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Abstract—Although Model Driven Architecture has taken successful steps toward model-based software development, this approach still faces complex situations and ambiguous questions while applying to real world software systems. One of these questions - which has taken the most interest and focus - is how model transforms between different abstraction levels, MDA proposes. In this paper, we propose an approach based on Story Driven Modeling and Aspect Oriented Programming to ease these transformations. Service Oriented Architecture is taken as the target model to test the proposed mechanism in a functional system.

Service Oriented Architecture and Model Driven Architecture [1] are both considered as the frontiers of their own domain in the software world. Following components - which was the greatest step after object oriented - SOA is introduced, focusing on more integrated and automated software solutions. On the other hand - and from the designers' point of view - MDA is just initiating another evolution. MDA is considered as the next big step after UML in designing domain.
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I. INTRODUCTION

THE goal of this research is to provide a successful and usable conjunction between these two technologies. We have tried to provide a simple yet effective process which can be viewed as a framework. In the vision inspired by this framework, SOA is the product and MDA makes its production line. During this process, input model is provided via XMI [2] standard and with a high level of abstraction. Proposed framework analyses the elements and their relations within the given model and tries to recognize the SOA components.

In two phases (Fig. 1), the input model is first transformed into a SOA profile based model and then into a middleware independent code. Middleware transparency is achieved via the concept of Aspect. The final phase of framework is to transform middleware transparent code into an executable code based on one of known middlewares for SOA. Jini middleware and pre-process weaving is used in the last phase.

Rest of the paper is organized as follows: section II introduces proposed SOA profile. Section III, IV and V relatively focus on the 1st, 2nd and 3rd phases of the framework. Section VI contains some implementation details and finally, section VII will conclude the paper.

II. PROFILE FOR SERVICE-ORIENTED ARCHITECTURES

As shown in Fig. 1, generating a profile for service-oriented architecture is the first step to produce such a framework. This profile enables the designer to describe the platform specific model based on SOA. Profiles are standard techniques for extending UML. By using profiles for precise modeling, we ensure that the designed model can be used in different views of MDA with the same concepts, as we are following the MDA for defining standard models.

The elements of SOA profile is selected based on knowledge of the main elements of service-oriented architectures (Fig. 2).
Although there are different approaches for implementing of service-oriented systems [4], these elements are used in all of them and it shows a correct implementation of such architecture. Based on this idea, besides studying different service-oriented systems, and identifying their core components, the profile shown in Fig. 3 is proposed.

III. FROM PIM TO PSM

This phase can be considered as the most important and complex part of the framework. In this step, the platform independent model - based on UML standard profile - is transformed to the platform specific model - based on proposed SOA profile. Although we have tried to apply MDA to SOA for simpler model [5], the approach taken here has more capabilities and can handle relatively more complex cases.

In this approach, the input model (PIM) has no direct information about SOA. Obviously using such an abstract input - based on standard UML - needs a more autonomous model transformer. By autonomous we mean a model transformer which tried to depend on the specification of model rather than human guidelines. Such a model transformation is beyond what we expect from an MDA based model transformer and also beyond most of the current frameworks.

Second notable point about our framework is its declarative approach in PIM to PSM phase. Declarative transformation is considered as a huge advantage, since designer is not directly involved in the logic of model transformation. What is considered the logic of transformation is generated automatically, based on a declaration of how and what should be done. Using declarative approach, we need a formal way to express transformation for which a unique and correct code is generated. We have used story driven modeling profile [6] for this formal definition of transformation [7, 8, 9].

A. Input Model

Input model is based on standard UML. Designer has designed this model having SOA in mind but has not placed any SOA specific details in it. Transformer uses graph specifications of the input model (such as relations etc) to determine SOA components. We categorize these graph cifications into two main groups:

- Conditions over vertices: which shows what tagged values a vertex, can have.
- Conditions over edges: This shows the type, and specification of edges connecting vertices.

Considering these two categories and the general SOA model (Fig. 2) we conclude the following conditions:

- A vertex of stereotype Interface
- A vertex of type Class, implementing above interface.
- A vertex using above class.
- An edge connecting above class of type use.
- A vertex presenting registry service.

B. Formal Definition of Transformation

We have used SDM to present a formal definition of model transformation. A Detailed discussion on SDM is beyond the scope of this paper.

To have a general perspective of it, SDM uses a combination of activity and collaboration diagrams to define a story based presentation of the model. Fig. 4 shows a view of our main activity diagram. Swim lanes divide the sequences into two parts, i.e. human interactive and machine interacted. Steps of this diagram fall into two groups:

- Conditional steps which test occurrence of a specific case in the model
- Functional steps which perform a change in the model

Details of each step are as follows:

1. Start is the very first node of the diagram. A state without any input and only one exit.
2. Print defined with "<<code>>" stereotype and prints out an informative message.
3. Initial check of the input model, where we check whether input model contains at least one UML package and four classes (Fig. 5).

   ![Fig. 5 Initial check of the input model](image)

4. Selecting input model components and iterate over them. (Fig. 6)
5. Copying the selected model into the target model.
6. Initial check of the selected element which checks whether this element has at least 3 connecting edges.
7. Applying SOA profile to the selected element, which has passed the initial checking. This step contains a number of UML sequence and collaboration diagrams which is shown in Fig. 7.

As it can be seen, this diagram checks the selected elements precisely and applies SOA profile to them by the weaves of some consecutive conditional expression.

![Fig. 6 Selecting input model components](image)
Here we present conditions and function of detecting a service interface and applying it (Fig. 8).

IV. GENERATING ASPECT-ORIENTED CODES FROM PSM

The second stage is, transforming PSM model to the middleware independent codes. As we have labeled the elements of model in the first stage, the process of generating code is a simple one-to-one mapping and we have used a template based approach for this mapping.

Another point considered during code generation, is the middleware that PSM model specifies it and in this case it is a middleware for service-oriented architecture. But one of the goals of the framework is middleware independency. For this reason and considering the fact that we can see the most resulting changes of using middleware as Aspects, it is possible to generate a middleware independent code with required Aspects for service-oriented architecture.

In fact, this code has the ability to implement the service-oriented architecture. But only when Aspects are used in a particular middleware environment (this process is illustrated in final stage).

A. Required Aspects for Service-Oriented Architecture

It is possible to consider aspects as profiles in code level. This property makes it easy to select Aspects which are related to the profile. Therefore, we will introduce Aspects that are selected based on service-oriented architecture profile. These Aspects are presented in code level (Java) based on JSR 175 standard [11] (annotations).

1. Interface that is recognized with ServiceDescription
2. Service that is recognized with Service.
3. Client with the Aspect named Client and
4. Registry which is recognized with Registry. 

These Aspects are appeared at the beginning of definition of classes and variables. For example:

\[
\text{public class $\{service.name\}Service} \\
\text{\@Service} \\
\text{$\{service.operations\})} \\
\text{\$operation.visibility} \\
\text{\$operation.returnType} \\
\text{\$operation.signature; $end} \\
\]

V. FROM ASPECT TO EXECUTABLE CODE

The last phase of framework, transforms SOA enabled code to a full executable code based on an SOA middleware. Two main questions of this phase are 1) weaving technique and 2) target middleware

A. Pre-Process Weaving

There are various techniques for weaving aspects and converting them to executable code, like compile time, and deploy time to name a few. To select a weaving technique, one must consider various factors such as coordination of weaving technique and problem, ease of use, tools etc. Considering these factors, we have decided to use pre-process weaving in this framework. This type of weaving techniques are used in cases that code changes inspired by aspect are few considered to other changes such as configuration stuff.

Pre-process weaving is in fact a special kind of AOP, known as Attribute Oriented Programming. XDoclet [14] is a famous attribute oriented programming tool. With standardizing annotation in Java 5 most of the time attributes are defined using annotation and we have used the same approach.

B. Choosing Middleware

Nowadays there are various middleware which support SOA development and bring facilities to ease this architecture. Among them are Java EE, Microsoft .NET, and Web Services. But we have used less know middleware Jini [15] as our SOA enabled middleware. Although Jini is less known, but this middleware has build in and complete features for SOA development among them: platform independent, PnP, and interface base design.

VI. IMPLEMENTATION

According to the previous sections, this framework has been formed from different multiple parts and each part has its own complexities and requirements. The main part of this framework is description of model transformation based on story driven approach and its implementation. And these activities are done, adherence to the FOTS team from Antwerpen University. The required transformation in this stage, are implemented according to the extension of MoTMoT [16]. In second stage, we have used from AndroMDA [13]. The structure and testing of templates is done based on Java language and APT software.

VII. CONCLUSION

Although MDA can be considered a successful movement in model based software development, but this approach still has ambiguous questions to face especially when applied to
complex real world systems. One of these questions - which has taken the most attempts in this way - is model transformed across different abstraction layers, MDA propose. What this paper presented, was how to use story driven modeling and aspect oriented programming to ease model transformation from PIM to PSM and from PSM to code. We have also tried to use SOA as our target model and test the proposed method in a functional environment.
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