>
<td>0.0767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QNOS</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.0786</td>
<td>0.1037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.0443</td>
<td>0.0605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRNN</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.2391</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SON</td>
<td>3.4483</td>
<td>2.6324</td>
<td>3.0021</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As evidenced from Table I, Levenberg–Marquardt (LM) algorithm is the best among other different neural network algorithms under study with least MAE and RMSE values i.e. 0.0443 and 0.0605 respectively. The algorithm shows the highest Accuracy with 100% value. Training Performance of Levenberg–Marquardt (LM) algorithm for reusability evaluation is shown in Fig. 2 below:
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**Fig. 2 Training Performance of Levenberg–Marquardt (LM) Algorithm for Reusability Evaluation**

### IV. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE SCOPE

The metric based approach is used successfully in designing the framework for evaluation of reusability of object oriented systems and Levenberg – Marguardt algorithm is proved to be best as compared to the other algorithms considered in this work. The performance of this neural network is better than the results of the Neuro-Fuzzy Technique as used in the literature. The Quasi-Newton BFGS Algorithm come out to be the second best algorithm for modeling of reusability data and the Self Organizing Network are showing the worst results among fourteen neural network algorithms under study. Hence, it is concluded that for non linear and complex engineering applications involving control, inference and analysis by and large Neural Network is an efficient technique.

The proposed approach is applied on the C++ based software modules/components and it can further be extended to the Artificial Intelligence (AI) based software components e.g. Prolog Language based software components.
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