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Abstract—This paper presents the benchmarking results and performance evaluation of different clusters built at the National Center for High-Performance Computing in Taiwan. Performance of processor, memory subsystem, and interconnect is a critical factor in the overall performance of high-performance computing platforms. The evaluation compares different system architectures and software. Most supercomputer used HPL to benchmark their system performance, in accordance with the requirement of the TOP500 List. In this paper we consider system memory access factors that affect benchmark performance, such as processor and memory performance. We hope these works will provide useful information for future development and construct cluster system.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The rapid improvement of the microprocessor and memory along with the availability of low-cost and fast interconnection network made it possible for many research groups to put together commodity off-the-shelf PCs to build parallel high-performance computers. Having the advantage of delivering high-performance at low-cost, PC Clusters are becoming one of the most important platforms for HPC[6].

The National Center for High-Performance Computing (NCHC), Taiwan has dedicated time and efforts to research, develop, and promote on PC Cluster technologies since 1999. The NCHC is self-made serial Formosa PC Cluster systems, Formosa, Formosa II, Formosa III and Formosa IV HPC Cluster over the past decade. In 2003, Formosa PC Cluster was built and become the fastest computer system in Taiwan then. The Central Processing Unit (CPU) of Formosa II HPC Cluster is AMD Opteron 275 DualCore, extending the IA32 architecture to 64 bits by Intel Extended Memory 64 bit Technology (EM64T). The Formosa II HPC Cluster has achieved 1166 Gflops performance of HPL. It has record #298 in TOP500 lists in Nov. 2004 [8].

The Formosa III HPC Cluster is a 64-bit Beowulf Cluster located in the southern branch of NHC. It consists of 76 IBM System x3550 M3 servers as its compute nodes. This self-made cluster was designed and constructed by the HPC Cluster Team at NCHC for computational science applications and came online in 2011.

Each node has two six-core Intel model processors and 48GB of DDR 3 registered ECC SDRAM. All nodes are connected by the 4x QDR InfiniBand high-speed network and a private subnet with Gigabit Ethernet. An additional four nodes are arranged as login nodes, thus, enabling users’ access easily. The Formosa III mission is virtualization support that different from previous series clusters.

Since the installation of hardware and software, we have tested several popular benchmark programs on the clusters. Performance of processor, memory subsystem and interconnect is a critical factor in the overall performance of a computing system and thus affecting the applications running on it. The LLC benchmark suite [4], including cachebench, blasbench and mpbench, designed to evaluate the performance of the memory hierarchy, Basic Linear Algebra Subroutines (BLAS) and Message Passing Interface (MPI)[9].

There are also some application programs from different scientific and engineering domains currently running on the clusters for performance evaluation. This paper presents our experience in the performance evaluation of PC clusters based on performance data collected from a broad range of standard benchmark programs and real applications. The results provide some hints about the attainable performance with PC clusters, which is largely application-dependent as we will describe in the following sections.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 introduces the NCHC cluster system architecture. Section 3 describes the benchmark software. Section 4 shows the performance of different benchmark software. Finally presents conclusions.

II. SYSTEM DESCRIPTIONS

In this paper, we evaluate three HPC clusters, the NCHC SUN GPU Cluster was built in 2010, the NCHC ALPS was built in 2011 and the NCHC Formosa IV was built in 2011. We show the detail system description as follows:

A. The NCHC SUN GPU Cluster

The role of the GPU accelerator has become more and more important for scientific computing. The GPU has become the world’s top driving force behind supercomputer. The NCHC SUN GPU Cluster built in 2010 that was contains two of Intel X5570 inside four cores running at 2.93 GHz node sharing 24 GB RAM. As Fig. 1 shows each computing node integrates one GPU device S1070. They are connected together with Mellanox MT26428 ConnectX IB 4x QDR.
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is a supercomputer that offers an aggregate performance of over 4x QDR (40 Gflops) of 600 of Acer AR585. They are connected together with QLogic InfiniBand in 4x QDR(40 Gb/sec) and the bandwidth throughput of this system achieves 51.8 Tbps. In logic point of view, the system comprises eight computing clusters, which consists of four of AMD Opteron 6174 inside 12 cores running at 2.2 GHz, that is, 48 cores a node sharing 128 GB RAM in four memory-controller non-uniform memory access architecture, and a large memory cluster that includes four of AMD 6136, which comprises eight core running at 2.2 GHz, that is, 32 cores a node sharing 256GB RAM. There are 25,600 computing cores of AMD Opteron 6100 in this system and the maximal Linpack achieves at 177 TFlops and at #42 places in TOP500 list in June 2011[8].

B. The NCHC ALPS Cluster

The NCHC ALPS is short for “Advanced Large-scale Parallel Supercluster” (also known as “御風者” in Chinese). It is a supercomputer that offers an aggregate performance of over 177 TFlops. The system uses the AMD Opteron 6100 processors, and has a total of 8 compute clusters, a large memory cluster, and over 25,600 compute cores.

The ALPS Cluster is designed to support a wide range of applications - so many that NCHC could only begin to fathom the jobs that could potentially be run across it. APLS is also designed to function as a test bed for new application design and research; support for open source and academic-specific applications is a must. To offer the most robust level of support, the system was first planned with every angle in mind - not simply raw compute performance, and not necessarily best performance per watt.

The hardware of computing nodes on NCHC ALPS consists of 600 of Acer AR585. They are connected together with Qlogic InfiniBand in 4x QDR(40Gb/sec) and the bandwidth throughput of this system achieves 51.8 Tbps. In logic point of view, the system comprises eight computing clusters, which consists of four of AMD Opteron 6174 inside 12 cores running at 2.2 GHz, that is, 48 cores a node sharing 128 GB RAM in four memory-controller non-uniform memory access architecture, and a large memory cluster that includes four of AMD 6136, which comprises eight core running at 2.2 GHz, that is, 32 cores a node sharing 256GB RAM. There are 25,600 computing cores of AMD Opteron 6100 in this system and the maximal Linpack achieves at 177 TFlops and at #42 places in TOP500 list in June 2011[8].

C. The NCHC Formosa IV

The Formosa IV is the NCHC’s self-made high-performance computing cluster system. The Formosa IV GPU architecture would be improving specific applications performance different the ALPS service general-purpose applications.

The Formosa IV consists two of INTEL X5670 inside six cores running at 2.93GHz, that is, 12 cores a node sharing 48 GB RAM. Each node inside three the NVIDIA M2070 GPU, that have 515.2Gflops performance in a GPU device. The Formosa IV connected together with a Voltaire InfiniBand in 4x QDR (40Gb/s).

It was offers an aggregate performance of over 70.4Tflops that #234 in TOP500 lists in Nov. 2011[8].

III. SOFTWARE DESCRIPTIONS

A. BLASBench

BLASBench[4] is designed to evaluate the performance of Basic Linear Algebra Subroutines (BLAS). The BLAS is standard programming interface for publishing libraries to perform basic linear algebra operations such as vector and matrix multiplication. The HPL Benchmark[7] was adapted to build upon this DGEMM. HPL employs the LU decomposition to solve a dense N x N system of linear equation in a floating point workload of 2N3 + 2N2. HPL utilizes LU factorization with row partial pivoting to solve a dense linear system while using a two-dimensional block-cyclic data distribution for load balance and scalability. In this paper, we compare DGEMM performance on different machines.

B. CacheBench

Cache is a buffer between the processor and memory. The system cache is designed to achieve fast response of the system performance. As we know size of cache, bandwidth from memory, amount of memory affect performance of HPL benchmark. The CacheBench[4] is designed to evaluate the performance of the memory hierarchy of computer systems. CacheBench specific focus is to performance of possibly multiple levels of cache. It purposes to establish peak computation rate given optimal cache reuse and to verify the effectiveness of high levels of compiler optimization. In this paper, we compare six tests are as follows:

- Cache Read is measure bandwidth of read for varying vector lengths.
- Cache Write is measure bandwidth of write for varying vector lengths.
- Cache Read/Modify/Write is generates read, modify and write bandwidth for varying vector lengths.
- Memset from the C library - provides performance of the C memset() function.
- Memcpy from the C library - provides performance of the C memcpy() function.

IV. PERFORMANCE RESULTS

In this paper, we are using some of benchmark software to evaluate system performance. The DGEMM is a subroutine in the Basic Linear Algebra Subprograms (BLAS) which performs matrix multiplication that is the multiplication for double precision. We adopt Open64 and AMD ACML for NCHC ALPS. The SUN GPU and Formosa IV used INTEL compiler and INTEL MKL library for measure performance.

The cache size and theoretical peak performance is shows in Table I. Fig. 1 shows DGEMM results in Mflops that presents the SUN GPU and the Formosa IV performance are unstable before dimension 295, because that illustratea fluctuation in small computation. In this result, we obtained the APLS, SUN GPU and Formosa IV DGEMM efficiency has percentage of 93.26, 96.5 and 95.83 respectively.
The cache read is implemented to measure bandwidth for different vector size, as show in fig.2. In this result, read bandwidth is descending on length of 32KB and 256KB in the Formosa IV and SUN GPU Cluster both. Besides, read performance raise on vector size 64KB but declining on 256KB in the ALPS Cluster.

Cache write presented performance for write bandwidth performance descending on vector length of 24KB and 256KB in the Formosa IV and SUN GPU Cluster both, as show in fig. 3. Moreover, we gained unsatisfactory results from read and write test in the ALPS Cluster. Because it architecture is diskless operating system that means load data from remote storage and process data in ram disk.

Cache read-write-modify benchmark is created to measure bandwidth for different vector lengths, as show in fig. 4. In this result, it shows performance declining on vector size 4KB, 32KB and 256KB in the Formosa IV and SUN GPU Cluster both. The ALPS cluster bandwidth performance is descend on 64KB and 512KB.

Fig. 5 presents copy areas of memory by using C function memcp. In this result, the Formosa IV and SUN GPU Cluster memcp performance decline on vector size 12KB and 128KB respectively. Nervely, it affects performance by diskless operating system in the ALPS. The C library provides function memset to initialize regions of memory show in fig. 6. In this result, the Formosa IV and SUN GPU Cluster is declining on vector size 24KB and 128KB and then 64KB and 384KB in the ALPS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cache Size and Peak Performance of Different Clusters</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cache Size</td>
<td>Performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALPS</td>
<td>64K,512K,12MB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUN GPU</td>
<td>32K,256K,8MB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formosa IV</td>
<td>32K, 256K, 12MB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1 Performance of Matrix-matrix Multiplication

Fig. 2 Cache Performance of read at (a) SUN GPU cluster and Formosa IV (b) ALPS cluster

Fig. 3 Cache Performance of write at (a) SUN GPU cluster and Formosa IV (b) ALPS cluster.
V. CONCLUSION

This paper presents our experience in benchmarking and performance evaluation of the NCHC HPC clusters, which can be helpful for those interested for high-performance scientific and engineering computing. Most supercomputer used HPL to benchmark their system performance, in accordance with the requirement of the TOP500 List. There are various reasons effective system performance results in benchmarking. Such as optimization of operating system, interconnect latency, compiler options, version of the compiler, size of cache, bandwidth from memory, amount of memory and etc. can affect the performance even when the processors are the same.

Although the ALPS HPC cluster has bigger cache size, but as result diskless operating system could be affect performance. On the other hand, L3 cache seems can’t be achieved, because t bandwidth performance descending on 4MB in the SUN GPU Cluster and declining on 8MB in Formosa IV. In this paper shows memory access experiment result in NCHC HPC Clusters. We hope these works will provide useful information for future development and construct cluster system.
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