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Abstract—In rapidly changing market environment, firms are investing a lot of time and resources into new product development (NPD) projects to make profit and to obtain competitive advantage. However, failure rate of NPD projects is becoming high due to various internal and external risks which hinder successful NPD projects. To reduce the failure rate, it is critical that risks have to be managed effectively and efficiently through good strategy, and treated by optimal responses to minimize risk cost. Four strategies are adopted to handle the risks in this study. The optimal responses are characterized by high reduction of risk costs with high efficiency. This study suggests a framework to decide the optimal responses considering the core risks, risk costs, response efficiency and response costs for successful NPD projects. Both binary particles warm optimization (BPSO) and multi-objective particle swarm optimization (MOPSO) methods are mainly used in the framework. Although several limitations exist in use for real industries, the frame work shows good strength for handling the risks with highly scientific ways through an example.
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I. INTRODUCTION

THE importance of new product development (NPD) is recognized by typical firms to survive from tough competition in the market. Competitive advantages come from a product or service being better in terms of quality, cost, delivery, and technology. Although many firms have become aware of the importance of the NPD projects, the failure rate of NPD projects is very high [1]. The Product Development and Management Association (PDMA) reported that about 41% of NPD projects carried out by the top 20% of companies have failed in terms of profitability [2]. Many reasons can cause the NPD projects failed or stopped. One of the critical reasons is that the cost from risks is not handled properly through appropriate responses. Many risks are involved in NPD, and these affect a project either positively or negatively. The manager must develop effective and efficient plans to respond against such risks, which include product complexity, economic cycle shift, technical risks, customer needs change, currency exchange rates, inflation rate, oil price, stock prices, etc. The risk management process in ISO 31000 has four steps which are risk identification, risk analysis, risk evaluation and risk treatment, and many firms implement these steps similarly in practice [3]. For successful NPD projects, risks should be recognized and responded in advance to minimize the cost from those. Although most companies perform risk management, the cost from risks is still a critical problem. To minimize the risk cost, firms decide optimal responses through good response strategy with considerations of all risks occurred in NPD projects. This study suggests a framework to determine the optimal responses to maximize total response efficiency. The framework works with both binary particle swarm optimization (BPSO) and multi-objective particle swarm optimization (MOPSO) algorithms that are adapted to determine the optimal responses. In general, PSO has the ability to navigate entire solution space, simplicity, ease of implementation, and the computation speed that become faster due to the efficiency of the operation. The PSO also has a lower risk to fall into local minima than other optimization algorithms.

II. RELEVANT LITERATURE REVIEW

There are many studies available in NPD risk management areas. Cooper et al. [4] and Polk et al. [5] summarized success factors and obstacles of NPD project. Ghosh and Jintanapakanont [6] and Gu et al. [7] classified NPD project risks and suggested the method to decide the importance of risks. Nagi and Wat [8] suggested the method of evaluating the severity of risks using fuzzy theory. Kim et al. [9] used Multi-response Optimization (MRO) method to determine weights of risks when project manager sets weights of success factors for projects to be successful. A project is evaluated by its own success factors. Four success factors and their related risks were considered to obtain the core risks or the most critical risks. Also, there are some studies focused on responses against risks. Zhi [10] presented that selection of appropriate responses is the most important process in risk management because either effective or efficient responses can reduce or eliminate impacts of risks for project success. Hillson [11] classified the risks into two types: threat and opportunity depending on their impacts. The threat type risks would affect negatively and the opportunity type risks give positive impacts on projects. He suggested four types of response strategies for threat type risks: Avoid, Transfer, Mitigate and Accept. For opportunity type risks, another four strategies were suggested that are Exploit, Share, Enhance and Ignore. Kwan [12] presented that responses selection should be relied on severity, impact and probability of risk occurrences. Also, David [13] evaluated total risk cost by using a matrix composed by impact and probability of risk occurrences.
TABLE I
EXAMPLE OF RISK RESPONSES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risks</th>
<th>Responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Critical resources may not be available when required</td>
<td>Undertake resource planning as part of project plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testability is not enough to be considered</td>
<td>Book internal and external resources in advance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Identify and provide necessary training in advance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Product can be tested to ensure desired quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Subassemblies and modules are structured to allow independent testing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Testing can be performed by standard test instruments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Test instruments have adequate access</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product life and reliability may not be adequate</td>
<td>Ensure design life and reliability are incorporated in requirements specifications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inappropriate technology solution may be adopted</td>
<td>Design for life and reliability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Undertake early life and reliability testing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Evaluate options against specification</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The previous studies give plenty of clues to handle risks and select appropriate responses through scientific approaches. However, it is important for project manager to consider synthetically various risks and responses and to obtain optimal solutions through powerful algorithms. The optimal solutions should involve the most valuable strategy to handle various risks by which risk impact or degree is minimized through maximum response efficiency and minimum response cost. For realization of synthetic considerations for risks and responses, this study develops a framework that generates the optimal solutions with better strategy and to support project manager who should manage NPD projects effectively and efficiently.

### III. THE IMPORTANCE OF RISK RESPONSES

Risk occurrences in NPD projects are probabilistic events that hinder project success. Therefore, project manager must prepare for appropriate responses to minimize their impacts on the corresponding project on the basis of optimal plan. If the responses are not effective or efficient for the risks, the project could fail in terms of profit making and financial aspects of a firm because significant impact might be extant. Also, the responses against the risks require additional expenses that increase total project cost. Therefore, the plan must be developed with serious considerations of both minimizing the impact and total project cost in order to obtain the optimized responses for the risks. Table I presents some example risks and their possible responses.

In general risk management process, risks are handled through identification, analysis, evaluation and treatment process. Fig. 1 shows stages of risk assessment and risk treatment. The aim of risk identification is to generate a comprehensive list of risks based on those events that might create, enhance, prevent, degrade, accelerate or delay the achievement of NPD objectives. Risk analysis involves understanding of risks to provide an input to risk evaluation.

Also, the purpose of risk evaluation is to assist in making decisions based on the outcomes of risk analysis, about which risks need treatment and the priorities for treatment implementation [3].

It should be noted that the responses selected only for a specific risk would be ineffective or inefficient in terms of time and cost since the risk is correlated with other risk occurrences. Also, the project’s success can be evaluated by four different bases: customer, finance, technology, and project management [14]. Each base is characterized by its own risks and requires prioritized responses. Therefore, project manager decides optimal responses on the basis of overall aspects of risks. In this study, a framework is developed to obtain the optimal responses under various strategies responding against risks such as Avoid, Transfer, Mitigate, and Accept. The response strategy is selected by project manager with consideration of risk types.

![Risk assessment and risk treatment](image)

Fig. 1 Risk assessment and risk treatment

### IV. THE FRAMEWORK FOR OPTIMAL RESPONSES

Fig. 2 presents the framework developed in this study that consists of three components connected each other as a loop. The loop must be activated whenever risks are occurred in NPD process.

#### A. Requirements for the Framework

The framework requires three input data which are risk cost, response cost, and response efficiency for every risk. The risk cost (RC) is defined by risk impact expected by project manager. The impact can be converted into project length. For example, a risk is expected to have high impact, project completion time scheduled previously might be delayed and more expenses are required [15]. Equation (1) presents the risk
cost where \( T \) is the delay time due to the impact and \( U \) is a conversion coefficient (cost/unit time).

\[
RC = T + U
\]  

(1)

Both response cost (RSC) and response efficiency (RSE) are obtained from past experience on similar NPD projects or historical data. That is, the response costs paid for responding to risks can be derived from accounting data as shown in (2). \( \alpha \) is a coefficient to compensate RSC by reflecting interest rate, inflation rate, etc. The response efficiency is defined by the changes of the RSC, that is, the difference between the risk costs before and after applying a response against a risk. Equation (3) shows the RSE.

\[
RSC = \text{Historical } RSC + (\text{Historical } RSC \times \alpha)
\]  

(2)

\[
RSE = \text{Historical } RSE_{\text{before}} - \text{Historical } RSE_{\text{after}}
\]  

(3)

1. **Avoid**—seeking to eliminate the uncertainty by making it impossible for the risk to occur (i.e. reduce probability to zero), or by executing the project in a different way which will achieve the same objectives but which insulates the project from the effect of the risk (i.e. reduce impact to zero).

2. **Transfer**—identifying another stakeholder better able to manage the risk, to whom the liability and responsibility for action can be passed.

3. **Mitigate**—reducing the size of the risk in order to make it more acceptable to the project or organization, by reducing the probability and/or the impact.

4. **Accept**—recognizing that residual risks must be taken, and responding either actively by allocating appropriate contingency, or passively doing nothing except monitoring the status of the risk.

The selection of a strategy is surely dependent on risk types, their characteristics, available resources, projected efficiency, and impact on projects.

### D. Determination of Optimal Responses

Total response cost should be less than total available budget allocated to a project. Also, a certain response selected for a risk might not enough to overcome completely the impact of the corresponding risk. This means that multiple numbers of responses may be required for making the impact or RCBzero. Project manager often decides which detailed responses are effective for a given risk among many kinds of responses under selected response strategy.

The developed framework uses both binary particle swarm optimization (BPSO) method and multi-objective PSO (MOPSO). The BPSO is used to obtain the optimal strategy among four different strategies, and the MOPSO generates the optimized responses within the optimal strategy that minimize RC for the core risks within a given budget. Then, the optimal strategy for a risk is compared with manager’s strategy to check if there exits inconsistency between them. The number of inconsistent strategies should be minimized.

When the final responses are applied for a risk, the risk impact or risk cost should be overcome by their efficiencies. Equation (4) presents the applicable efficiency \( E \) (\( \geq 0 \)) which is determined by the difference between sum of RSE and RSC for all final responses selected for a risk. Due to multiple number of risks, total response efficiency (TRE) can be computed for all risks as shown in (5). Also, total risk cost (TRC) for all risks is defined as sum of risk cost (RC) for each risk. Furthermore, (7) is efficiency degree (ED) as the difference between TRE and TRC. The ED is a measure how much risk impacts or risk costs are diminished by response efficiency. The BPSO produces the final responses that minimized TRC or maximize ED.

\[
E = \sum RSE_{\text{selected}} - \sum RSC_{\text{selected}}
\]  

(4)

\[
TRE = \sum E
\]  

(5)

\[
TRC = \sum RC
\]  

(6)

\[
ED = TRE - TRC
\]  

(7)
V. BPSO AND MOPSO

A. Binary Particle Swarm Optimization (BPSO)

Basically PSO is the algorithm to find out an optimal solution in continuous solution space. Therefore, PSO cannot resolve discrete problem including binary problem. To overcome this limitation, BPSO algorithm which can resolve discrete problem such as scheduling, routing and so on was developed. In original PSO algorithm, each particle’s velocity is a real value. But in BPSO, velocity \( v(t) \) is defined by a special function such as sigmoid function as shown in (8), then the function value is compared with random value and is converted to an integer value, 0 or 1 as shown in (9).

\[
f(v(t)) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-v(t)}} \quad \text{(8)}
\]

\[
x(t+1) = \begin{cases} 0, & r(t) \geq f(v(t)) \\ 1, & r(t) < f(v(t)) \end{cases} \quad \text{(9)}
\]

B. Multi-Objective Particle Swarm Optimization (MOPSO)

In PSO algorithm, the fitness function should be set accurately and precisely to obtain high quality solutions. This study develops a composite fitness function that consists of three functions for selecting core risks with constrained resources, maximizing either TRE or ED, and minimizing the number of inconsistent strategies. These functions are set for MOPSO to produce the final strategy and responses. Equation (10) presents the composite fitness function where \( f_i \) and \( w_i \) are \( i^{th} \) function and its weight, respectively [18].

\[
\text{Fitness Function} = \{\omega_1 f_1, \omega_2 f_2, ..., \omega_n f_n \}
\]

\[
= \omega_1 x_1 + \omega_2 x_2 + ... + \omega_n x_n
\quad \text{(10)}
\]

VI. AN ILLUSTRATIVE EXAMPLE

The framework developed in this study may be described by an example. The following assumptions are made in the example:

- The project manager has conducted plenty of NPD projects in the past. This means that he or she can recognize what risks can occur and how much cost incurred from risks.
- The firm can obtain risk responses, risk efficiency, and response cost from historical data using data mining methods and statistical approaches.

A. Input Data Sets

Input data sets required to use the developed framework are given for five core risks, their risk costs, project manager’s strategies such as Mitigate, Accept, Transfer and Avoid, RSEs, and RSCs in Table II. It is assumed that five responses are available for each strategy. Therefore, total number of available responses is 20. As mentioned in Section III, project manager should select the strategy for each risk with consideration of the success basis. It is noted that the core risks along with their priorities are selected by the MRO method [9].

### TABLE II

**INPUT DATA SETS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk#</th>
<th>Risk Cost</th>
<th>Manager’s Strategy</th>
<th>Response# Efficiency</th>
<th>Response# Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. These risks are the core risks obtained by (15). Refer to Section V-B
2. 1 = Avoid, 2 = Transfer, 3 = Mitigate, 4 = Accept
3. Total number of available responses is 20

B. Fitness Functions

Equation (11) is the fitness function for MOPSO, and (12), (13) and (14) are set for ED \( f_i \), priorities of core risks \( f_2 \), the number of inconsistent strategies \( f_3 \), respectively.

\[
\text{Fitness Function} = \{\omega_1 f_1, \omega_2 f_2, ..., \omega_3 f_3 \}
\quad \text{(11)}
\]

\[
f_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} ED_i \quad N = \text{total number of risks} \quad \text{(12)}
\]

\[
ED_i = \max (E_{i1}, E_{i2}, ..., E_{ij}) - RC_i
\]

where

\[
E_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{S_j} S_{ijk} \times (RSE_{ijk} - RSC_{ijk})
\]

\[
i : i^{th} \text{ risk} \quad j : j^{th} \text{ strategy} \quad k : k^{th} \text{ response}
\]

\[
S_i : \text{total number of response strategies of } i^{th} \text{ risk factor} \quad S_j : \text{total number of responses for } i^{th} \text{ risk in } j^{th} \text{ strategy} \quad x_{ijk} : \text{selection results for } i^{th} \text{ risk in } j^{th} \text{ strategy with } k^{th} \text{ response through BPSO (} x_{ijk} = 0 \text{ or } 1)\]
\( RSE_{ijk} \): response efficiency for \( i^{th} \) risk in \( j^{th} \) strategy with \( k^{th} \) response

\( RSC_{ijk} \): response cost for \( i^{th} \) risk in \( j^{th} \) strategy with \( k^{th} \) response

\[
f_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i \tag{13}
\]

where \( p_i \) = priority of \( i^{th} \) risk obtained from MRO method \([9]\)

\[
f_3 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} y_i \tag{14}
\]

where \( y_i \) = inconsistency strategy or not (\( y_i = 0 \) or 1)

Another important constraint is total amount of budget allocated the project (B) to respond against risks as shown in (15).

\[
\sum RSC \text{ (of selected responses)} \leq B \tag{15}
\]

The only decision variable in this model is \( x_{ijk} \) (either 0 or 1) to determine the optimal responses and strategies for \( i^{th} \) risk.

### C. Results

Both BPSO and MOPSO of DEA library \([19]\) are executed to obtain the optimal solutions for strategy and responses under a project manager’s strategy set to each risk. Total number of particles and epochs are 100 and 180, respectively. The length of each particle is 20 digits due to total number of available responses. Each digit has either 0 or 1 depending on selection of a response for a risk. Then, BPSO generates the optimal responses and MOPSO selects the optimal strategy for each risk. Fig. 3 shows the convergence speed in which the outcomes from MOPSO have been converged since 40\(^{th}\) epoch. Table III presents the final results for the given example in which Risks 1, 3, 4, and 5 should be treated by project manager’s strategies to maximize ED, and the original strategy given by manager for Risk 2 should be changed from Mitigate to Accept. With this optimal solution, total risk cost is reduced from 1950 to 100. This means that the impacts from five core risks are remained as much as 100. The remaining risk cost must be removed further by selecting better strategy and responses that project manager agonize over.

### VII. CONCLUSION AND DISCUSSIONS

Either internal risk or external risk affects the success of NPD projects positively or negatively. Especially, the risks with negative impacts hinder smooth progress of NPD process. Therefore, project manager should develop good strategies how to respond against risks effectively and efficiently.

### Table III

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk No.</th>
<th>Manager’s Strategy</th>
<th>Responses</th>
<th>Initial Risk Cost</th>
<th>Risk Cost Reduction</th>
<th>Optimal Strategy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0 1 0 1</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0 1 1 1</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1 0 0 1</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>-230</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0 1 0 0</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 1 0 0</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(1 = \text{Avoid}, 2 = \text{Transfer}, 3 = \text{Mitigate, 4 = Accept}\)

Additionally, predicting what types of risks would occur and the impact degrees of risks are critical as well. A better strategy can be established when the manager has a plenty of NPD experiences. The tremendous amount of data such as risk types, risk costs, response types and expenses, and response efficiency related to projects can be accumulated from the experiences and analyzed to apply the scientific methods for risks. Since additional costs or expenses are required for responding to various risks, the scientific methods should be capable of generating optimal strategies and responses.

In this study, a framework is suggested to obtain the optimal strategies and responses for all kinds of negative risks. The framework contains both BPSO and MOPSO algorithms as scientific methods to minimize the risk costs (risk impact). The major drawback of the framework is convergence of the solutions which is still unstable. Such situation has not been improved as the number of epochs is increased. Therefore, further study would be continued to obtain better results with other outstanding algorithms.

The developed framework has several constraints for actual applications in industries as follows:

1. A firm must have historical database related to past NPD projects.
2. A firm must measure the risk impact, response efficiency, and response cost for each risk.
3. The framework has not been validated and verified by using real industry data.
4. The risk cost must be removed completely no matter what strategies and responses are activated.
Although the above constraints should be released in the future, the developed framework can be regarded as the first in NPD project management areas, and has the strength to handle various risks.
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