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Abstract—A complex valued neural network is a neural network which consists of complex valued input and/or weights and/or thresholds and/or activation functions. Complex-valued neural networks have been widening the scope of applications not only in electronics and informatics, but also in social systems. One of the most important applications of the complex valued neural network is in signal processing. In Neural networks, generalized mean neuron model (GMN) is often discussed and studied. The GMN includes a new aggregation function based on the concept of generalized mean of all the inputs to the neuron. This paper aims to present exhaustive results of using Generalized Mean Neuron model in a complex-valued neural network model that uses the back-propagation algorithm (called "Complex-BP") for learning. Our experiments results demonstrate the effectiveness of a Generalized Mean Neural Model in a complex plane for signal processing over a real valued neural network. We have studied and stated various observations like effect of learning rates, ranges of the initial weights randomly selected, error functions used and number of iterations for the convergence of error required on a Generalized Mean neural network model. Some inherent properties of this complex back propagation algorithm are also studied and discussed.
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I. INTRODUCTION

An Artificial Neural Network (ANN) is an information processing paradigm that is inspired by the way biological nervous systems, such as the brain, process information. The key element of this paradigm is the novel structure of the information processing system. It is composed of a large number of highly interconnected processing elements (neurons) working in unison to solve specific problems. [2]

Signal Processing is one of the many fields of work that has been significantly influenced by neural network. We have sought to take a step further the works of T.Nitta in the field of complex back propagation and of P. K. Kalra, J. John and R. N. Yadav in Generalized Mean Neural Model. The aim of our paper is to demonstrate the effectiveness of a complex valued Generalized Mean Neural Network model in signal processing. Our experiments demonstrated an increased efficiency in training phase. A complex valued neural network improves the models efficiency 1.5 times and a complex valued generalized mean neuron model improves the efficiency 1.67 times over a real valued neuron network.

Fig. 1. Constellation diagram [9]

II. PRELIMINARIES

A. Constellation Diagram in Signal processing

Quadrature Amplitude Modulation (QAM) uses many different phases known as states: 16, 32, 64, and 256. Each state is defined by a specific amplitude and phase. This means the generation and detection of symbols is more complex than a simple phase or amplitude device. Each time the number of states per symbol is increased the total data and bandwidth increases. Constellation diagrams are used to graphically represent the quality and distortion of a digital signal. In practice, there is always a combination of modulation errors that may be difficult to separate and identify, as such, it is recommended to evaluate the measured constellation diagrams using mathematical and statistically methods.

In the constellation diagram, an interferer shows in the form of a rotating pointer superimposed on each signal status. The example applies the condition that there is no other error present at the same time. The constellation diagram shows the path of the pointer as a circle around each ideal signal status.

Carrier suppression or leakage is a special type of interference in which its frequency equals the carrier frequency in the RF channel. Carrier leakage can be superimposed on the QAM signal in the I/Q modulator. In the constellation diagram, carrier leakage shows up as a shifting of the signal states corresponding to the DC components of the In-phase and Quadrate components. Additive Gaussian noise can disturb...
Y = 1/(1 + e\((-k(\sum W_{in}X_{in}))\)) ……. (1)

The graph shows the output for k = 0.5, 1, and 10, as the activation varies from -10 to 10. The weight change rule is a development of the perceptron learning rule. Weights are changed by an amount proportional to the error at that unit times the output of the unit feeding into the weight. Running the network consists of the forward pass and backward pass. In the forward pass the outputs are calculated and the error at the output units calculated. In the backward pass the output unit error is used to alter weights on the output units. The error at the hidden nodes is calculated (by back-propagating the error at the output units through the weights), and the weights on the hidden nodes are altered using these values. For each data pair to be learned a forward pass and backwards pass is performed.

This is repeated over and over again until the error is at a low enough level. [7]

C. Generalized Mean Neuron Model

This new neuron model uses a new aggregation function. The origin of this new aggregation function is the generalized mean-operator of fuzzy sets, given by Piegat. [1] In GMN model the aggregation function is generalized mean of all the inputs of neuron. The mathematical representation of this model is given as

\[ net = \left( \sum w_n(x_n) r / N \right)^{1/r} \cdots \cdots \cdots (2) \]

Where net represents the total input activity. This operator is known as Generalized Mean Operator and r is known as generalization parameter. For different values of r it represents some useful mathematical operators

\[ r \to \infty \Rightarrow net = \max (x_n) \]

\[ r = 1 \Rightarrow \text{net} = (\sum (x_n) / N) \text{ or the arithmetic mean} \]

\[ r \to 0 \Rightarrow \text{net} = (\Pi (x_n) / N) \text{ or geometric mean} \]

\[ r = -1 \Rightarrow \text{net} = (\sum (x_n) / N)^{-1} \text{ or harmonic mean} \]

\[ r \to \infty \Rightarrow \text{net} = \min (x_n) \cdots \cdots \cdots (3) \]

Generalized mean neuron model adapts various orders, i.e., for r=1 it represents first order neuron and for r=0 it represents Nth order neuron. For our model we used the first order for our generalized mean neuron model with complex inputs and outputs [8].

III. COMPLEX VALUED NEURAL NETWORK

A complex valued neural network is a neural network (of arbitrary topology) which consists of complex valued input and/or weights and/or thresholds and/or activation functions. The need for such neural networks is widespread. For instance, in electrical engineering, signals are complex valued. The processing of such signals requires the design and implementation of new complex valued neural network architectures. This subject has been gaining increasing interest and significance in recent years. One of the most important characteristics of the complex-valued neural networks is the proper treatment of complex-amplitude information, e.g., the treatment of wave-related / rotation-related phenomena such as electromagnetism, light waves, quantum waves, oscillatory phenomena even including traffic signal control, and color images processing based on adaptive signal rotation.

A. The Complex BP Algorithm

This algorithm is a complex valued version of a probabilistic-descent method. It has been proved that the learning algorithm for the complex APCM converges. This algorithm states the following. If n is a parameter representing discrete time then we can modify the complex valued parameter w as

\[ w_{n+1} = w_n + \Delta w_n \]

The graph shows the output for k = 0.5, 1, and 10, as the activation varies from -10 to 10. The weight change rule is a development of the perceptron learning rule. Weights are changed by an amount proportional to the error at that unit times the output of the unit feeding into the weight. Running the network consists of the forward pass and backward pass. In the forward pass the outputs are calculated and the error at the output units calculated. In the backward pass the output unit error is used to alter weights on the output units. The error at the hidden nodes is calculated (by back-propagating the error at the output units through the weights), and the weights on the hidden nodes are altered using these values. For each data pair to be learned a forward pass and backwards pass is performed.
where w_n denotes a complex valued parameter at time n. We can also re-write the equation as follows:

\[ \mathbb{R}[w_{n+1}] = \mathbb{R}[w_n] + \mathbb{R}[\Delta w_n], \]

\[ \mathbb{I}[w_{n+1}] = \mathbb{I}[w_n] + \mathbb{I}[\Delta w_n] \ldots \ldots (4), \]

where \( \mathbb{R}[z], \mathbb{I}[z] \) denotes the real and imaginary part of a complex number \( z \), respectively. By definition we say that the parameter \( w \) is optimal if and only if the average error \( R(w) \) is local or global minimum. [3], [4] Then the following theorem holds.

Let \( A \) be a positive definite matrix. Then by the using the update rules :

\[ \mathbb{R}[\Delta w_n] = -\varepsilon A^R r(z(w_n, x_n), y_n), \]

\[ \mathbb{I}[\Delta w_n] = -\varepsilon A^I r(z(w_n, x_n), y_n), n = 0 \ldots \]

The (complex-valued) parameter \( w \) approaches the optimum as near as desired by choosing a sufficiently small learning constant \( \varepsilon > 0 \) (\( A^R \) is a gradient operator with respect to the real part of \( w \), and \( A^I \) with respect to the imaginary part).

B. Generalization of Real Back Propagation Algorithm

The theory of Complex APCM has been applied to a multi-layer (complex valued) neural network. For complex valued back propagation model we used the following derived results.

In a complex Back Propagation model all the input signals, weights, thresholds and output signals are complex numbers. The output activity (analogous to the activity of a real BP) for a neuron \( n \) is defined as:

\[ Y_n = \sum W_{nm} X_m + V_n, \]

where \( W_{nm} \) is the (complex-valued) weight connecting neuron \( n \) and \( m \), \( X_m \) is the (complex-valued) input signal from neuron \( m \), and \( V_n \) is the (complex-valued) threshold value of neuron \( n \). To obtain the (complex-valued) output signal, the activity \( Y_n \) is converted into its real and imaginary part:

\[ Y_n = x + iy = z \]

where \( i \) denotes \( \sqrt{-1} \). Although various output functions can be considered, we used the output definition defined by \( f_C(z) = f_R(x) + i f_I(y) \)

where \( f_R(x) \) is a sigmoid function. Also \( f_C(z) \) is not holomorphic.

Consider the following variables, \( w_{ml} \) is the weight between the input layer \( m \) and the hidden node \( l \), \( v_{nm} \) is the weight between the hidden layer \( n \) and the output neuron \( m \), \( \Theta \) is the threshold of the \( m \) hidden neuron, \( \gamma \) is the threshold of the \( m \) output neuron. Let \( I_l, H_m, O_n \) denote the output values of the input neuron \( l \), the hidden neuron \( m \) and the output neuron \( n \), respectively. Let \( U_m \) and \( S_n \) denote the internal potentials of the hidden neuron \( m \) and the output neuron \( n \), respectively.

That is \( U_m = \sum w_{ml} I_l + \Theta m \), \( S_n = \sum v_{nm} H_m + \gamma n \), \( H_m = f_C(U_m) \) and \( O_n = f_C(S_n) \).

Let \( \delta_n = Tn - On \) denote the error between the actual pattern \( O_n \) and the target \( T_n \) of output neuron \( n \). The square error for the pattern \( p \) is \( E_p = \frac{1}{2} \sum (Tn - On)^2 \) where \( N \) is the number of output neurons. The learning rule for the complex-BP model described above is as follows. For a sufficiently small learning constant (learning rate) \( \varepsilon > 0 \) and a unit matrix \( A \), using Theorem stated above, it has been shown that the weights and the threshold should be modified according to the following equations:

\[ \delta v_{nm} = \hat{H}_m \delta y_n, \]

\[ \gamma_n = \varepsilon (\mathbb{R}[\delta_n](1 - \mathbb{R}[O_n]) \mathbb{R}[O_n] + i \mathbb{I}[\delta_n](1 - \mathbb{I}[O_n]) \mathbb{I}[O_n]) \]

\[ \omega_{int} = I_x \delta \theta_m \ldots \ldots (5) \]

\[ \theta_m = \varepsilon [(1 - \mathbb{R}[H_m]) \mathbb{R}[H_m] + \mathbb{I}[H_m] \mathbb{I}[H_m] \sum (\mathbb{R}[d_n](1 - \mathbb{R}[O_n]) \mathbb{R}[O_n]) + (\mathbb{I}[d_n](1 - \mathbb{I}[O_n]) \mathbb{I}[O_n]) + \mathbb{I}[O_n][\mathbb{R}[O_n]] - i(1 - \mathbb{I}[H_m]) \mathbb{I}[H_m] \sum (\mathbb{R}[d_n](1 - \mathbb{R}[O_n]) \mathbb{R}[O_n]) + (\mathbb{I}[d_n](1 - \mathbb{I}[O_n]) \mathbb{I}[O_n]) + \mathbb{I}[O_n][\mathbb{R}[O_n]] \]

where \( \hat{A} \) denotes the complex conjugate of \( A \).

IV. EXPERIMENTS

A. C-XOR Benchmark Problem

Multi-layer networks use a variety of learning techniques. We used one of the popular techniques of back-propagation. We compared the output values with the correct answer to compute the value of predefined error-function. The error was then fed back through the network. Using this information, the algorithm adjusted the weights of each connection and thus reduced the value of the error by some small amount in successive iterations. After repeating this process for a sufficiently large number of training cycles the network converged to a stable state where the error of the calculations is insignificant. In this way the network has learned a certain target function. To adjust weights properly we applied a general method for non-linear optimization that is called gradient descent. For this, the derivative of the error function with respect to the network weights is calculated and the weights are then changed such that the error decreases (thus going downhill on the surface of the error function). This is also the reason why back-propagation can only be applied on networks with differentiable activation functions.

To check the efficiency of Generalized mean neuron model in a complex plane, we first observed the effects of the model on the C-XOR benchmark mode. To enhance our experiments results we varied the learning rates, the initial weights taken, and the iterations of the neural network. We also studied the effect of various error functions on the complex valued generalized mean neuron model.
1) Model Description: Our first basic model had two complex valued inputs which corresponded to the inputs of the CXOR benchmark problem. The model had one hidden layer. The layer had four nodes in the first hidden layer. All the nodes had generalized mean function. All the outputs were finally sent to the output layer which also had another generalized mean function.

2) Input: We used \( f(x) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-x)} \) as our activation function. The value of the learning rate was varied from 0.001 to 0.999. The initial weights were chosen randomly by \( \text{rand()} \) function. This was also varied to get the optimal results. We experimented with various error functions on our model to see which error function converged the error in minimum number of iterations. All the observations were extensively researched and documented.

3) Results and Discussion: Various important results were deduced from the above experiments. They have been summarized as below:

To compare the effectiveness of our model we first experimented with a real valued neural model. The results obtained have been documented below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE I</th>
<th>REAL VALUED NEURAL MODEL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Iterations</td>
<td>Error</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>0.00021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10000</td>
<td>0.00001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The presence of a Generalized mean neuron function improves the efficiency of a neural model significantly in terms of number of iterations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE II</th>
<th>WITH A GENERALIZED MEAN NEURON FUNCTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Iterations</td>
<td>Error</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We varied the learning rates from 0.001 and 0.999 and observed the effect of the learning rates on the error convergence. For Complex Quadratic, Complex fourth, Complex Huber, complex Logcosh, Complex Welch, Complex Minkowski, Complex Fair error function the best error convergence rate was observed when the learning rate was 0.05 - 0.055. For mean-median error function the best convergence rate was observed when the learning rate was 0.045 - 0.05. The best results were observed when the value of the learning rate was kept low.

B. Signal Processing

Phase Jitter or phase noise in the QAM signal is caused by transponders in the transmission path or by the I/Q modulator. It may also be produced in carrier recovery. In contrast to the phase error described above, phase jitter is a statistical quantity that affects the In-phase and Quadrature path equally. In the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE III</th>
<th>COMPLEX QUADRATIC ERROR FUNCTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Iterations</td>
<td>Error</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3. Error convergence for Complex Quadratic error function

Fig. 4. Error convergence for Complex fourth error function

Fig. 5. Error convergence for Complex mean-median error function
TABLE IV
COMPLEX FOURTH ERROR FUNCTION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Iterations</th>
<th>Error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1200</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 6. Constellation Diagram with noise

correlation diagram, phase jitter shows up by the signal states being shifted about their coordinate origin.

1) Model Description: The input had 3 input nodes. The first hidden layer had four generalized mean neural function units as depicted earlier. The output from these functions was then processed further using another generalized mean neural function. The output from this node was observed and studied as with intrinsic details.

2) Result and Discussion: We worked on 4QAM and 16QAM data. Our aim was to reduce noise drastically in minimum number of iterations. The presence of a generalized mean neural function system greatly enhanced the error convergence rate. The model achieved an error of .000001 within 12,000 iterations. This also depended on the value of learning rate, weights, the error function taken, the number of inputs taken and the value of generalization parameter. We tested our model on a number of error functions also. The error function that gave us the best result was the complex quadratic error function.

TABLE V
ERROR CONVERGENCE WITH COMPLEX QUADRATIC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Iterations</th>
<th>Error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12000</td>
<td>0.000001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 7. Error convergence with Complex Quadratic

V. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK
We have shown the effectiveness of a complex valued version of back propagation model with generalized mean neuron model in signal processing Furturemore, we have investigated the fundamental characteristics of the complex- Back Propagation algorithm and found this algorithm better than general or real valued BP algorithm. The average convergence speed is much superior to that of a Real Back Propagation. The updating rule of the Complex-Back Propagation is such that the probability for a standstill in learning is reduced. Also the presence of an GMN unit in a complex neural model is well suited for signal processing and studying constellation diagrams.

Indeed several interesting applications of the complex valued neural network architectures can be extended in the following areas: Optoelectronics, Imaging, Optical computing, Remote sensing, Quantum Neural devices and systems, Intelligent transport systems, Spatiotemporal analysis of Physiological Neural Systems, Artificial Neural Information Processing, Communication system design (Mobile channel equalizer design), Direction of Arrival Estimation (Signal Processing), Traffic Control, Robotics, Neuron Dynamics, Chaos in the complex domain.
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