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I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years automated data analysis techniques have drawn great attention and are used in almost every field of research including biomedical. Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs) are one of the Computer-Aided-Diagnosis tools which are used extensively by advances in computer hardware technology [1]. The application of these techniques for disease diagnosis has made great progress and is widely used by physicians. An Electrocardiogram carries vital information about heart activity and physicians use this signal for cardiac disease diagnosis which was the great motivation towards our study. In our work, tachycardia features obtained are used for the training and testing of a Neural Network.

The electrocardiogram (ECG) inherently carries important information on functionality of the heart. This signal provides a physician with crucial information on a patient's heart function and can be used for the diagnosis and prognosis of heart disease. It is one of the most common signals used in diagnosis because of its non-invasive nature and the valuable information it contains. Its analysis can be used to judge the pathophysiological condition of the heart. Several systems have been developed for ECG recording and analysis [2].

Early ECG systems were just recording the signal by printing it. New systems use computer technology to provide automated diagnosis. The latter is a large research field and many methods and approaches have been proposed and implemented for the detection of ischemia, arrhythmia detection and classification, and diagnosis of chronic myocardial diseases. Those methods usually include processing of the signal and removing noise and artifacts, extracting certain key features related to diseases, and analyzing the features to make the final decision. The analysis is usually done by using signal processing, artificial neural networks, and fuzzy logic concepts along with the clinical symptoms provided by medical experts. The performance of those systems is evaluated using standard databases. The ECG record on the skin in one direction, where, generated electrical vectors by the heart are changing and moving in three dimensional space, will not give enough information. That is why basically ECG has 12 vectors recording signals in 12 dimensional space where 6 of them are in the horizontal plane and the other 6 in the frontal plane and they are all called ECG leads. These leads record signal by placing electrodes on the patient's upper and lower limbs and chest. A physician analyses the ECG by having prior knowledge on the space angle of each lead and looking at the plotted signal of the leads. While to classify arrhythmia signals we need only short part of signal in time measure for reliable detect of tachy signals we must use the vital features of signals and correlation between them. It is also useful to use the clinical information to accurate detection. Tachy arrhythmia have higher risk than other cardiovascular arrhythmias.

II. DATA

Performance evaluation was carried out using the MGH/MF tachyarrhythmias database which be a collection of electronic recordings of hemodynamic and electrocardiographic waveforms of stable and unstable patients in critical care units, operating rooms, and cardiac catheterization laboratories. This database annotated with heart rate, sampling frequency and other useful information needed. This database was developed at Massachusetts General Hospital/Marquette Foundation. We also used CU Ventricular Tachyarrhythmia Database, MIT-
BIH Normal Sinus Rhythm databases to evaluate and compare with similar methods [3],[4].

III. METHODS

In this study we are using Fuzzy Probabilistic Neural Networks as an automatic technique for ECG signal analysis. As every real signal recorded by the equipment can have different artifacts, we need to do some preprocessing steps before feeding it to our system. Wavelet transform is used for extracting the morphological parameters of the ECG signal. We have developed an automatic tachys detection method which is based on the number of P and QRS interval patterns per a minute as a string and have calculated the correlation between them. We had an approach in our investigation, which uses the whole signal with 60 sec data chunks which are normalized and de-noised signals as input to the wavelet and get the fuzzy rules from it as input to ANN.

Each data can belong in more than one cluster of diseases, hence Neural Network is not able to diagnose the uncertainty on ECG's data and classifies them in quanta forms which is far from the nature of our data, we used fuzzy algorithm which is consisted of more trustable inputs to neural networks.

The block diagram of the proposed method for ECG beat classification is depicted in Fig. 1. The method is divided into three steps: (1) preprocessing (2) calculation of feature vector and (3) classification by ANFIS.

One of the more important steps in ECG signals processing is denoising. Voltage sensors are placed on the skin in the vicinity of the heart. The signal measurement and the initial signal processing with the instrument. The presence of noise in all electrical elements causes the Instrumentation noise. The electrode probes, cables and amplifier are the primary elements for ECG. These three elements and any other element like Analog-to-Digital converter and Signal processor contain white Gaussian noises themselves. These noises in the ECG signal are to be removed for the automatic disease diagnosis. In most of the signal processing cases, de-noising is one of the important and required steps for proper signal processing. Here we used Wavelet transform for de-noising and the first order coiflet from MATLAB toolbox is used for this transformation.

IV. CLASSIFICATION

We have used Fuzzy Probabilistic Neural Networks (PNN) among the intelligent algorithms for classification of input data. Because of uncertainties we use fuzzy logic to better analyze input data. We defined if-then rules to calculate fuzziness [11]. The PNN algorithm learns the prediction of Probability Density Function (PDF). It's architecture consist of four layers, shown in Fig. 3.
The first layer is the input layer and fully connected to the next layer. Input vector $X = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is applied to $n$ neurons of input layer. The second layer in which the input vectors are stored, is called the pattern layer. In the second layer including $nK$ neurons, the distance between the input vector and each one of $K$ training examples is calculated; The third layer is the summation layer, which has $K$ elements. Each element in this layer combines via processing elements in the pattern layer through the following estimator:

$$S_k(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{n/2}N_k} \exp\left(-\frac{||x - X_{k,i}||^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

where $\sigma$, known as the spread or smoothing parameter is the deviation of the Gaussian function and $X_{k,i} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is the center of the kernel. Finally, the output layer select the neuron in the summation layer with the maximum output[12].

$$C(X) = \arg\max_{1 \leq k \leq K} (S_k).$$

to select stable version of algorithm we divide dataset into five subdata and at each step one of them used for train and other four subdata used to test the system performance. At last average accuracy for five iteration used as system performance[13].

### V. RESULTS

The outcome of the approach for the variety of arrhythmias, shows the represented approach is superior than prior presented algorithms with an average accuracy of about %95 for more than 7 tachy arrhythmias which consist of Sinus Tachycardia, Atrial Tachycardia, Atrial Tachycardia with block, Atrial Fibrillation, Atrial Flutter, Ventricular Tachycardia, Ventricular Fibrillation. We have developed an automated system that works with the Probabilistic Neural Network algorithm and gives a promising result; which we evaluated based on Accuracy which are defined as the following[14]:

$$Accuracy = \frac{TN + TP}{TN + TP + FN + FP}$$

### VI. CONCLUSION

This is a novel method for characterizing tachycardia in the ECG using and comparing fuzzy rules clustering and statistical algorithms adventures. We have studied several tachy arrhythmias with high detection accuracy. In the literature, previous attempts are made on 3 types of tachy arrhythmias. The result of the F-PNN shows that its performance for fuzzified inputs has the best performance. Also it was noticed that the proper selection of training and testing data sets are of great importance and all the beats of each arrhythmia should not be selected from a single file in the database. Hence the Introduced algorithm is simple enough with more accuracy and running time, it can be used as an application software to construct ICD systems.
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**TABLE I**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
<th>Number of Disease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ANFIS[15]</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNN[16]</td>
<td>85.30%</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bayesian[17]</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>