Abstract—The aim of this paper is to identify an optimum control strategy of three-phase shunt active filters to minimize the total harmonic distortion factor of the supply current. A classical PI-PI cascade control solution of the output current of the active filter and the voltage across the DC capacitor based on Modulus–Optimum criterion is taken into consideration. The control system operation has been simulated using Matlab-Simulink environment and the results agree with the theoretical expectation. It is shown that there is an optimum value of the DC-bus voltage which minimizes the supply current harmonic distortion factor. It corresponds to the equality of the apparent power at the output of the active filter and the apparent power across the capacitor. Finally, predicted results are verified experimentally on a MaxSine active power filter.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, shunt active power filters (SAPF) based on a voltage source inverter structure have been widely studied and developed as a solution to harmonic current pollution problems. They improve the power quality by injecting compensating currents into the power system based on calculated reference currents. Different complex approaches have been investigated to improve the performance tracking [1], [2]. However, to facilitate low-cost analogue control, cascade control of shunt active filter via simple and robust PI controllers is a viable solution [3].

The active power filters performance depends on the modulation technique of the static converter. Among the various pulse width modulation (PWM) techniques, the sinusoidal one seems to be frequently used because of its simplicity of implementation. Besides, in the context of closed loop control, the performances of the SAPF with sinusoidal modulation in terms of total harmonic distortion in source current and DC-bus utilization are close to space vector modulation technique performances [4]. As the modulus optimum method for optimization of regulators is applied with good performances in a wide variety of cases in the control field [5], [6], [7], the PI controller parameters can be tuned according to absolute value optimum criterion. The gain and phase margin approach is also available for high performance and robustness requirement [8].

II. STRUCTURE OF THE CONTROL SYSTEM

In this study, the cascade control is composed of two control loops with the voltage loop outside the inner current loop (Fig. 1). The two essential parameters to be controlled are the inverter output current and the DC-bus voltage at the inverter input from the viewpoint of active power balance. Therefore, two PI controllers are to be designed a current one and a voltage one.

Fig. 1 Single-line block diagram of the automatic control system

In the associated block diagram of Fig. 2, the following transfer functions are pointed out: \( G_C \) – transfer function of the voltage controller; \( G_i \) - transfer function of the current controller; \( G_F_1 \) – first partial transfer function of the active filter, from the modulating voltage signal to the output current; \( G_F_2 \) - second partial transfer function of the active filter, from the output current to the DC-bus voltage; \( G_T_1 \) - transfer function of the current transducer; \( G_T_2 \) - transfer...
III. ACTIVE POWER FILTER TRANSFER FUNCTIONS

As it was previously specified, the active filter intervenes in the block diagram of Fig. 2 by two transfer function [9].

A. First partial transfer function of the active power filter

Supposing that the filter output voltage \( U_0 \) is constant and equal with its average value \( U_{0av} \) during a period of the carrier signal, then \( U_0 \) can be expressed as a function of the reference voltage \( U_m \) in the Laplace domain, i.e.

\[
U_0(s) = U_{0av}(s) = \frac{U_c}{2U_{1max}} \cdot U_m(s),
\]

where \( U_c \) and \( U_{1max} \) denote the DC-bus voltage and the amplitude of triangular carrier signal.

Then, considering that the time origin corresponds to the moment when the A phase-voltage, \( u_A = U_A \sin(\omega_1 t) \), passes through zero becoming positive, the Kirchhoff’s voltage law at the filter output in the Laplace domain allows to express the first partial transfer function of the active filter,

\[
G_{Fi}(s) = \frac{I_F(s)}{U_m(s)} = \frac{U_c}{2L \cdot U_{1max} \cdot s} = \frac{1}{K_{Fi} \cdot s},
\]

where

\[
K_{Fi} = \frac{2L \cdot U_{1max}}{U_c}.
\]

B. Second partial transfer function of the active power filter

Let us consider a small variation \( \Delta U_c \) of the voltage across the capacitor around its average value \( U_{cm} \). Hence, the power corresponding to the energy stored in capacitor has to correspond to the whole power in the connecting point of the filter to the power supply, that is to the apparent power. Thus, the Laplace transform of the above condition allows expressing the desired transfer function,

\[
G_{Fub}(s) = \frac{U_c(s)}{I_F(s)} = \frac{3U_c}{\sqrt{2} \cdot C \cdot U_{cm} \cdot s} = \frac{1}{K_{Fub} \cdot s},
\]

where

\[
K_{Fub} = \frac{\sqrt{2} \cdot C \cdot U_{cm}}{3U_A}.
\]

IV. CURRENT CONTROLLER TUNING

In the block diagram of the current loop (Fig. 3), it is assumed that the dynamic behavior of the transducer-current filter can be approximated by a first-order transfer function:

\[
G_T(s) = \frac{K_T}{1 + T_T \cdot s}.
\]

A classical proportional-integral structure is adopted for the current controller, i.e.

\[
G_{Ci}(s) = \frac{1 + \theta_i \cdot s}{\theta_1 \cdot s};
\]

To use the modulus optimum tuning criteria, the open-loop transfer function is written in the following form:

\[
G_{di}(s) = G_{Ci}(s) \cdot G_{Fi}(s) \cdot G_T(s) = \frac{1 + \theta_i \cdot s}{T^2 \cdot s^2 \cdot (1 + T_T \cdot s)}
\]

where

\[
T^2 = \frac{\theta_1 \cdot K_{Fi}}{K_T};
\]

As regards the transfer function of the closed-loop unity feedback system, it can be written as:

\[
G_r(s) = \frac{1 + \theta_i \cdot s}{T^2 \cdot T_T \cdot s^3 + T^2 \cdot s^2 + \theta_1 \cdot s + 1}.
\]

After expressing the square of the above transfer function modulus, the simple condition of canceling the denominator coefficients which contain differences leads to the following relations:

\[
\theta_i = \sqrt{2} T; \quad T = 2\sqrt{2} T_T.
\]

Taking into account (9) and (11), the two parameters of current controller are expressed:

\[
0_{i1} = 4T_T; \quad 0_i = 8K_T \cdot T_T^2 / K_{Fi}.
\]
Thus, the transfer function of the current controller is given by:

$$G_C(s) = \frac{K_{Fl}}{2K_{Tl} \cdot T_{Tl}} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{4T_{Tl} \cdot s} \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

Accordingly, the open-loop and close-loop unity feedback transfer functions given by (8) and (10) become:

$$G_d(s) = \frac{1 + 4T_{Tl} \cdot s}{8T_{Tl}^2 \cdot s^2 \cdot (1 + T_{Tl} \cdot s)},$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

$$G_i(s) = \frac{1 + 4T_{Tl} \cdot s}{1 + 4T_{Tl} \cdot s + 8T_{Tl}^2 \cdot s^2 + 8T_{Tl}^3 \cdot s^3}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

As the terms containing $T_{Tl}^2$ and $T_{Tl}^3$ are very insignificant compared with 1, the transfer function (15) becomes:

$$G_i(s) \approx 1.$$

$$\text{V. VOLTAGE CONTROLLER TUNING}$$

A PI controller is also adopted to control the voltage across the capacitor. In the forward path of the block diagram (Fig.4), due to passing to unity feedback, there is the inverse of the current transducer transfer function. The forward transfer function can be expressed as follows:

$$G_{di}(s) = \frac{(1 + \theta_{lu} \cdot s) \cdot (1 + T_{Tl} \cdot s) \cdot K_{Fu}}{K_{Tl} \cdot K_{Fu} \cdot \theta_u \cdot s^2 \cdot (1 + T_{Fu} \cdot s)}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

where $\theta_{lu}$ and $\theta_u$ are the parameters to be determined.

Fig. 4 Block diagram of the DC-bus voltage loop

If the time constants of the current and voltage transducers are supposed to be equals, (17) becomes:

$$G_{d}(s) = \frac{1 + \theta_{lu} \cdot s}{T_{u}^2 \cdot s^2}; \quad T_{u} = \frac{K_{Tl} \cdot K_{Fu}}{K_{Fu}} \cdot \theta_u$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

Then, the closed-loop unity feedback transfer function is given by:

$$G_u(s) = \frac{1 + \theta_{lu} \cdot s}{1 + \theta_{lu} \cdot s + T_{u}^2 \cdot s^2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)

and the square of its modulus can be expressed as:

$$M_u^2(\omega) = \frac{1 + \theta_{lu}^2 \cdot \omega^2}{1 + \omega^2 \cdot \left( \frac{1}{\theta_{lu}^2} - 2T_{u}^2 \right) + \omega^4 \cdot T_{u}^4}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (20)

Canceling the denominator term which contains a difference in (20) gives the condition:

$$\theta_u = \frac{K_{Fu}}{2K_{Tl} \cdot K_{Fu}} \cdot \theta_{lu}^2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (21)

It must be noticed that the above condition imposes the loop phase-margin. Thus, the open-loop transfer function, in the frequency domain, can be arranged as:

$$G_{d}(j\omega) = \frac{1 + j\omega \cdot \theta_u}{\omega^2 \cdot T_{u}^2} = \frac{1 + j(\omega/\omega_1)}{\left(\omega/\omega_1\right)^2},$$

where

$$\omega_1 = 1/\theta_u; \quad \omega_0 = 1/T_u.$$  \hspace{1cm} (23)

Moreover, by introducing the cut-off pulsation $\omega_c$, the associated phase margin $\phi_m$ is given by:

$$\phi_m = \arctan \left( \frac{\omega_c}{\omega_0} \right) \approx 65.5^0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (24)

In order to find the second relation required in the voltage controller design, the passband of the unity feedback system is imposed. So, the square modulus of the closed-loop unity feedback transfer function given by (20) can be written as:

$$M_u^2(\omega) = 4 \cdot \left( 1 + \frac{(\omega/\omega_1)^2}{4 + (\omega/\omega_1)^2} \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (25)

But, as the magnitude response remains within $\sqrt{2}$ of its maximum value inside the passband, the passband frequency is obtained:

$$f_p = \frac{\omega_1}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + 1 + \sqrt{4 - \sqrt{2}} \right)}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (26)

Therefore, the time constants in the voltage controller transfer function can be expressed as follows:

$$\theta_{lu} \approx 0.36/f_p; \quad \theta_u \approx 64.95 \cdot 10^{-2} \cdot \frac{K_{Fu}}{K_{Tl} \cdot K_{Fu}} \cdot \frac{1}{f_p}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (27)

$$\text{VI. CONTROL SYSTEM PERFORMANCES}$$

To test the performances of the control system, the block
The nonlinear load taken into consideration is an uncontrolled rectifier supplying a load of RLC type. At constant load, the operation of the active filter for different DC-bus set-point voltages has been analyzed based on the calculation of the powers occurring in the system and on the total harmonic distortion factor (THD) of the supply current.

The p-q theory of the power has been used to express the apparent power $S_f$, active power $P$, reactive power $Q$ and distortion power $D_f$ [12]:

$$ S_f = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^{2\pi} |s|^{2} d(\omega t) ; \quad (28) $$

$$ P = \frac{1}{T} \int_{t}^{t+T} p \, dt ; \quad (29) $$

$$ Q = \frac{1}{T} \int_{t}^{t+T} q \, dt ; \quad (30) $$

$$ D_f = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left[ \frac{2\pi}{p^2 + q^2} \mathbf{H}(\omega t) \right] = \sqrt{S_f^2 - P^2 - Q^2} , \quad (31) $$

where $s$ is the complex apparent power, $p$ and $q$ are its real and imaginary parts and $p_~$ and $q_~$ are the AC components of $p$ and $q$.

The following expressions have been used to calculate the active ($P_c$) and reactive ($S_c$) powers across the DC capacitor:

$$ P_c = U_c I_{cav} = U_c \left( \frac{1}{T} \int_{t}^{t+T} i_c \, dt \right) , \quad (32) $$

$$ S_c = U_c I_{crms} = U_c \sqrt{\frac{1}{T} \int_{t}^{t+T} i_c^2 \, dt} , \quad (33) $$

The simulation results have been processed and represented in a graphic form.

Thus, the dependence in Fig. 7 reveals an optimum value of the DC-bus voltage which minimizes the supply current THD.

As it can be seen in Fig. 7, keeping the DC-bus voltage at its optimum value (i.e. of about 660V for the analyzed case study) leads to a diminution of the current $THD$ from 30.5% to 5.6%. The same aspect is made evident by the current waveforms in Fig. 8.

The current provided by the compensating capacitor contains the harmonics to be compensated and points out the switching frequency of the voltage inverter (Fig. 9).
Interesting information is given by the dependence of the powers in the system on the voltage across the capacitor (Fig. 10).

It can be seen that the powers at the AC side of the active filter are little influenced by the DC-bus voltage value. Actually, the active power has a little diminution and the distortion power has a little increase as the DC-bus voltage increases. This aspect illustrates the correctness of the hypothesis made for obtaining the transfer function $G_{F_a}(s)$ given by expression (4) and can be used in implementation an optimum control system.

VIII. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

The simulation results have been verified by experimental way through a MaxSine active power filter manufactured by Nokian Capacitors Ltd. The harmonic spectrum (up to 500th order) of the filtered current for the optimum value of DC voltage (i.e. 660V) is shown in Fig. 11.

The detailed analysis shows that the optimum value of the DC-bus voltage linearly depends on the power to be compensated. Actually, this is the second possibility of implementing an optimal control system.
diminishes two times, from 41% to 21%. It must be noticed that the partial harmonic distortion factor calculated by taking into consideration only the first 51 harmonics is of about 8% and it has an insignificant modification. This aspect is explained by the fact that the DC-bus voltage value has a significant influence on the high order harmonics generated by the PWM frequency.

At constant load, it is shown that there is an optimum value of the DC-bus voltage which minimizes the supply current THD. It corresponds to the equality of the apparent power at the output of the active filter and the apparent power across the capacitor.

The close agreement between the simulation and the experimental results proves the validity of the analysis.
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