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Abstract—This paper presents a new approach for automatic document categorization. Exploiting the logical structure of the document, our approach assigns a HTML document to one or more categories (thesis, paper, call for papers, email, …). Using a set of training documents, our approach generates a set of rules used to categorize new documents. The approach flexibility is carried out with rule weight association representing your importance in the discrimination between possible categories. This weight is dynamically modified at each new document categorization. The experimentation of the proposed approach provides satisfactory results.

Keywords—categorization rule, document categorization, flexible categorization, logical structure.

I. INTRODUCTION

In front of the incredible growth of the Internet, we notice that document categorization is very important in many applications, in particular the information retrieval. Indeed, document categorization can be used in two information retrieval steps: 1) The organization of document collection by category with the intention of improving the efficiency and the effectiveness of the information retrieval process or 2) The organization of the provided documents by category with the intention of accelerating the selection of relevant documents and improving the visualization quality.

In this paper, we propose a new flexible approach for document categorization based on document logical structure. This approach assigns a HTML document to one or more predefined categories (thesis, paper, call for papers, email, …) using the document logical structure.

Our proposed approach can be useful for many other applications:

- Exploiting only terms contained in thematic units, extracted using document category, can improve thematic classification accuracy [1].
- Assigning document to one or more categories can facilitate the assimilation and dissemination of great information loads by guiding user search in function of their needs and profiles [2].
- Since, the different automatic document summarization methods depend on document category (thesis, paper, call for papers, email, …). Our approach allows the application of suitable summarization method.

II. DOCUMENT CATEGORIZATION: RELATED WORKS

The automated document categorization dating back to 60 years, with Maron works [3]. Since then, several authors have proposed different categorization concept definitions. According to Sebastiani [4], the categorization of documents set D consists in assigning each document d belonging to D a category c belonging to a set of predefined categories C.

Automatic document categorization has been used in a number of different applications: automatic indexing for Boolean information retrieval systems, document organization, word sense disambiguation, yahoo-style search categorization [4].

We can distinguish between two kinds of document categorization: thematic and contextual. The thematic categorization aims to identify the document theme using the document content. On the other hand, contextual categorization aims to identify document theme using contextual information like metadata (type, authors, …) [2].

Automatic document categorization can also be used to identify the document type (web page, email, paper, call for papers, …). But in the literature we have a few works that have been devoted to this kind of categorization [5][6][7][8][9][10]. These methods differ in number and kinds of predefined categories that make difficult the comparison between these methods. For example Kevin propose 7 categories for web documents (reportage, editorial, research articles, Reviews, home page, Q&A, spec) [9], Marzin propose 4 categories for web pages (links pages, home pages, web navigators and sales pages) [10].

Several automatic document categorization methods have been proposed in the literature and have been devoted to thematic categorization. These methods can be divided in two approaches: knowledge engineering and machine learning methods. Maron has proposed knowledge engineering approach in 1961 [3]. It based on categorization rules of type IF Condition THEN Category [11][12].
This approach has been abandoned because it needs a manual effort to build and manages the set of categorization rules. To solve this problem the categorization community have been propose in 1980 to use some machine learning techniques [13]. The principle of this last approach consists in automatically generating a categorization function using a set of training documents. This function is used to categorize new documents. Among machine learning algorithms we mention: Rocchio’s algorithm [14], K-Nearest Neighbor [15], Decision trees [16], Support Vector Machines [17], Voted classification [18] [19].

III. PRINCIPLE OF THE PROPOSED APPROACH

Our proposed approach assigns a French HTML document to one or more predefined categories (dictionary, patent, book, thesis, memory, report, paper, FAQ, call for papers, web pages, news, email) using the document logical structure.

Our approach is situated in junction of the knowledge engineering and machine learning approaches. Using a set of training documents, our approach allows to automatically generating a categorization function. This function is represent in the form of a set of categorization rules. Contrary to other methods such as decision trees [20][16][21], galois lattice [22] or induction graphs [23][24], where graph transformation in rules is necessary.

In our approach, each rule is in the form IF Condition THEN Conclusion, where Conclusion represents the appartenance degrees to all predefined categories. The categorization flexibility is carried out with rule weight association representing your importance in the discrimination between possible categories. This weight is dynamically modified at each new document categorization.

The principle approach is presented in figure I below.

IV. GENERATION OF CATEGORIZATION RULES

A. Training collection

To generate categorization rules, we have collect from web a training set \( \mathbf{A} \) of 1230 HTML documents. Each training document \( \mathbf{d}_j \) is represented by: the identification \( \mathbf{did}_j \), the category \( \mathbf{C}_j \), and the logical structure \( \mathbf{sl}_j \). The distribution of the training set \( \mathbf{A} \) on the 12 possible categories is presented in the table I below.

B. Logical structure

A logical structure is represented by a series of logical units ordered one after the other to appear an idea. For each logical unit we have associated a weight between 0 and 1 representing your importance in the logical structure construction. This weight is calculated using the training documents. We have identified 9 possible logical structures (See table II).

C. Categorization rules

Using the logical structure values, we have identified 9 possible recognition rules. Each rule is of type:

\[
\text{IF SIM}(\mathbf{sl}_j, \mathbf{sl}_i) \geq S_0 \text{ THEN } \{(\mathbf{C}_1, \alpha_1), \ldots, (\mathbf{C}_{12}, \alpha_{12})\}
\]
The simulation is calculated using this formula:
\[ \text{SIM}(s_{lj}, s_{li}) = \frac{\sum p_i \cdot \text{appartenance degree} \left( u_{lj} \right)}{\sum p_i} \]

Where:
- \( u_{lj} \): A logical unit belonging to the predefined logical structure \( s_{lj} \).
- \( p_i \): The weight assigned to the logical unit \( u_{lj} \).

Example:
IF \( \text{SIM}(s_{lj}, s_{li}) \geq 0.5 \) THEN \{(dictionary, 0.00), (book, 0.70), (patent, 0.60), (thesis, 1.00), (memory, 1.00), (report, 1.00), (paper, 0.15), (FAQ, 0.00), (call for papers, 0.00), (news, 0.00), (web page, 0.00), (e-mail, 0.00)\}

V. CATEGORIZATION OF NEW DOCUMENTS
At each new document \( d_j \), the categorization process identifies the document logical structure \( s_{lj} \) using \(<Hn>\).

VI. MODIFICATION OF CATEGORIZATION RULES
After each new categorization, we should update the set of rules. This modification is summarized in two fundamental points, which are:
- Remove rules, which their conclusions are equal to 0. In other words, the rules whose all their appartenance degrees to all possible categories are equal to 0.
- Since, the proportion of training documents verifying Conclusion rules will be modified. We should recalculate the appartenance degrees for all rules.

VIII. EXPERIMENTATION
To experiment any categorization method you have two possible techniques: comparing the obtained categorization with another categorization given by another categorization methods or comparing the obtained categorization with manual or reference categorization.

In our case, the comparison with other approaches is impossible because all the proposed approaches don’t use the same number and kinds of predefined categories (see section 2). So we have chosen the second technique.

Our proposed approach has been implemented in the CFD system. To experiment this system, we have used a corpus of 615 HTML documents belonging to the possible categories (see table III).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notation</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th># Of training documents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>Dictionary</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>Book</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
<td>Patent</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4</td>
<td>Thesis</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5</td>
<td>Memory</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6</td>
<td>Report</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C7</td>
<td>Paper</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C8</td>
<td>FAQ</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C9</td>
<td>Call for papers</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C10</td>
<td>News</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C11</td>
<td>Web page</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C12</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For each testing document \( d_j \), we have identified the logical structure \( s_{lj} \). Exploiting this logical structure, we have obtained the following results presented in table IV.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Recall</th>
<th>Precision</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
<th>Error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dictionary</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Book</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patent</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thesis</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memory</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paper</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAQ</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Call for papers</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>News</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web page</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From the table IV we notice that recall, precision and error values are acceptable for all categories. These remarks confirm that logical structure is very important for document categorization. In particular for strongly structured documents (documents who’s logical structure is explicit and very easy to extract). For example: academic documents (thesis, memory, report, paper), call for papers, email. We have obtained a recall average value of 0.87, a precision average value of 0.94, an accuracy average value of 0.84 and an error average value of 0.16. These results are satisfactory.
VIII. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORKS

In this paper, we have proposed a new approach for document categorization. This approach exploits document logical structure. Using a set of training documents, our approach allows the generation of a set of categorization rules. Each rule is of the type IF Condition THEN Conclusion. The Conclusion of each rule represents the appartenance degrees to possible categories. The experimentation provides satisfactory results especially for strongly structured documents.

In this research, we have used only HTML documents. In the future works, we propose:

- The integration of new electronic formats (SGML, XML, ...) to exploit the meta data provided by the Dublin Core norm.
- The integration of this approach in the process of information retrieval to improve their performance.
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