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Abstract—Accurate modeling of high speed RLC interconnects has become a necessity to address signal integrity issues in current VLSI design. To accurately model a dispersive system of interconnects at higher frequencies, a full-wave analysis is required. However, conventional circuit simulation of interconnects with full wave models is extremely CPU expensive. We present an algorithm for reducing large VLSI circuits to much smaller ones with similar input-output behavior. A key feature of our method, called Frequency Shift Technique, is that it is capable of reducing linear time-varying systems. This enables it to capture frequency-translation and sampling behavior, important in communication subsystems such as mixers, RF components and switched-capacitor filters. Reduction is obtained by projecting the original system described by linear differential equations into a lower dimension. Experiments have been carried out using Cadence Design Simulator which indicates that the proposed technique achieves more % reduction with less CPU time than the other model order reduction techniques existing in literature. We also present applications to RF circuit subsystems, obtaining size reductions and evaluation speedups of orders of magnitude with insignificant loss of accuracy.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The recent trend in the VLSI industry toward miniature designs, low power consumption, high speed digital circuits and increased integration of analog circuits with digital blocks has made the signal integrity analysis a challenging task. When analyzing high-performance integrated circuit designs, it is well known that the single lumped resistor-capacitor (RC) model of interconnect is insufficiently accurate. It has been shown [1] that reasonably accurate electro-quasistatic, or transient interconnect, simulations could be performed by computing the time evolution of the electric field both inside and outside the conductors via a finite-difference discretization of Laplace’s equation. A boundary-element approach [2] based on Green’s theorem was proposed which performs the calculation using the same surface discretization used for ordinary differential equations into krylov subspace of lower dimension. Experiments have been carried out using Cadence Design Simulator which indicates that the proposed technique achieves more % reduction with less CPU time than the other model order reduction techniques existing in literature. We also present applications to RF circuit subsystems, obtaining size reductions and evaluation speedups of orders of magnitude with insignificant loss of accuracy.

To verify the effects induced by interconnects, a combination of extraction and analysis is necessary. Extraction determines the resistance, the capacitance and the inductance of interconnects, which can then be used to build a circuit model for the analysis of interconnect effects. For analysis (or simulation), research has been carried out of the use of model order reduction (MOR) [3-5], complex frequency hopping [6-8], krylov-space techniques [9, 10] and multi conductor transmission lines [11-13]. Model order reduction is based on approximating the Laplace-domain transfer function of a linear (or linearized) network by a relatively small number of dominant poles and zeros. Such reduced-order models can be used to predict the time-domain or frequency-domain response of the linear network. A multipoint moment-matching or complex frequency hopping (CFH) technique extracts accurate dominant poles of a linear sub-network up to any predefined maximum frequency. This method generates a single transfer function for a large linear sub-network and provides for a CPU/accuracy tradeoff. Model order reduction can also be obtained using projecting the original system described by nonlinear differential equations into krylov subspace of lower dimension. This reduced model can be simulated using conventional numerical techniques. Simulation of interconnects can also be performed treating the interconnect as full-wave model.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: MOR techniques of linear circuits are explained in section 2. An introduction to linear time varying systems is given in section 3. Frequency shift technique is stated in section 4 and the problem statement is given in section 5. Simulation results are shown in section 5 while conclusions are made in section 6.

II. MODEL ORDER REDUCTION OF LINEAR CIRCUITS

Model order reduction is a technique that takes a circuit containing a large number of poles and reduces it to a smaller representation consisting of the dominant poles from the original linear circuit as shown in Fig. 1. There are two approaches to model order reduction: moment matching techniques [14-16] and matrix approximation [17].

A lumped linear time-invariant circuit can be described by first-order differential equations

\[ \dot{x} = Ax + Bu \]
\[ y = Cx + Du \] (1)

where \( x \) is an \( n \)-dimensional state vector, \( A \) is an \( n \times n \) matrix, \( u \) is the system’s input, \( y \) is the output of interest, and \( D \) denotes the direct coupling matrix. It is desired to obtain the
zero-state impulse response of a linear circuit described by eq. (1), which in turn can be used to determine its response to any excitation. Hence, the Laplace transform is applied to eq. (1) assuming zero initial conditions and for convenience the direct coupling matrix $D$ is neglected. Then, we obtain

$$sX = AX + BU$$

Where $X$, $Y$, and $U$ denote the Laplace transform of $x$, $y$, and $u$ respectively. It follows from eq. (2) that the transfer function, or the Laplace transform of the impulse response, defined as $H(s) = Y(s)/U(s)$, is given by

$$H(s) = C(sI - A)^{-1}B$$

Where $I$ is identity matrix. $H(s)$ can be expanded using Maclaurin series

$$H(s) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} m_is^i$$

Comparing eq (3) and (4) and equating like powers of $s$, it can be shown that

$$m_i = -CA^{-i-1}B, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$$

In a reduced-order model, especially one obtained by moment matching, the transfer function is approximated by the reduced-order system of proper rational function of $s$ having $q$-poles

$$H(s) = \frac{nq-1s^{q-1} + nq-2s^{q-2} + \cdots + n1s + n0}{s^q + dq-1s^{q-1} + \cdots + d1s + d0}$$

Because there are $2q$ unknowns in the reduced-order system, it is forced to correspond to the first $2q$ terms of eq.(6) by using Padé approximation, yielding the following equality:

$$\frac{nq-1s^{q-1} + nq-2s^{q-2} + \cdots + n1s + n0}{s^q + dq-1s^{q-1} + \cdots + d1s + d0} = \frac{m0 + m1s + \cdots + m2q-1s^{2q-1}}{s^q + dq-1s^{q-1} + \cdots + d1s + d0}$$

Multiplying both sides of eq. (7) by the denominator of the left-hand side yields a set of equations that can be solved for $2q$ coefficients. After finding roots of the denominator of the reduced-order model, eq. (6) can be expressed as a partial fraction expansion form given by

$$\hat{H}(s) = \sum_{i=1}^{2q} \frac{r_i}{s - p_i}$$

where $r_i$ is a residue of $\hat{H}(s)$ at the pole $p_i$. It is then straightforward to obtain the approximated impulse response $\hat{h}(t)$ from (8).

### III. LINEAR TIME VARYING SYSTEMS

A nonlinear system driven by a large signal $b_L(t)$ and a small signal $u(t)$ can be described by a set of nonlinear differential algebraic equations

$$\frac{dq(x(t))}{dt} + f(x(t)) = b_L(t) + bu(t)$$

$$z(t) = d^T x(t)$$

where $x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the vector of node voltages; $q(.)$ and $f(.)$ are nonlinear functions describing the charging/flux and resistive elements, respectively; $z(t)$ is the set of output nodes; $b$ and $d$ are selector vectors that map the input and output ports to the space of the networks, and $n$ is number of nodes in the network. Splitting the response into two parts:

$$x(t) = x_L(t) + x_S(t)$$

where $x_L(t)$ and $x_S(t)$ are the responses due to the large signal $b_L(t)$ and small signal $u(t)$, respectively. Substituting from eq.(10) into eq.(9) and linearizing around $x_L(t)$ yields the LTV system.

$$\frac{d(C(t)x_S(t))}{dt} + G(t)x_S(t) = bu(t)$$

where,

$$G(t) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} |_{x=x_L(t)}, \quad C(t) = \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} |_{x=x_L(t)}$$

Zadeh [18] has introduced the concept of time varying system function to describe the response of LTV systems. According to Zadeh’s formalism, an LTV system can be characterized by using a time-varying transfer function, $h(s,t)$, where the response of the system due to an input of the form $e^{st}$ is given by $e^{st}h(s,t)$ [12]. Thus, by substituting in eq.(11) we get,

$$\frac{d(C(t)h(s,t))}{dt} + sC(t)h(s,t) + G(t)h(s,t) = b$$

The transfer path from the input to the set of the output nodes can be represented by a time-varying transfer function $\Phi(s,t)$ where,

$$\Phi(s,t) = d^T h(s,t)$$

It is clear from eq. (14) that a full characterization of the system transfer function requires a solution of LTV system for many values of ‘$s$’.

### IV. FREQUENCY SHIFT TECHNIQUE

Model order reduction is a technique that takes a circuit containing a large number of poles and reduces it to a smaller representation consisting of the dominant poles from the original linear circuit. The generalized dynamic equations of RLC circuit shown in Fig.2 can be written as

$$M\ddot{V}(x, s) + KV(x, s) = F(x, s)$$

$$M\ddot{I}(x, s) + KI(x, s) = G(x, s)$$

where $V(x, s)$ and $I(x, s)$ are the Laplace transforms of voltages and currents respectively; $F(x, s)$ and $G(x, s)$ are the Laplace transforms of the input voltages and currents respectively; $M$ and $K$ are diagonal matrices containing the shunt capacitance and series inductance respectively; $V(x, s)$ is a residue of $\hat{V}(x, s)$ at the pole $p_i$. It is then straightforward to obtain the approximated impulse response $\hat{h}(t)$ from (8).
From eq. (15) and eq. (16), $\frac{d^2}{dt^2} y = -\gamma^2$ and $F(x, s)$ and $G(x, s)$ are two different excitations. Solving for $I(x, s)$ from eq. (15) and eq. (16) results

$$I(x, s) = RV(x, s)$$

(17)

where $R$ is called response-dependent condensation matrix.

Eq. (17) is called governing equation with frequency shift technique or eigenvalue shift technique [19].

A. Selection of Frequency Shift Value

The frequency shift technique has two functions:

1) Accelerating the convergence and
2) Making the reduced model close to the original model within any given frequency range.

For the first case, the frequency shift value is

$$0 \leq q < \lambda_1$$

(18)

where the $\lambda_1$ is the lowest eigenvalue of the original model. The frequency shift value in the second case can be found as

$$q \approx \frac{\omega_{\text{min}}^2 + \omega_{\text{max}}^2}{2}$$

(19)

where $\omega_{\text{min}}$ and $\omega_{\text{max}}$ are the lower and upper boundary of the frequency range within which the characteristics are required to be kept in the reduced model.

V. PROBLEM STATEMENT

Consider linear circuit which can be described by difference equations as follows:

$$x_k = Ax_{k-1} + Bu_k + v_k$$

$$y_k = Cx_{k-1} + Du_k + w_k$$

(20)

where $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $x$ is the $\mathbb{R}^n$ real valued state, $u$ is the $\mathbb{R}^m$ valued observed input, $v$ and $w$ are unobserved input disturbances and $y$ is the $\mathbb{R}^q$ valued observed output. The main point of this work is that the unobserved input disturbances can be time varying. Hence, rearranging eq.(10)

$$x_k = Ax_{k-1} + Bu_k + M(k) v_k$$

$$y_k = Cx_{k-1} + Du_k + N(k) w_k$$

(21)

where

$$[M(k) \quad N(k)]$$

(22)

is the time-varying covariance matrix, $M$ and $N$ are transformation matrices.

Problem: The system matrices $\hat{A}$ and $\hat{C}$ must be identified on the basis of state space eq.(21) or it is required to identify ($\lambda, \varphi$), where $\lambda$ is the set of eigen values (the poles of system matrix $\hat{A}$) and $\varphi$ are the set of corresponding eigen vectors of system matrix $\hat{A}$. When model order reduction techniques are applied to any system, the expected system matrices $\hat{A}$ and $\hat{C}$ must be identified by considering the eigen values (they effect the stability of the system) and eigen vectors.

Solution: The objective of this work is to show that the Frequency Shift Technique provides consistent estimations. The proposed technique reduces the CPU time and increases the % reduction.

VI. SIMULATION RESULTS

A. Analysis of Up-Converter

As shown in Fig. 3., an up-converter which consists of a low-pass filter, a mixer, and two bandpass filter. The components values chosen to be: $R_1=160\Omega$, $R_2=1.6k\Omega$, $R_3=500\Omega$, $C_1=C_2=C_3=10nF$, and $L_2=L_3=25.35nH$.

The transfer function of up-converter can be given as

$$H(s) = \frac{0.5}{1 + sC_1R_1} \frac{Z_1 Z_2}{P_1 P_2}$$

(23)

where

$$Z_1 = \frac{(s + s_0) L_2}{1 + (s + s_0)^2 L_2 C_2}$$

$$P_1 = R_2 + \frac{(s + s_0) L_2}{1 + (s + s_0)^2 L_2 C_2}$$

$$Z_2 = \frac{(s + s_0) L_3}{1 + (s + s_0)^2 L_3 C_3}$$

$$P_2 = R_3 + \frac{(s + s_0) L_3}{1 + (s + s_0)^2 L_3 C_3}$$

The dominant poles of transfer function of up-converter using eq. (9) is found out using frequency shift technique. Because of the numerical complexity, impulse response ($h(s,t)$) of transfer function is not shown here. The proposed method for LTV has been used to find the order reduction of the system by considering the derivatives of the network Fig. 3.

The response of the network is calculated using generic sub-space algorithm. This result is shown in Fig. 4 for both original model as well as reduced model.
Fig. 4. Comparison of output response of original and reduced model

B. Steady State Response of LTV System using Frequency Shift Technique

Consider once again the mixer as shown in Fig. 3. Steady-state solution was first obtained using the proposed method by taking the input as $e_1(t) = \sin(100 \times 10^6 t)$. Figure 5 shows the steady state output waveform. It is observed from Fig. 5 that at peaks, the output has unwanted components. To demonstrate the efficiency of the proposed method, consider a RLC linear time variant circuit. Let us assume that the model matrix $A$ is of the order 10, 50, 250, 1000. After applying the frequency shift technique the model order has become to 6, 31, 134, and 231, respectively. All these results are tabulated in Table 1.

![Fig. 5. Steady State Output Response](image)

C. Comparison with Existing Techniques

The proposed Frequency Shift Technique is compared with the existing techniques and the results are tabulated in Table 2.

![Fig. 6. Transient response for the system at $s_0=0$](image)

D. Transient Responses

In order to avoid unwanted transient response resulting from the coupling capacitors, the LTV transfer function has been expanded on the positive real axis. These transient responses have been drawn for $s_0=0$ and $s_0=10^6$ and shown in Fig. 6 and 7 respectively.

To analyze CPU time, a two port network containing a set of six coupled transmission lines as well as 12 single lines was considered. The size of the original matrices is 1918. After applying Frequency Shift Technique, the size of the reduced order model that would match the original network up to 10GHz is 222.

### TABLE I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Order</th>
<th>% Reduction</th>
<th>CPU Time (secs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elfadel [20]</td>
<td>690</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>55.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yu [21]</td>
<td>575</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>64.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pavan [9]</td>
<td>537</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>169.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roychowdhury [22]</td>
<td>770</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>243.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phillips [23]</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>84.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency Shift Technique</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>11.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To analyze CPU time, a two port network containing a set of six coupled transmission lines as well as 12 single lines was considered. The size of the original matrices is 1918. After applying Frequency Shift Technique, the size of the reduced order model that would match the original network up to 10GHz is 222.

### Table II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Order 1918</th>
<th>% Reduction</th>
<th>CPU Time (secs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elfadel [20]</td>
<td>690</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>55.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yu [21]</td>
<td>575</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>64.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pavan [9]</td>
<td>537</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>169.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roychowdhury [22]</td>
<td>770</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>243.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phillips [23]</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>84.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency Shift Technique</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>11.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The reliability of the Frequency Shift Technique is further demonstrated by Monte Carlo tests. Shown in Fig. 8 are the Monte Carlo test results for the RLC tree circuit with 100 runs.

From the Fig. 8, we conclude that the delays measured from the reduced-order models are mostly accurate. The rela. delay error is defined by the formula[24]. The relative-delay-error percentage mostly falls within 1%, meaning that the balancing-free square root method has an adequate robustness and results are tabulated in Table 3.

$$\text{Delay of reduced model} = \frac{\text{Delay of full model}}{\text{Delay of full model}}$$

### TABLE III

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Full Mode Size</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>250</th>
<th>1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frequency Shift Technique</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>231</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 7. Transient response for the system at $s_0 = 10^6$

Fig. 8. Monte Carlo test of the RLC ladder circuit reduction using Frequency Shift Technique

TABLE III  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model Size</th>
<th>Full Model Size</th>
<th>Speed Up</th>
<th>Rel. Delay Error (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Algorithm</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>13.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed Up</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rela. Delay Error (%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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