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Abstract—The Gram-Schmidt Process (GSP) is used to convert a non-orthogonal basis (a set of linearly independent vectors) into an orthonormal basis (a set of orthogonal, unit-length vectors). The process consists of taking each vector and then subtracting the elements in common with the previous vectors. This paper introduces an Enhanced version of the Gram-Schmidt Process (EGSP) with inverse, which is useful for signal and image processing applications.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Orthogonalization processes play a key role in many iterative methods used in Correlation Matrix memory [1], array signal processing [2], the Kalman Filtering problem [3], datamining and bioinformatics [4], among others [5, 6], with different implementation possibilities [3, 7-9], however, the issues involved in the use of Very-Large-Scale Integration (VLSI) technology to implement an adaptive version of the GSP, based on the escalator structure, are discussed in [10], while alternative versions for optimal filtering and control problems without using GSP are discussed in [11-15]. Besides, it has a very important field of applications in communica-tions, see [16-25]. Returning to the situation at hand, the EGSP is useful to perform a stable orthonormalization with inverse process, in opposition to previous versions that achieve it thanks to impractical or unstable algorithmic methods [26, 27], or being stable doesn’t have inverse, such as Modified GSP [28]. Finally, a good orthonormalization algorithm with inver-se is essential for filtering and compression in Digital Signal and Image Processing.

The original Gram-Schmidt Process (GSP) is outlined in Section II. Inverse of GSP is outlined in Section III. Enhanced Gram-Schmidt Process (EGSP) is outlined in Section IV. Performance proof is outline in Section V. In Section VI, we discuss briefly the employed routines. Finally, Section VI provides a conclusion of the paper.

II. GRAM-SCHMIDT PROCESS (GSP)

A. Algebraic Version

Given a set of key vectors that are linearly independent but nonorthonormal, it is possible to use a preprocessor to transform them into an orthonormal set; the processor is designed to perform a Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization on the key vectors prior to association [29, 30]. This way to transform is linear, maintaining a one-to-one correspondence between the input (key) vectors \( v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_N \), and the resulting orthonormal vectors \( u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_N \), as indicated in:

\[
\{ v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_N \} \rightarrow \{ u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_N \}
\]

where \( u_1 = v_1 \), and the remaining \( u_n \) are defined by [30]

\[
u_n = v_n - \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} r_{mn} u_m, \quad n = 2, 3, \ldots, N
\]  

with

\[
r_{mn} = \frac{u_m^T v_n}{u_m^T u_m}
\]

where \((\cdot)^T\) means transpose of \((\cdot)\). The orthogonality of key vectors may also be approached using statistical considerations. Specifically, if the input space dimension \( M \) is large and the key vectors have statistically independent elements, then they will be close to orthogonality with respect to each other [1]. However, the number of coefficients \( r_{mn} \) is

\[
N_r = \frac{N(N-1)}{2}
\]

which is independent of the key vectors dimension \( M \), being \( N \) the number of vectors.

B. Algorithmic Version

The algorithmic version of GSP is based on Eq. (1) and (2), as shown in Fig. 1. A matrix \( \mathbf{v} (M \times N) \) would be built from the base of the input (key) vectors \( v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_N \), and its columns would be the same vectors. Similarly, with the resulting orthonormal vectors \( u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_N \), a matrix \( \mathbf{u} (M \times N) \) is built whose columns are these vectors. The process will also build a vector \( \mathbf{r} (N \times 1) \), in terms of Eq. (1), (2) and (3). The algorithm as a function is
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Fig. 1 Gram-Schmidt Process (GSP).

function \([u,r] = gsp(v)\)
\[u(:,1) = v(:,1);\]
\[i = 1;\]
\[[M,N] = size(v);\]
\[for n = 2:N\]
\[acu = 0;\]
\[for m = 1:n-1\]
\[r(i) = ((v(:,n)')*u(:,m))/((u(:,m)')*u(:,m));\]
\[acu = acu + r(i)*u(:,m);\]
\[i = i+1;\]
\[end\]
\[u(:,n) = v(:,n) - acu;\]
\[end\]

Fig. 2 Inverse Gram-Schmidt Process (IGSP).

function \([v,bias] = igsp(u,r)\)
\[v(:,1) = u(:,1);\]
\[i = 1;\]
\[[M,N] = size(u);\]
\[for n = 2:N\]
\[acu = 0;\]
\[for m = 1:n-1\]
\[acu = acu + r(i)*u(:,m);\]
\[i = i+1;\]
\[end\]
\[v(:,n) = u(:,n) + acu;\]
\[end\]

Finally, a modified version of the traditional GSP exists [28], and it is stable, but it doesn't have inverse because it is an in-situ method, i.e., it is a destructive algorithm [31]. This is the reason for which becomes indispensable a new algorithm that being stable has inverse.

IV. ENHANCED GRAM-SCHMIDT PROCESS (EGSP)

A. Algebraic Version of Improvement of the Stability

The developed algorithm is the very traditional version of GSP (well known for its bad numerical properties, see [28]), modified versions of the same one exist, called Modified GSP (MGSP), see [31], but unfortunately, they don’t have inverse, because, they are in-situ algorithm, i.e., they constitute destructive methods. On the other hand, the unstability happens when the denominators of the \(r\) elements are close to zero. Therefore, to assure the stability it is necessary to apply the following procedure on the input (key) vectors \(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_N\):

1. \(v_i^T \cdot v_i = \min (v_1^T \cdot v_1, v_2^T \cdot v_2, \ldots, v_N^T \cdot v_N)\)
2. \((v_i + \text{bias} \cdot I)^T \cdot (v_i + \text{bias} \cdot I) = 1\), where \(I = [1 \ldots 1]^T\) being a \((N \times 1)\) vector
3. \((N) \cdot \text{bias}^2 + (2 \cdot v_i^T \cdot I) \cdot \text{bias} + (-1 + v_i^T \cdot v_i) = 0\)

In such a way that the minimum denominator of the elements of \(r\) are equal to one.

Finally,

\[\text{bias} = -(v_i^T \cdot I / N) + [(v_i^T \cdot I / N)^2 - (v_i^T \cdot v_i / N) + (1 / N)]^{1/2}\]

B. Algorithmic Version of Improvement of the Stability

The algorithm as a function is

function \([v,bias] = is(v)\)
\[[M,N] = size(v);\]
for \(n = 1:N\)
\[w(n) = (v(:,n)^T \cdot v(:,n));\]
end
\[[\text{minw},n] = \text{min}(w);\]
if \(\text{minw} < 1\)
\[
\text{bias} = -(\text{sum}(w(:,n))/N) - (((\text{sum}(w(:,n))/N)^2) - (w(n)/N) + (1/N)^2) / (1/2);
\]
else
\[
\text{bias} = 0;
\]
v = v + bias * ones(M,N); % see Fig. 1

Fig. 3 Bias inclusion for the redefinition of matrix V.

### C. Algorithmic Version of EGSP

The improvement of the stability is based on previous development, as shown in Fig. 1. Therefore, the final algorithmic version of GSP improved in stability, i.e., EGSP, can be observed in Fig. 4.

The following programs represent the complete process

```matlab
% input of v
[v,bias] = is(v);
[u,r] = gsp(v);
% bias, u and r are transmitted
% or
% input of v
[u,r,bias] = egsp(v); % EGSP as a function
% bias, u and r are transmitted
% where
function [u,r,bias] = egsp(v)
[M,N] = size(v);
for n = 1:N
    w(n) = (v(:,n))' * v(:,n);
end
[minw,n] = min(w);
if minw < 1,
    bias = -(sum(v(:,n)) / N) - ((sum(v(:,n)) / N)^2)
    - (w(n) / N + (1/N)^2); % see Fig. 3
else
    bias = 0;
end

v = v + bias * ones(M,N);
u(:,1) = v(:,1);
i = 1;
for n = 2:N
    w(n) = (v(:,n))' * v(:,n);
end
[minw,n] = min(w);
if minw < 1,
    bias = -(sum(v(:,n)) / N) - ((sum(v(:,n)) / N)^2)
    - (w(n) / N + (1/N)^2); % see Fig. 3
else
    bias = 0;
end
```

Considering the improvement of the stability, the IEGSP algorithm will be as shown in Fig. 5.

and the following programs represents the complete inverse process

```matlab
% bias, u and r are received
v = iegsp(u,r);
v = si(v,bias);
% where
function v = si(v,bias)
[M,N] = size(v);
v = v - bias * ones(M,N);
% or
function v = iegsp(u,r,bias)
[v(:,1)] = u(:,1);
i = 1;
[M,N] = size(u);
for n = 2:N
    w(n) = (v(:,n))' * v(:,n);
end
[minw,n] = min(w);
if minw < 1,
    bias = -(sum(v(:,n)) / N) - ((sum(v(:,n)) / N)^2)
    - (w(n) / N + (1/N)^2); % see Fig. 3
else
    bias = 0;
end
v = v + bias * ones(M,N);
```

### V. Performance Proof

#### A. Output-Input Size Rate (OISR) Without Improvement of the Stability

The OISR for GSP (i.e., GSP-OISR) is:

$$GSP-OISR = \frac{\text{size}(\text{u}) + \text{size}(\text{r})}{\text{size}(\text{v})}$$ (5)
Replacing in order, the corresponding dimensions, in Eq. (5)
\[
GSP-OISR = \frac{NM + N}{NM}
\]
and simplifying
\[
GSP-OISR = \frac{2M + N - 1}{2M}
\]

However, for practical considerations \(M \gg N\) [1, 5, 8-15], then \(GSP-OISR \cong 1\), being \(M\) the input space dimension, and \(N\) the number of vectors of such space. Similarly, and for identical considerations, the IGSP-OISR \(\cong 1\), too.

B. Output-Input Size Rate (OISR) with Improvement of the Stability

The OISR for GSP (i.e., GSP-OISR) is:
\[
GSP-OISR = \frac{\text{size}(u) + \text{size}(v) + 1}{\text{size}(v)}
\]
Replacing in order, the corresponding dimensions, in Eq. (9)
\[
GSP-OISR = \frac{NM + N + 1}{NM}
\]
considering Eq. (3)
\[
GSP-OISR = \frac{NM + \frac{N(N-1)}{2} + 1}{NM}
\]
and simplifying
\[
GSP-OISR = \frac{2NM + N^2 - N - 2}{2NM}
\]
For identical considerations to the previous case GSP-OISR \(\cong 1\) and IGSP-OISR \(\cong 1\), too.

VI. EMPLOYED ROUTINES

The used routines are the functions: egsp() and iegsp(), besides the following ones:

The second routine proves the orthogonalization of the vectors caused by the EGSP, while the first use to all the other routines is to verify the operation of the IEGSP.

VII. CONCLUSIONS

An algorithm for a discrete version of the IGSP is performed. The GSP generates a matrix \(u\) and a vector \(r\) which can be stored or transmitted starting from the matrix \(v\), while the IGSP will reconstruct to the original matrix \(u\) based on the matrix \(v\) and the vector \(r\). Since for practical considerations [1, 5, 8-15] the size of the vector \(r\) is insignificant compared with the size of the matrices \(v\) and \(u\), then GSP-OISR and IGSP-OISR are approximately equal to one, see Eq. (8). The computer simulations show null error when applying GSP followed by IGSP regarding to the matrix \(v\).
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