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Abstract—In this paper, we propose two new confidence intervals for the inverse of a normal mean with a known coefficient of variation. One of new confidence intervals for the inverse of a normal mean with a known coefficient of variation is constructed based on the pivotal statistic Z where Z is a standard normal distribution and another confidence interval is constructed based on the generalized confidence interval, presented by Weerahandi. We examine the performance of these confidence intervals in terms of coverage probabilities and average lengths via Monte Carlo simulation.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Suppose \( X_i \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2), i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \) and assume that the coefficient of variation is known, \( \tau = \sigma / \mu \), where \( \mu, \sigma^2 \) are population mean and population variance of \( X \), respectively. We are interesting in constructing the confidence interval for the inverse of a normal mean, \( \theta = \mu^{-1} \).

The problem of estimating \( \mu^{-1} \) is studied in many areas i.e. in experimental nuclear Physics, agricultural and economic researches. In experimental nuclear Physics, Lamanna et al. [1] studied a charged particle momentum \( p = \mu^{-1} \) where \( \mu \) is the track curvature of a particle. Zaman [2], [3] discussed this problem in the one-dimensional special case of the single period control problem and the estimation of structural parameters of a simultaneous equation as recognized. Withers and Nadarajah [4] proposed the theorem to construct the point estimator for the inverse powers of a normal mean. Although

\[ \hat{\theta} = \frac{1}{\bar{X}} = \frac{1}{\mu} + f'(\frac{1}{\mu})(\bar{X} - \mu) + f''(\frac{1}{\mu})(\bar{X} - \mu)^2 + \ldots \]  

(1)

Hence,

\[ E\left(\frac{1}{\bar{X}}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{i-1} E\left(\frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\mu^i}\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 2^{1-i} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{k + 1}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma^{\frac{k}{2}+1}} \left(\frac{\sigma^2}{n}\right)^{\frac{k}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{\mu}\right)^i \]

the exact method and the generalized confidence interval are discussed in Section II. Simulation studies show the coverage probabilities and average length widths for each interval and their results are presented in Section III, and some conclusions are given in Section IV.

II. CONFIDENCE INTERVAL FOR THE INVERSE OF A NORMAL MEAN WITH A KNOWN COEFFICIENT OF VARIATION

A. Exact Method

In this section, we consider the expected length and variance of the estimator for \( \theta \), \( \hat{\theta} = \bar{X}^{-1} \). This result will show that our estimator is an asymptotic biased estimator.

Theorem 1. Suppose \( X_i \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2), i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \) where \( \mu, \sigma^2 \) are respectively population mean and population variance of \( X \). Then the estimator of \( \theta \) is \( \hat{\theta} = \bar{X}^{-1} \), the expectation of \( E(\hat{\theta}) \) and \( E(\hat{\theta}^2) \) when the coefficient of variation is known, \( \tau = \sigma / \mu \) are

\[
0 \left[ 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{(2k)!}{2^k k!} \left( \frac{\tau^2}{n} \right)^k \right]
\]

and

\[
0^2 \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2k+1)!}{2^k k!} \left( \frac{\tau^2}{n} \right)^k \right].
\]

Proof of Theorem 1. Applying the Taylor series expansion of \( (\bar{X})^{-1} \) at \( \bar{X} = \mu \) as shown in Mahmoudvand and Hassani [6], the estimator \( \hat{\theta} \) can be written as

\[
\frac{1}{\bar{X}} = \frac{1}{\mu} + f'(\frac{1}{\mu})(\bar{X} - \mu) + f''(\frac{1}{\mu})(\bar{X} - \mu)^2 + \ldots
\]

(1)

Hence,

\[
E\left(\frac{1}{\bar{X}}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{i-1} E\left(\frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\mu^i}\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 2^{1-i} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{k + 1}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma^{\frac{k}{2}+1}} \left(\frac{\sigma^2}{n}\right)^{\frac{k}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{\mu}\right)^i
\]

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. The two confidence intervals for the inverse of a normal mean based on
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Corollary 1. From Theorem 1, \( \text{Var}(\hat{\theta}) \approx \frac{\tau^2}{n\mu^2} \)

Proof of Corollary 1, consider only the first two terms of the right hand side of (1),

\[
\hat{\theta} = \frac{1}{\bar{X}} \approx 1 - \frac{(\bar{X} - \mu)}{\mu} \mu
\]

\[
\text{Var}(\hat{\theta}) = \text{Var}\left(\frac{1}{\mu}\right) - \text{Var}\left(\frac{(\bar{X} - \mu)}{\mu^2}\right)
= \frac{1}{\mu^2} \text{Var}(\bar{X} - \mu)
= \frac{\tau^2}{n\mu^2}
\]

(5)

This ends the proof.

Now we will the fact that, from the Central Limit Theorem,

\[
Z = \frac{\hat{\theta} - 0}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(\hat{\theta})}} \sim N(0,1)
\]

(6)

Plugging (5) to (6), we have

\[
Z = \frac{\hat{\theta} - 0}{\sqrt{\frac{\tau^2}{n\mu^2}}} \sim N(0,1)
\]

It is therefore easily seen that, the 100(1 - \(\alpha\))% confidence interval for \(\theta\) is

\[
CI_{exact} = \left[\frac{\hat{\theta}}{w} \pm Z_{1-\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{\tau^2}{n\bar{X}^2}}\right]
\]

(7)

where \(Z_{1-\alpha/2}\) is an upper \(1 - \alpha / 2\) quintile of the standard normal distribution.

B. Generalized Confidence Interval (GCI)

Weerahandi [5] defined the generalized pivotal as a statistic that has a distribution free of unknown parameters. The generalized theory of this method has been shown that a generalized pivotal quantity is not only a function of random variable, but also involves the observe values, parameters and nuisance parameters.

In this section, we propose the generalized confidence interval for the inverse of a normal mean with a known coefficient of variation. Let \(X\) be a random variable from normal distribution, \(f(X, 0, \sigma^2)\) where \(\theta\) is a parameter of interest and \(\sigma^2\) is a nuisance parameter. Let \(x\) be the observed value of \(X\).

The procedure to construct the confidence interval for the
The observed value of $2$ satisfies the following conditions:

$$R_0 = R(X,x,\theta) = \frac{\tau}{\sqrt{(n-1)\frac{S^2}{\sigma^2}}}$$

where $s^2$ is the observed value of $S^2$ and $U = \frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2_{n-1}$.

Thus $R_0$ is said to be the generalized pivotal quantity that satisfies the following conditions:

1) For a fixed $x$, the probability distribution of $R_0$ is free of unknown parameters.

2) The observed value of $R_0$ as $r_{obs} = r(x,x,\theta)$ does not depend on the nuisance parameter and the value of $R_0$ is equal to $\mu^{-1}$ as $s^2 = S^2$.

Suppose $R_0(1-\alpha)$ is the $100(1-\alpha)$th percentile of the distribution of $R_0$, so $R_0(1-\alpha)$ is the $100(1-\alpha)\%$ generalized upper confidence interval for $\theta$.

III. SIMULATION STUDIES

In this section, we write the function in R program to generate the data with means and variances. In simulation, we set mean $(\mu) = 1$, the coefficient of variation $(\tau = \sigma/\mu) = 0.1, 0.3, 0.5, 0.7, 0.8, 1$ and the sample sizes $(n) = 10, 20, 40, 100, 1000$. The results of simulation studies are the coverage probabilities and average lengths of each confidence interval. The nominal level of 0.95 is calculated based on 10,000 replications. From Table I, we find that the coverage probability of the $CI_{exact}$ confidence interval performs as well as the confidence interval $CI_{gei}$ and they approach to 0.95 for all values of the coefficient of variation $(\tau)$. The confidence interval $CI_{exact}$ performs better than the confidence interval $CI_{gei}$ for the large sample size because of the confidence interval $CI_{exact}$ based on the asymptotic normality of the test statistic $Z$. Table I also shows that ratio of the expected length of the two confidence intervals $E(CI_{gei}/CI_{exact})$ is greater than 1, when the values of the coefficient of variation are less than or equal 0.7. This means the length of confidence interval $CI_{exact}$ which is shorter than the length of the confidence interval $CI_{gei}$.

IV. CONCLUSION

In this paper, the two new confidence intervals for the inverse of a normal mean with a known coefficient of variation are studied at the first time. The simulation studies show that the coverage probabilities of both confidence interval $CI_{exact}$ and confidence interval $CI_{gei}$ are not significantly different and they approach to $(1-\alpha)$. When the values of coefficient of variation are small, the confidence interval $CI_{exact}$ is shorter than $CI_{gei}$. The confidence interval $CI_{exact}$ is also easy to use more than the confidence interval $CI_{gei}$ which is based on a computational approach. So we suggest the confidence interval $CI_{exact}$ when a coefficient of variation is known for practitioner.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table I</th>
<th>Coverage Probability</th>
<th>Ratio of lengths $E(CI_{gei}/CI_{exact})$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$n$</td>
<td>$\tau$</td>
<td>$CI_{gei}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.9433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.9454</td>
<td>0.9465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.9464</td>
<td>0.9457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.9429</td>
<td>0.9427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.9452</td>
<td>0.9382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.9461</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.9499</td>
<td>0.9508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.9548</td>
<td>0.9457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.9421</td>
<td>0.9510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.9461</td>
<td>0.9468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.9481</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.9424</td>
<td>0.9553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.9449</td>
<td>0.9500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.9484</td>
<td>0.9523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.9453</td>
<td>0.9454</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.9470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.9468</td>
<td>0.9529</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.9472</td>
<td>0.9546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.9436</td>
<td>0.9509</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.9458</td>
<td>0.9508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.9471</td>
<td>0.9523</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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