Abstract—Installation of power compensation equipment in some cases places additional buses into the system. Therefore, a total number of power flow equations and voltage unknowns increase due to additional locations of installed devices. In this circumstance, power flow calculation is more complicated. It may result in a computational convergence problem. This paper presents a power flow calculation by using Newton-Raphson iterative method together with the proposed load transfer technique. This concept is to eliminate additional buses by transferring installed loads at the new buses to existing two adjacent buses. Thus, the total number of power flow equations is not changed. The overall computational speed is expectedly shorter than that of solving the problem without applying the load transfer technique. A 15-bus test system is employed for test to evaluate the effectiveness of the proposed load transfer technique. As a result, the total number of iteration required and execution time is significantly reduced.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The main function of an electric power transmission system is to deliver electric energy to its loads sufficiently, efficiently and economically. The steady-state performances of an interconnected power system during normal operation can be analyzed based on nonlinear nodal analysis to form power flow equations and must be solved by some efficient iterative methods [1-2]. Power flow analysis is commonly used as a part of power system operation and planning. Since AC power flow solution techniques were first developed over half a century ago, there exist two widely-used numerical methods, the Gauss-Seidel: GS and the Newton-Raphson: NR, to solve this problem. As broadly known, the NR method has been successfully developed and accepted as the most powerful algorithm in power flow analysis of electric power transmission systems. Increasing a total bus number results in slow computational speed of the calculation. In addition, in some power network structure, e.g. a bottle-neck power system [3-4], it does not converge to a solution due to an ill-condition problem [5].

II. LOAD TRANSFER TECHNIQUE

In this paper, the power flow calculation is focused on the NR method. The proposed load transfer technique is presented in section II. A brief review of the NR method and an integration of the load transfer technique are described in section III. Section IV shows test cases and simulation results. They are challenged with ill-condition problems by varying an installed location of a capacitor bank started from the mid-point position of a selected feeder to the position close to one end of the feeder. The test case used herein is a 15-bus test system [7].

From Fig. 1, the load installed at bus $l$ can be analyzed using Kirchhoff’s current law (KCL) as follows.

$$L_1 : V_n - V_i = Z_{in}I_A$$

$$L_2 : V_i - V_m = Z_{im}I_B$$

$$V_n - V_m = Z_{in}I_A + Z_{im}I_B$$

Eliminate $I_B$ in (3), therefore
Thus,
\[
I_d = \left( \frac{Z_{in}}{Z_{in} + Z_{nl}} \right) I_l + \left( \frac{V_a - V_n}{Z_{in} + Z_{nl}} \right)
\] (4)

Repeat the process to eliminate \( I_A \) in (3)
\[
V_a - V_n = Z_{nl} (I_d + I_l) + Z_{mn} I_B
\]
\[
= (Z_{nl} + Z_{mn}) I_d + Z_{nl} I_l
\]
That is,
\[
I_d = -\left( \frac{Z_{nl}}{Z_{nl} + Z_{mn}} \right) I_l + \left( \frac{V_a - V_n}{Z_{nl} + Z_{mn}} \right)
\] (5)

In electrical power system analysis, loads are typically defined in form of electric power rather than current. \( I_l \), the load current, can be rewritten in form of complex power as \( S_l = V_l I_l^* \). By substituting this expression into (6) and (7), the transferred load of bus \( l \) at its two adjacent buses is described separately as in (8) and (9).
\[
I_l^{(o)} = \left( \frac{Z_{in}}{Z_{in}^*} \right) S_l
\] (8)
\[
I_l^{(m)} = \left( \frac{Z_{nl}}{Z_{mn}^*} \right) S_l
\] (9)

With the load transfer technique, bus \( l \) is eliminated in order to simplify the overall calculation. After obtaining remained bus voltage solutions, voltage at bus \( l \) is finally computed by using (10).
\[
V_l = \left( \frac{Z_{in}}{Z_{in}^*} \right) V_n + \left( \frac{Z_{nl}}{Z_{mn}^*} \right) V_m + \left( \frac{Z_{nl} Z_{mn}}{Z_{mn}^*} \right) \left( \frac{S_l}{V_l^*} \right)
\] (10)

III. NEWTON-RAPHSON POWER FLOW METHOD

Given that an \( N \)-bus power system, which one bus is treated as a slack bus of constant voltage magnitude and zero phase angle, is show in Fig. 3.

According to the NR method, the complex power of bus \( m \) in polar form as show in (11). Also, this equation can be decomposed into real and reactive power equation as in (12) and (13).
\[
(S_{sch,m})^* = P_{sch,m} - jQ_{sch,m}
\]
\[
= \sum_{i=1}^{N} Y_{mi} V_i V_m \cos(\theta_{mi} - \delta_m + \delta_i)
\] (11)
\[
P_{cal,m} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} Y_{mi} V_i V_m \cos(\theta_{mi} - \delta_m + \delta_i)
\] (12)
\[
Q_{cal,m} = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} Y_{mi} V_i V_m \sin(\theta_{mi} - \delta_m + \delta_i)
\] (13)

Where,
\[
S_{sch,m} = S_{gen,m} - S_{d,m}
\]
\[
P_{sch,m} = P_{gen,m} - P_{d,m}
\]
\[
Q_{sch,m} = Q_{gen,m} - Q_{d,m}
\]

The proposed power flow method, given that \( S_f \) is a complex power transferred from additional bus \( l \) to bus \( n \) and bus \( m \). The complex power transferred to bus \( n \) and bus \( m \) as follows.
\[
S_{f,n} = V_n \left( I_l^{(o)} \right)^* \left( \frac{Z_{in}}{Z_{in}^*} \right) \left( \frac{V_n}{V_f} \right) S_l
\] (14)
\[ S_{T,n} = V_n^* \left( I_{m}^{(m)} \right)^* \left( \frac{Z_{m n}}{V_n} \right) S_{r} \]  

(15)

Where,
\[ S_{T,n} = P_{T,n} + jQ_{T,n} \]
\[ S_{r,n} = P_{T,n} + jQ_{T,n} \]

In addition, a power flow solution based on the load transfer technique as shown in the flow diagram of Fig. 4.

IV. SIMULATION RESULTS

To evaluate the effectiveness of the proposed power flow calculation, the 15-bus test system with 1226.4 kW and 1251.2 kVar as shown in Fig. 5 is employed to test under MATLAB™ programming environment. The test was carried out by using an Intel core 2 duo 1.6 GHZ, 512 MB DDR-2 RAM computer.

Fig. 4 Flow diagram for the proposed Newton-Raphson power flow calculation

There exist two choices for adding \( S_{T,n} \) into a set of power flow equations, with \( S_{cal,m} \) or \( S_{sch,m} \). One choice can lead to a very obvious difference in analysis. In this paper, to avoid tediously numerical computation of Jacobian matrices, the second choice is selected. Therefore, power mismatch equations must be rewritten as summarized in (16) – (17). In this case, Jacobian sub-matrices remain unchanged.

\[ \Delta P_m = P_{sch,m} - P_{cal,m} \]  

(16)
\[ \Delta Q_m = Q_{sch,m} - Q_{cal,m} \]  

(17)

Where,
\[ P_{sch,m} = P_{gen,m} - P_{d,m} - P_{T,m} \]
\[ Q_{sch,m} = Q_{gen,m} - Q_{d,m} - Q_{T,m} \]

With this computation, voltage magnitudes and phases can be updated iteratively by using the follow equation where \( h \) indicates a counter of iteration.

\[ \left[ \begin{array}{c} \delta^{(h+1)} \\ \Delta P^{(h)} \end{array} \right] = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} \Delta P \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{cc} J_1 & J_2 \\ J_3 & J_4 \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c} \delta^{(h)} \\ \Delta Q^{(h)} \end{array} \right] \]  

(18)

Fig. 5 15-bus test system [9]

Five installed load locations are assumed. All locations of an installed loads are set at the mid of the line. Details of installed loads are given below.

Load 1: 50 + j60 kVA in between bus 9 and bus 10
Load 2: 70 + j80 kVA in between bus 11 and bus 12
Load 3: 50 + j60 kVA in between bus 12 and bus 13
Load 4: 70 + j80 kVA in between bus 4 and bus 14
Load 5: 90 + j100 kVA in between bus 4 and bus 15

To perform all the tests, all initial bus voltages are assumed to be 1.00 p.u. and to terminate the iterative process, maximum voltage error is set as \( 1.0 \times 10^{-4} \) p.u. for both power flow methods. The results obtained are presented in Table I and Table II. Table I gives the comparison among the convergence and calculation time of the standard NR method and the NR with using the proposed load transfer technique. Whilst, Table II shows magnitudes and phase angles of the bus voltages obtained by using each approach.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of new loads</th>
<th>Iteration used</th>
<th>SNR</th>
<th>Execution time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SNR</td>
<td>TNR</td>
<td>SNR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (Load 1 only)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (Loads 1 – 2)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (Loads 1 – 3)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 ( Loads 1 – 4)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 ( Loads 1 – 5)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As can be seen, to install new loads into an existing power system can cause slower calculation time due to the increment of Jacobian matrix’s size. The load transfer technique is one among the efficient schemes to handle this computation.

V. CONCLUSION

This paper presents the proposed load transfer technique to solve slow convergence of power flow calculation due to additional load installation. Installation of power compensation equipment in some cases places additional buses into the system. Therefore, a total number of power flow equations and voltage unknowns increase due to additional locations of installed devices. The proposed technique is to eliminate additional buses by transferring installed loads at the new buses to existing two adjacent buses. Thus, the total number of power flow equations is not changed. The overall computational speed is numerically shorter than that of solving the problem without applying the load transfer technique. A 15-bus test system is employed for test to verify the effectiveness of the proposed load transfer technique. As a result, the total number of iteration required and execution time is significantly reduced.
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