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Abstract—Protective effect of ethanolic extract of polyherbal formulation (PHF) was studied on carbon tetrachloride induced liver damage in rats. Hepatotoxicity is connected with severe impairment of cell protection mechanisms. The location of liver injury is defined mainly by the biotransformation of CCl₄, which is cytochrome p-450 dependent. Free radicals initiate the process of lipid peroxidation, which is generally caused of inhibition of enzyme activity. It is now generally accepted that the hepatotoxicity of CCl₄ is the result of reductive dehalogenation, which is catalyzed by P450, and which form the highly reactive trichloromethyl free radical. This then readily interacts with peroxy radical. Both trichloromethyl and its peroxy radicals are capable of binding to proteins and lipids, or of abstracting a hydrogen atom from unsaturated lipid, initiating lipid peroxidation and liver damage and by doing so playing a significant role in pathogenesis of disease [2].
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I. INTRODUCTION

Liver diseases are a serious health problem. In the absence of reliable liver protective drugs in allopathic medical practices, herbs play a major role in the management of various liver disorders [1]. The experimental intoxication induced by carbon tetrachloride (CCl₄) is widely used for modeling liver injury in rats. Hepatotoxicity is connected with severe impairment of cell protection mechanisms. The location of liver injury is defined mainly by the biotransformation of CCl₄, which is cytochrome p-450 dependent.

Free radicals initiate the process of lipid peroxidation, which is generally caused of inhibition of enzyme activity. It is now generally accepted that the hepatotoxicity of CCl₄ is the result of reductive dehalogenation, which is catalyzed by P450, and which form the highly reactive trichloromethyl free radical. This then readily interacts with peroxy radical. Both trichloromethyl and its peroxy radicals are capable of binding to proteins and lipids, or of abstracting a hydrogen atom from unsaturated lipid, initiating lipid peroxidation and liver damage and by doing so playing a significant role in pathogenesis of disease [2].

Plant derived natural products such as flavonoids, terpenoids and steroids etc. have received considerable attention in recent years due to their diverse pharmacological properties including hepatoprotective activity. There has been growing interest in the analysis of certain flavonoids, triterpenoids, and steroids stimulated by intense research in to their benefits to human health. One of their main properties in this regard is their antioxidant activity [3]. This research is carried out to evaluate the hepatoprotective activity of ethanolic extract of polyherbal formulation against CCl₄-induced liver damage in rats.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. Collection of the Plant Materials

The plant materials used for the polyherbal formulation (PHF) preparation were Asteracantha longifolia Nees., Cyprus rotundus Linn. and Bryophyllum pinnatum Kurz. The plants were collected from Vallayar, Rajapalayam and Salem district of Tamilnadu, India respectively. They were identified and authenticated by Taxonomist Dr. V. Balasubramanian of Department of Botany, Kongunadu Arts and Science College, Coimbatore, Tamilnadu, India. Voucher specimens were deposited at herbarium collection of the department of Botany.

B. Extraction and Preparation of Polyherbal Formulation

The plant parts were washed, shade dried and powdered. In order to prepare the polyherbal formulation, about 25g (50%) of Asteracantha longifolia, 15g (30%) of Cyprus rotundus and 10g (20%) of Bryophyllum pinnatum plant powders were soaked overnight in 150ml of 95% ethanol. This suspension was filtered and the residue was resuspended in an equal volume of 95% ethanol for 48 hrs. and filtered again. The two filtrates were pooled and the solvent were evaporated in a rotary evaporator. This extract was dissolved in one liter of distilled water and this was administered orally to the rats at the rate of 1.0ml/day. The percentage composition of the plant parts used for the ethanolic extract of polyherbal formulation preparation is shown in Table I.

C. Selection of Animals

Healthy adult male wistar albino rats weighing about 150 to 200 g were procured from animal breeding centre, Kerala Agricultural University, Mannuthy, Thrissur, Kerala, India. The animals were housed in spacious cages. The animals were maintained for 12 hrs. in light and dark cycle at 28°C ± 2°C in a well ventilated animal house under natural conditions in large polypropylene cages and they were acclimatized to laboratory conditions for 10 days prior to the commencement of the experiment.
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The animals were fed with standard pelleted diet supplied by AVM foods, Coimbatore, Tamilnadu, India and provided with water *ad libitum*. All animal experiments were performed according to the ethical guidelines suggested by the institutional animal ethics committee (IAEC).

**D. Experimental Induction of Hepatotoxicity**

Hepatic damage was induced in experimental rats by intraperitoneal administration of carbon tetrachloride at dose of 1.0ml per kg body weight in 1:1 volume/ volume of liquid paraffin, which served as a vehicle.

**E. Experimental Design of Animals**

The rats were divided into five groups of six animals each as given in Table II.

### TABLE I

**Composition of Plant Parts Used for the Preparation of Polyherbal Formulation (PHF)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Name of the Plant</th>
<th>Plant part used</th>
<th>Composition (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td><em>Asteracantha longifolia</em> Nees.</td>
<td>Whole plant</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td><em>Cyprus rotundus</em> Linn.</td>
<td>Bulbs</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td><em>Bryophyllum pinnatum</em> Kurz.</td>
<td>Leaves</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE II

**Experimental Design**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Experimental design</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Control rats - received normal pelleted diet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Toxic rats - carbon tetrachloride was induced (1.0ml/ kg body weight) as single dose with 1:1 volume/ volume of liquid paraffin by intraperitoneal administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Standard drug treated rats - Silymarin (25mg / kg / body wt) by oral administration for 30 days at the rate of 1.0 ml / rat / day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Polyherbal formulation (PHF) treated rats - (250 mg / kg / body wt) by oral administration for 30 days at a rate of 1.0 ml / rat / day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>Protective group - normal rats received polyherbal formulation extract (250 mg / kg / body wt) by oral administration for 30 days at the rate of 1.0 ml / rat / day.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE III

**Effect of PHF on 5’NT and GGT in Liver of Control and Experimental Rats**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>5’ NT*</th>
<th>GGT¥</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group I</td>
<td>1.76±0.08</td>
<td>11.18±0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group II</td>
<td>3.33±0.20 a*</td>
<td>18.31±0.81 a*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group III</td>
<td>2.05±0.06 b*</td>
<td>13.07±0.45 b*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group IV</td>
<td>2.10±0.14 c* c*</td>
<td>13.19±0.45 c* c*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group V</td>
<td>1.70±0.06 d*</td>
<td>11.05±0.20 d*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are expressed as mean ± SD of six animals. Statistical comparisons: a: Group II and I b: Group III and II c: Group IV and II d: Group V and I e: Group IV and III. * P < 0.05, ns - not significant. Units * μ moles of phosphate liberated/ min/ mg protein, ¥ μ moles of p-nitro phenol liberated/ min/ mg protein

### TABLE IV

**Effect of PHF on GDH and SDH Enzymes in Liver of Control and Experimental Rats**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>GDH ¥</th>
<th>SDH *</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group I</td>
<td>7.07±0.37</td>
<td>3.52±0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group II</td>
<td>15.45±0.78 a*</td>
<td>0.99±0.05 a*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group III</td>
<td>9.01±0.41 b*</td>
<td>2.92±0.06 b*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are expressed as mean ± SD of six animals. Statistical comparisons: a: Group II and I b: Group III and II c: Group IV and II d: Group V and I e: Group IV and III. * P < 0.05, ns - not significant. Units ¥ μ moles of phosphate liberated/ min/ mg protein, * μ moles of p-nitro phenol liberated/ min/ mg protein
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>5' NT in serum (µ moles of phosphate liberated/L)</th>
<th>GGT in serum (µ moles of p-nitrophenol liberated/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>9.08±0.37 c* e**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>7.07±0.24 d*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are expressed as mean ± SD of six animals. Statistical comparisons: a: Group II and I, b: Group III and II, c: Group IV and II, d: Group V and I, e: Group IV and III. *P < 0.05, ns – not significant. Units: n moles of NADPH oxidized / min / mg protein *μ moles of succinate formed /min/mg protein

Fig. 1 Effect of PHF on 5’NT in serum of control and experimental rats

Fig. 2 Effect of PHF on GGT in serum of control and experimental rats

**F. Collection of Serum Sample**

After the experimental regimen the animals were sacrificed by cervical decapitation under mild chloroform anesthesia. Blood was collected and centrifuged for 10 min. at 2500 rpm. The serum was collected and then diluted in the ratio of 1:10 with saline. Aliquots of the diluted serum were used for the estimation of serum constituents and serum enzyme activities.

**G. Collection of Liver Samples**

Liver was removed immediately and washed with ice cold saline. 10% tissue homogenate was prepared by 0.1M tris HCl homogenizing buffer at pH 7.5. The homogenate was used for the assay of various biochemical parameters.

**H. Estimation of Biochemical Parameters**

The serum and liver tissue homogenate were used to assayed the marker enzymes like 5’ NT, GGT, GDH and SDH according to the method of Campbell (1962) [5], Persijn and Vanderslik (1976) [6], Sadasivam and Manickam (2005) [7] and Slater and Bonner (1952) [8].

**I. Statistical Analysis**

The values were represented as the mean of six values ± S.D. The results were statistically analyzed using the statistical package (MINITAB, version 14). One way analysis of variance was employed for comparison among the six groups followed by Fisher’s test. Statistical significance was set at p<0.05 [9].
III. RESULTS

The effect of ethanolic extract of polyherbal formulation on 5’-nucleotide (5’NT) and gamma glutamyl transferase (GGT) in serum and liver of control and experimental rats are shown in the Figs. 1, 2 and Table III. From the figures and table, it is evident that these enzymes were significantly increased (p<0.05) in serum and liver of CCl₄ induced hepatic damaged rats. After the treatment with polyherbal formulation, the values showed near normal range in group IV rats in serum and liver. The standard drug silymarin treated group (group III) also showed the normal activities. The group V rats, which were treated with polyherbal formulation alone, showed protective effect without any side effect.

Table IV represent the levels of liver Glutamate dehydrogenase (GDH) and succinate dehydrogenase (SDH). Levels of GDH were significantly (p<0.05) increased in toxic (Group II) where as the SDH level was significantly decreased in toxic group of rats. It is postulated that administration of CCl₄ cause cell lysis, resulting in the release of cytoplasmic enzymes of the liver into blood circulation, leading to their increase in levels in serum and this property is often implicated to assess the extent of CCl₄ induced hepatocellular damage [9]. The PHF and silymarin administration (group III and IV) successfully altered the effect to normal level in the experimental rats.

IV. DISCUSSION

5’NT the plasma membrane marker enzymes regulate many biochemical reactions in the body tissues. GGT level is known to be sensitive marker of hepatobiliary disorder. GGT is a membrane bound enzymes. Oxidative stress induced damage to the membrane of hepatocytes seems to contribute to the increased activity of GGT [10].

Our results coincides with that of Venukumar and Latha [11] who showed the effect of Coscinium fenestratum on hepatotoxicity in rats and reported that the activities of 5’NT and GGT registered a significant elevation in CCl₄ treated rats which were significantly recovered towards an almost normal level in animals co-administrated with the C. fenestratum. Bishayee [12] showed a reduction in the hepatic 5’NT activity by the administration of D. carota Linn (carrot) against carbon tetra chloride intoxication in mouse liver. Similar results were also observed in our studies.

Succinate dehydrogenase (SDH) is a mitochondrial enzyme tightly bound to the inner mitochondrial membrane and plays an important role in energy conversion. A significant fall in the succinate dehydrogenase activity could result in serious impairment of mitochondrial function and metabolic turnover. This may be due to the mitochondrial assembly. Active principle present in PHF may possibly play a role in retaining the impairment of mitochondrial function [13].

Hepatoprotective activities of D.carota Linn (carrot) against CCl₄ intoxication with in mouse liver was studied Bishayee et al., (1995) [14] and reported the GDH enzyme level was decreased, whereas SDH enzyme level was increased on treatment with the extract. Our study also coincides with the above studies.

Shukla [15] who showed the increased activities of SDH after the administration Terminalia belerica fruit extract against CCl₄ induced toxicity in rats. Similar result was observed in our studies.
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