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Abstract—This paper is a survey of current component-based software technologies and the description of promotion and inhibition factors in CBSE. The features that software components inherit are also discussed. Quality Assurance issues in component-based software are also catered to. The feat research on the quality model of component based system starts with the study of what the components are, CBSE, its development life cycle and the pros & cons of CBSE. Various attributes are studied and compared keeping in view the study of various existing models for general systems and CBSE. When illustrating the quality of a software component an apt set of quality attributes for the description of the system (or components) should be selected. Finally, the research issues that can be extended are tabularized.
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I. INTRODUCTION

COMPONENT-based software development approach is based on the idea to develop software systems by selecting appropriate off-the-shelf components and then to assemble them with a well-defined software architecture. Because the new software development paradigm is very different from the traditional approach, quality assurance (QA) for component-based software development is a new topic in the software engineering community [2].

The purpose of CBSD is to develop large systems, incorporating previously developed or existing components, thus cutting down on development time and costs. It can also be used to reduce maintenance associated with the upgrading of large systems. It is assumed that common parts (be it classes or functions) in a software application only need to be written once and re-used rather than being re-written every time a new application is developed.

II. COMPONENT BASED SOFTWARE ENGINEERING

CBSE embodies the “the ‘buy, don’t build’ philosophy”. CBSE is aiming at realizing long-waited software reuse by changing both software architecture and software process. Because of the extensive uses of components, the Component-Based Software Engineering (CBSE) process is quite different from that of the traditional waterfall approach. CBSE not only requires focus on system specification and development, but also requires additional consideration for overall system context, individual components properties and component acquisition and integration process. This work presents an indicative literature survey of techniques proposed for different phases of the CBD life cycle. The aim of this survey is to help provide a better understanding of different CBD techniques for each of these areas [3].

The CBSE generally embodies the following fundamental software development principles:

A. Independent Software Development

Large software systems are necessarily assembled from components developed by different people. To facilitate independent development, it is essential to decouple developers and users of components through abstract and implementation-neutral interface specifications of behavior for components.

B. Reusability

While some parts of a large system will necessarily be special-purpose software, it is essential to design and assemble pre-existing components (within or across domains) in developing new components.

C. Software quality

A component or system needs to be shown to have desired behavior, either through logical reasoning, tracing, and/or testing. The quality assurance approach must be modular to be scalable.

D. Maintainability

A software system should be understandable, and easy to evolve [6],[7].

III. COMPONENT

In defining a software component, this definition can be quoted:

“...
composed without modification according to a composition standard” [4]. CBSE is about creating a software package in such a manner as to be able to easily reuse its constituent components in other similar or dissimilar applications. It includes writing high level code that glues together pieces of pre-built functionalities or software building blocks called components. Component is one of the parts of the system that make up a system. It may be hardware, software or firmware and may be sub divided into other components [5].

The following figure (Fig. 1) illustrates the architecture when applying this approach. The Connection between components A and B is implemented is through the Connector C. The function of the additional modules, called wrappers is to adjust the component and this way it will better match to the requirements of the rest of the system [11],[12].

IV. ABSTRACT SOFTWARE COMPONENT MODEL

This abstract model should be perceived as a reference framework for all current models with general definitions and not seen to be specific to any programming language or type of software. Many component-based software applications use such models with the following associated terms and attributes:

A. Syntax

It refers to the ‘grammar’ or the rules followed in the code as per the specific programming language. In a component model, the language used for the component is specified and the syntax in accordance to that is followed. In most software component models (SCM), the component is defined as a class of function.

B. Semantics

It refers to the actual meaning and view of the components. A component is associated with a name, an interface and the body that includes the code.

i) The name of the component describes the use of component with some kind of naming convention. This makes it easier for developers working on large projects to identify existing components in databases, search engines and in the market place.

ii) The interface is the visible area through which information flows to (the input) and from (the output) the component. This information or data is required for the component to perform its operations and is accessible from outside. Usually, the input consists of input values and parameters. This interface requires documentation that contains all the necessary information to use the component.

This documentation also specifies the dependencies to match the required and provided services.

iii) The body or code implements the services that are required of the component and provides the output. This area is generally visible or accessible to the developer from outside.

C. Composition

This relates to the construction and working together of components. Components are subparts of a larger system. To make it simpler, they can be seen as the building blocks, these blocks all assembled, would complete the system. So, the Software Component model contains a language to compose the system with suitable syntax and semantics to connect with the components. In many cases, with high end programming languages, there are used classifiers or connectors provided in the environment to handle this interaction. The Fig. 2 below shows some examples of component models available to be used.

V. LIFE CYCLE

The term component-based software development (CBD) can be referred to as the process for building a system using components. CBD life cycle consists of a set of phases, namely, identifying and selecting components based on stakeholder requirements, integrating and assembling the selected components and updating the system as components evolve over time with newer versions [3].

According to [13], software architecture has four levels of abstraction:

• Internal functionality of components
• Interfaces, exported by a component to the rest of the system
• Interconnection of the architectural elements (components, connectors and Wrappers) in architecture
• Rules for the architectural styles

Component-based software systems are developed by selecting various components and assembling them together rather than programming an overall system from scratch, thus the life cycle of component-based software systems is different from that of the traditional software systems. The life cycle of component-based software systems can be summarized as follows [17, 18]:

• Requirements analysis
Software architecture selection, construction, analysis, and evaluation;
Component identification and customization
System integration
System testing
Software maintenance.

VI. ROLES IN CBSE

The followings figure illustrates the percentage amount of roles played by different persons currently and in the next two years in CBSE (see Fig. 3).

VII. COMPONENT BASED SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT (CBSD)

Component-based software development approach is based on the idea to develop software systems by selecting appropriate off-the-shelf components and then to assemble them with a well-defined software architecture. The term component-based software development (CBD) can be referred to as the process for building a system using components [3].

This approach is based on the idea that software systems can be developed by selecting appropriate off-the-shelf components and then assembling them with a well-defined software architecture [17,18]. This new software development approach is very different from the traditional approach in which software systems can only be implemented from scratch. These commercial off-the-shelf (COTS) components can be developed by different developers using different languages and different platforms. This can be shown in Fig. 4, where COTS components can be checked out from a component repository, and assembled into a target software system.

VIII. COMPONENT SPECIFICATION EXAMPLE

The following example as mentioned in [7],[8] shows the specification of a List type in RESOLVE notation (reproduced from [8]). List_Template is a generic concept (specification template) which is parameterized by the type of entries to be contained in lists. To provide abstract mathematical explanations of the operations, an object of type List is modeled by an ordered pair of mathematical strings of entries. A string is similar to, but simpler than, a “sequence” because it does not explicitly include the notion of a position. The operator “*” denotes string concatenation; “<x>” denotes the string containing the entry x; and “|s|” denotes the length of s. Conceptualizing a List object as a pair of strings makes it easy to explain insertion and removal from the “middle”. A sample value of a List of Integers object, for example, is the ordered pair (⟨3,4,5⟩,⟨4,1⟩). Insertions and removals can be explained as taking place between the two strings, e.g., at the left end of the right string. The declaration of type List introduces the mathematical model and says that an object of type List initially (i.e., upon declaration) is “empty”: both its left and right strings are empty strings. Each operation is specified by a requires clause (precondition), which is an obligation for the caller; and an ensures clause (postcondition), which is a guarantee from a correct implementation. In the postcondition of Insert, for example, #s and #x denote the incoming values of s and x, respectively, and s and x denote the outgoing values. Insert has no requirement, and it ensures that the incoming value of x is concatenated onto the left end of the right string of the incoming value of s; the left string is not affected. Notice that the postcondition describes how the operation updates the value of s, but the return value of x (which has the mode alters) remains unspecified.

Given this specification, students act as clients and use lists in problem solving within the first few weeks of their second quarter/second semester course. They use a specification-based “natural” or forward reasoning method to reason about correctness [8]. Only later they learn how to implement lists using pointer structures. In addition to classical examples such as Lists, students also see data abstractions that result from recasting classical algorithms as objects [9], and aspects of specification-based interface violation testing using wrapper components [10]. RESOLVE specifications use a combination of standard mathematical models such as integers, sets, functions, and relations, in addition to tuples and strings. The explicit introduction of mathematical models allows use of standard notations associated with those models in explaining the operations. Our experience is that this notation—which is precise and formal—is nonetheless fairly easy to learn to understand even for beginning computer science students, because they have seen most of it before in high school and earlier.

Concept List Template (type Entry)
Type List is modeled by
(left: string of Entry,
right: string of Entry)
exemplar s
initialization ensures
\[ |s.\text{left}| = 0 \text{ and } |s.\text{right}| = 0 \]

Operation Insert ( alters \( x: \) Entry
updates \( s: \) List )
ensures \( s.\text{left} = \#s.\text{left} \text{ and } s.\text{right} = <x> \times \#s.\text{right} \)

Operation Remove ( replaces \( x: \) Entry
updates \( s: \) List )
requires \( |s.\text{right}| > 0 \)
ensures \( s.\text{left} = \#s.\text{left} \text{ and } s.\text{right} = <x> \times s.\text{right} \)

Operation Advance ( updates \( s: \) List )
requires \( |s.\text{right}| > 0 \)
ensures \( s.\text{left} \times s.\text{right} = \#s.\text{left} \times \#s.\text{right} \text{ and } |s.\text{left}| = |\#s.\text{left}| + 1 \)

Operation Reset ( updates \( s: \) List )
ensures \( |s.\text{left}| = 0 \text{ and } s.\text{right} = \#s.\text{left} \times \#s.\text{right} \)

Operation Advance To End ( updates \( s: \) List )
ensures \( |s.\text{right}| = 0 \text{ and } s.\text{left} = \#s.\text{left} \times \#s.\text{right} \)

Operation Left Length ( restores \( s: \) List )
ensures \( \text{Left Length} = |s.\text{left}| \)

Operation Right Length ( restores \( s: \) List )
ensures \( \text{Right Length} = |s.\text{right}| \)

IX. BENEFITS IN CBSD

The obvious benefit in SR components is the “time-to-
market”, thus lowering the cost of developing the software.
Shorter development cycles would save time as to developing
a system from scratch. Developing software systems using
CBSE offers many advantages e.g. Development costs are
reduced since existing components are used to develop the
systems. Reliability is increased since the components have
previously been tested in various contexts Time to market is
reduced since the components used already exist. Maintenance
costs are reduced. Efficiency and flexibility is improved due
to the fact that components can easier be added or replaced.
The figure below illustrates the major goals and advantages.
The main tangible benefits are shorter development life cycle
and reduction in IT cost. There are also some intangible
benefits like IT adaptability, improved business processes,
benefit from external products and many more as shown in
Fig. 5.

X. DIFFICULTIES IN CBSD

The factors that inhibit the use of components can be
followed as shown in the two figures: Fig. 6 and 7 below. The
second graph lists the difficulties from managerial and
Technical Perspectives.

XI. METRIC USED IN CBSD

The area of software measurement is one of the areas in
software engineering where researchers are active from a long
time. The area of software measurement is also known as
software metrics.
According to IEEE [IEEE 93], "A software metric is a quantitative measure of the degree to which a system, component or process possess a given attribute." [14]

Software metrics are intended to measure the software quality and performance characteristics quantitatively encountered during the planning and execution of software development resource and effort allocation, scheduling and product evaluation. These can serve as measures of software products for the purpose of comparison, cost estimation, fault prediction and forecasting. Poulin [15] presents a set of metrics used by IBM to estimate the efforts saved by reuse. The study suggests the potential benefits against the expenditures of time and resources required to identify and integrate reusable software into a product. Study assumes the cost as the set of data elements like Shipped Source Instructions (SSI), Changed Source Instructions (CSI), Reused source Instructions (RSI) etc. Reuse Percentage measures how much of the product can be attributed to reuse and is given as:-

\[
\text{Product Reuse Percentage} = \left( \frac{\text{RSI}}{\text{RSI} + \text{SSI}} \right) \times 100\%
\]

Cho et al [16] proposes a set of metrics for measuring various aspects of software components like complexity, customizability and reusability. The work considers two approaches to measure the reusability of a component. The first is a metric that measures how a component has reusability and may be used at design phase in a component development process. This metric, Component Reusability (CR) is calculated by dividing sum of interface methods providing commonality functions in a domain to the sum of total interface methods. The second approach is a metric called Component Reusability level (CRL) to measure particular component's reuse level per application in a component based software development. This metric is again divided into two sub-metrics. First is CRLLOC, which is measured by using lines of code, and is expressed as percentage as given as:-

\[
\text{CRL LOC (C)} = \left( \frac{\text{Reuse (C)}}{\text{Size (C)}} \right) \times 100\%
\]

XII. CURRENT COMPONENT TECHNOLOGIES

Comparison among current component technologies can be found in [17]-[23]. Here is simply a summarization of their different features in Table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Development environment</th>
<th>CORBA</th>
<th>EJB</th>
<th>COBIS/COM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Binary interfacing standard</td>
<td>Not binary scalable</td>
<td>Based on GML</td>
<td>Java specific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compatibilty &amp; portability</td>
<td>Partially strong in cross-developing language bindings, but not so portable in portability</td>
<td>Portable by Java language specifications, but not very compatible</td>
<td>Not having any concept of cross-level standard of standard language bindings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modification &amp; maintenance</td>
<td>CORBA DII for defining component interfaces, need extra modifications &amp; maintenance</td>
<td>Not involving DII files, defining interfaces between component and container</td>
<td>Ease modification &amp; maintenance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services provided</td>
<td>A full set of standardized services, lack of implementations</td>
<td>Neither standardized nor implemented</td>
<td>Recently supplemented by a number of key services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platform dependency</td>
<td>Platform independent</td>
<td>Platform independent</td>
<td>Platform dependent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language dependency</td>
<td>Language independent</td>
<td>Language dependent</td>
<td>Language independent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementation</td>
<td>Strongest for traditional enterprise computing</td>
<td>Strongest on general Web clients</td>
<td>Strongest on the traditional desktop applications</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 8 Comparison of current component technologies

XIII. APPLICATIONS

This emerging component development approach is being widely used in various distinct domains. As it can be observed from the bar graph shown (see Fig. 9), the approach is vividly applied in the field of software development /CASE.

![Fig. 9 Representative Domains](image)

XIV. CONCLUSION

CBSD is an inevitable next wave solution that has potential to improve time-to-market and man power/cost trends that have been ongoing. CBSD is best implemented using more modern software technologies like:

- COM
- JAVA
- EJB
- CORBA
- ActiveX

There is little that would prevent virtually any technology from implementing CBSD so long as component conforms to the basic requirements of its definition [5].

XV. SCOPE AND PROPOSED WORK IN CBSD

The above discussion leads to the following topics that can be worked upon in future:

1. Generation and adaptation of component-based systems
2. Components and model-driven development
3. Specification, verification, testing and checking of component systems
4. Compositional reasoning techniques for component models
5. Measurement and prediction models for component assemblies
6. Patterns and frameworks for component-based systems
7. Extra-functional system properties of components and component-based systems
8. Static and execution-based measurement of system properties
9. Assurance and certification of components and component-based systems
10. Components for service-oriented architectures, web services and grid systems

Fig. 9 Representative Domains
11) Development environments and tools for building component-based systems
12) Components for real-time, secure, safety critical and/or embedded systems
13) Case studies and experience reports
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