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Abstract—A new approach for the improvement of coding gain in channel coding using Advanced Encryption Standard (AES) and Maximum A Posteriori (MAP) algorithm is proposed. This new approach uses the avalanche effect of block cipher algorithm AES and soft output values of MAP decoding algorithm. The performance of proposed approach is evaluated in the presence of Additive White Gaussian Noise (AWGN). For the verification of proposed approach, computer simulation results are included.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The need to minimize the effect of noise in our increasingly digital world on the entire digital communication system (i.e. transmission from source to destination) is becomingly increasingly pertinent. This effect can be mitigated by the use of error control coding [1]; the addition of redundancy that is utilized to correct or detect errors but to the extent delineated by the theoretical limit known as Shannon limit. Cryptography on the other hand is primarily used for secure communications. The main goals of modern cryptography are normally considered as data confidentiality, data authentication (data integrity and data origin authentication), user authentication and non-repudiation.

In this paper, cryptography is used to improve channel decoding and a new method is presented by using the avalanche effect [2] of block cipher algorithms and soft output of Maximum A Posteriori (MAP) decoding algorithm. The MAP [3] decoder initially received very little attention because of its increased complexity over alternative decoders. The reason was its minimal advantage in bit-error rate performance over other decoding algorithms. In the last few years, MAP decoder has enjoyed a new and greatly increased attention as an iterative soft-output decoder for turbo codes [4]. Other soft output algorithms have also been proposed notably Soft Output Viterbi Algorithm (SOVA) [5]. In [6], [7], it was shown that MAP can also be used for soft input decryption.

Fig. 1 shows block diagram of a typical communication system. The channel decoding using this type of arrangement is usually referred to as hard decision decoding.

Fig. 1 Block diagram of a digital communication system

A more efficient decoding approach is to combine the demodulation and decoding functions, i.e. to pass the output of the channel directly to the decoder. In this scheme, called soft decision decoding, the decoder has access to more information about the transmitted data and, therefore better performance is achieved [8]. Soft decision decoding is used in the new proposed method, so the input of channel decoder is soft values.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section II, a digital error correction system based on convolutional encoder, binary phase shift keying (BPSK), Additive White Gaussian Noise (AWGN), and Maximum A Posteriori (MAP) algorithm is presented. In Section III, Advanced Encryption Standard (AES) is used to improve the bit-error rate performance of the digital system described in Section II and a new method is presented for this purpose. In Section IV, simulation results are presented and discussed. The paper ends with a brief conclusion in Section V.

II. ERROR CORRECTION USING MAXIMUM A POSTERIORI (MAP) ALGORITHM

Fig. 2 shows a digital error correction system with Modulator (BPSK), Convolutional encoder, Demodulator and MAP decoder in the presence of Additive White Gaussian Noise (AWGN). In this chapter, an error correction system is presented using MAP decoding. The simulation results will be shown in Section IV considering a non-systematic (2, 1, 3) convolutional encoder and a non-systematic (4, 1, 3) convolutional encoder [1]. These two encoders were selected because they have the same coding gain and the similar structure, which enables fair comparison of decoding results. The convolutional encoder accepts data consisting of a block of 128 bits. The bit 0 is mapped into the signal “+1”, while the signal “-1” is sent for a binary value 1 [9].
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In error control coding, $k$ input bits yield $n$ output bits, where $n > k$. If $E_b$ is energy per bit of the uncoded bits, $E_c$ energy per bit of the coded bits, $\gamma = E_b / N_0$ the desired signal to noise ratio and $R$ a rate of the convolutional encoder. Then AWGN has variance $\sigma^2 = E_c / 2R\gamma$ and zero mean value [10].

B. MAP Decoder

In order to decode the data received from the channel, MAP decoder [10] is used. The object of MAP decoder is to calculate the probability information or “soft output”. These soft output values are also known as L-values or reliability values which are used in the next chapter for improving the bit-error rate performance in combination with cryptography. The sign of L-value is the hard decision of the transmitted data and the magnitude of L-value is the reliability of this decision.
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to correct all errors, then the output ‘b’ will have about 50% errors. The output ‘a’ in upper branch will have significantly smaller number of errors as compared to the output ‘b’. Therefore decision block will always compare output ‘a’ and output ‘b’ to check if this difference is above a certain value. This value depends upon the signal to noise ratio and is named threshold. The decision block calculates a value which is called BER_compare for each iteration. It is calculated as a difference between BER of the output ‘a’ and BER of the output ‘b’. If BER_compare for each iteration is higher than the threshold, then the output ‘b’ in lower branch has about 50% errors. In this case SID [6], [7] is used for achieving error free output ‘b’ (if SID is successful). If BER_compare is lower than the threshold, then the output ‘b’ is error free; the decision block will select the output ‘b’.

E. Soft Input Decryption (SID)

The soft input decryption [6], [7] is a technique which is able to correct all errors occurring after decryption in lower branch. It uses soft output values of the channel decoder. The SID corrects all errors (if it is successful) in lower branch by taking the upper branch as reference. As the magnitude of L-value gives the reliability of the decision, it can be used to correct all erroneous bits in lower branch. First of all, absolute L-values of MAP decoder in lower branch are taken and arranged in ascending order because the L-value having lowest magnitude has more probability of being incorrect. Then first eight values are selected for error correction. The selection of eight values means SID will have 256 attempts for error correction. In each attempt, a bit or a combination of bits is flipped (0 to 1 or 1 to 0) and then decryption is performed. For each attempt BER_compare is calculated and compared with the threshold until it becomes less than the threshold. When BER_compare is less than the threshold, the errors at output ‘b’ in lower branch are totally corrected. The decision block will then select the output ‘b’ because it is error free. It can also happen that within 256 attempts, SID is not successful. In that case second block comes into operation.

F. Error Correction Improvement Considering Second Block

If soft input decryption is not able to correct errors in the first block, the second block attempts to achieve it. In the case of second block, upper branch is encrypted after MAP decoder, so avalanche effect will be present in upper branch at output ‘c’. The decision block will therefore treat output ‘c’ exactly like output ‘b’ and output ‘d’ exactly like output ‘a’. The error correction can be done in the same way as it was performed for the first block. Instead of SID, second block performs soft input encryption. If BER_compare is higher than threshold, the lowest L-values will be flipped at the input of encryption block until all errors are corrected (if soft input encryption is successful).

IV. SIMULATION RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

It is explained in the previous chapter that improvement in error correction can be achieved using soft input decryption which depends on the threshold. Therefore a curve is simulated in Matlab as shown in Fig. 4. It can be seen from this curve that threshold depends upon $E_b / N_0$. The curve shows that threshold decreases with the increase of $E_b / N_0$. The reason is that with the increase of $E_b / N_0$, the channel introduces lesser errors.

![Threshold versus $E_b / N_0$ for error correction system using cryptography](image)

The error correction system described in Section II and error correction system using the new technique explained in Section III are simulated using Matlab. The signal to noise ratio is varied from 1dB to 5dB. The proposed system shown in Fig. 3 has an overall rate of 1/4 because both of the convolutional encoders used in this system are of rate 1/2. This system is then compared with an error correction system shown in Fig. 2 having a convolutional encoder of rate 1/2 and a convolutional encoder of rate 1/4. This comparison is shown in Fig. 4.

![BER versus $E_b / N_0$ for error correction systems with and without cryptography](image)

a) Error correction system using rate 1/2 convolutional encoder for the system shown in Fig. 2.

b) Error correction system with improvement using two 1/2 rate convolutional encoders shown in Fig. 3.
c) Error correction system using a rate 1/4 convolutional encoder for the system shown in Fig. 2.

Fig. 5 shows that the error correction system using cryptography exhibits considerable coding gain of 1.5 dB and 1.2 dB over error correction system without cryptography. The reference points for these coding gains are at bit error rates of $10^{-8}$ and $10^{-6}$ respectively. Furthermore, it can be observed that the proposed system achieves better performance at about 3 dB and higher values of $E_b / N_0$.

V. CONCLUSION

A new decoding structure is purposed in this paper which uses cryptographic algorithm Advanced Encryption Standard (AES) for error correction purpose. The simulation results show that better performance in channel coding can be achieved using soft output of channel decoders and avalanche effect of block ciphers at about 3dB or higher values of signal to noise ratio. This improvement is done using eight soft output values of MAP decoder. The coding gain can be improved further if the number of soft output values for error correction is more than eight bits.
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