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Abstract—Polarization modulation infrared reflection absorption spectroscopy (PM-IRRAS) in combination with electrochemistry, was employed to study the influence of surface charge (potential) on the kinetics of bovine serum albumin (BSA) adsorption on a biomedical-grade 316LVM stainless steel surface. The BSA adsorption kinetics was found to greatly depend on the surface potential. With an increase in surface potential towards more negative values, both the BSA initial adsorption rate and the equilibrium (saturated) surface concentration also increased. Both effects were explained on the basis of replacement of well-ordered water molecules at the 316LVM / solution interface, i.e. by the increase in entropy of the system.
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I. INTRODUCTION

SPOUSANEOUS adsorption of proteins onto solid-liquid interfaces is of great importance in many applications, such as in the food and pharmaceutical industry, biomaterials, biomedical implants, biosensors, drug delivery systems, etc. For instance, instantaneous adsorption of proteins from biological fluids to solid surfaces is extremely important in the field of biomaterials and implantable biomedical devices development, since it directly determines their biocompatibility (cell/surface interactions, positive and negative immune responses, blood coagulation, bacterial adhesion, etc.)[1, 2]. Protein adsorption has been, for example, also investigated in the field of development of medical electrical devices, such as biosensors and electrodes. It has been noted that protein adsorption on these (electrode) surfaces has a negative influence on the detection of electrical signals, thus influencing analysis of clinical samples[3]. However, in some cases adsorption of specific proteins and enzymes on surfaces is beneficial, such as in the immobilization of detection enzymes or antibodies/antigens on biosensor or immunoassay surfaces, respectively[4].

Researchers have applied a range of experimental techniques to study various aspects of protein interaction with solid surfaces, including the solution-depletion method[5-7], enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)[8, 9], radiolabelling[3, 10, 11], various optical techniques such as ellipsometry[12-14], total internal reflection fluorescence (TIRF)[15], optical waveguide lightmode spectroscopy (OWLS)[13, 16], surface plasmon resonance (SPR)[17, 18] and neutron reflectivity (NR)[19, 20]. Electrochemical quartz crystal nanobalance (EQCN)[21, 22] and quartz crystal microbalance (QCM)[13, 23] have also been used. Ultra-high-vacuum techniques, such as X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS)[24, 25], time-of-flight static secondary ion mass spectroscopy (TOF-SIMS)[26], surface matrix assisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight mass spectroscopy (MALDI-TOF-SIMS)[27] and scanning tunnelling microscopy (STM)[28] have been used. In addition, imaging techniques, such as atomic force microscopy (AFM) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM), have been employed to observe the conformation of surface adsorbed proteins, as well as their adsorption patterns[1, 15, 29-31]. Infrared spectroscopy (IR) methods have been used to not only obtain quantitative information of the amount of protein adsorbed, but also to gain insight on the adsorbed proteins’ conformation. These include attenuated total reflection Fourier transform IR (ATR-FTIR)[2, 10, 32], grazing angle FTIR (GA-FTIR)[10, 33] and the relatively new technique of polarization modulation infrared reflection absorption spectroscopy (PM-IRRAS)[34, 35], that has also been used in the research presented here. Omanovic and Roscoe have applied electrochemical techniques, such as electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) and cyclic voltammetry (CV), to study the adsorption of a range of proteins on electrode surfaces[21, 36-41].

From the above presented, it is quite obvious that studying interaction of proteins with solid surfaces is of extreme importance in many fields. In particular, one should first understand fundamental phenomena that govern this process, such as the influence of physico-chemical properties of the surface (surface wettability and charge, van der Waals, electrostatic and hydrogen bonding forces, etc.) on the protein adsorption. For example, the influence of surface-charge has been investigated by modifying various surfaces (metallic, polymer, ceramic) with self-assembled monolayers (SAM’s,
usually functionalized alkanethiols). However, it should be noted that by applying this approach, it is not possible to modulate only the surface charge while keeping other physico-chemical properties constant. For example, by switching between the negatively-charged COOH-modified SAM surface to the positively-charge NH₂-modified SAM surface, one also changes the surface chemistry, surface charge distribution, surface wettability and influences van der Waals and electrostatic forces (the surface conformation of SAM’s is governed also by intermolecular interactions due to van der Waals forces). Thus, the subsequent protein adsorption is influenced not only by the surface charge and its distribution, but also by a range of other parameters.

This work aims at contributing to the field of research on protein/surface interactions by presenting and discussing results on the influence of surface charge of a biomedical grade 316LVM stainless steel on adsorption of a model protein, bovine serum albumin (BSA). In order to avoid the influence of surface chemistry and other physico-chemical parameters change (as in the case of SAM’s use, as described above) on the BSA adsorption, the surface charge of 316LVM was modulated in situ by electrochemical potentiostatic polarization of the surface in a BSA-containing electrolyte. The BSA adsorption kinetics was studied as a function of surface charge (i.e. surface potential). Characterization of BSA on the 316LVM surface was performed using PM-IRRAS. 316LVM was chosen as a substrate since this material is the most commonly used material for coronary stent fabrication and a range of other implants (fixation etc). BSA was chosen since it is the most abundant protein in blood plasma, it has been extensively studied in the literature, and it has been frequently used as a model globular protein for studying protein/surface interactions relevant in a range of biomedical and industrial applications, thus enabling literature comparison[42].

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

All adsorption/desorption experiments were investigated in a 0.1 M of phosphate buffer (PB) solution of pH 7.4 and at temperature of 295 ± 2 K. For adsorption kinetic experiments, a 1 g L⁻¹ solution of bovine serum albumin (BSA, 99%; Sigma- Aldrich Co., Cat. No. A-0281) in 0.1 M PB was used. The response corresponding to time zero was measured in a BSA-free 0.1 M PB solution.

A 50 mL electrochemical glass cell was used in electrochemical experiments. The counter electrode (CE) was a 316L stainless steel wire. The reference electrode (RE) was a commercially available mercury/mercurous sulphate electrode. Three working electrodes were used in this cell; the first working electrode (WE₁) was a 316L stainless steel wire, while the remaining two working electrodes (WE₂) were two biomedical-grade 316LVM stainless steel samples (BSA adsorption samples), with dimensions of 2.4 cm × 1.2 cm × 0.1 cm, each hooked to a 316L wire to provide electrical contact. The purpose of WE₁ was to keep WE₂ under polarization while withdrawing the two WE₂ samples from the electrolyte for further analysis. Namely, WE₂ was pulled out of the electrolyte while still being polarized at the required potential, which was necessary in order to prevent the samples potential drifting to open-circuit-potential during the removal from the electrolyte.

Prior to each experiment, all faces of both stainless steel adsorption samples (WE₂) were wet polished with SiC polishing paper, grit #4000. This was followed by abundant rinsing of the samples with deionized water, ultrasonication for five minutes in deionized water, three minutes in ethanol, and five minutes again in deionized water.

316LVM is material that passivates spontaneously, i.e. it forms a thin oxide film on its surface when in contact with moist atmosphere or any aqueous solution. The physico-chemical properties of this passive film depend on the sample exposure time to the air, electrolyte, and on the electrochemical passivation potential. Thus, in order to ensure that 316LVM samples were uniform, reproducible and of the same surface topography and physico-chemical properties, the samples were electrochemically treated by cyclic polarization in 0.1M PB after polishing, i.e. prior to each protein adsorption experiment. Fifty cyclic sweeps were made between -1.5 and +0.9 V, at a scan rate of 500 mVs⁻¹.

PM-IRRAS was used in this research to investigate interaction of BSA (adsorption kinetics) with a 316LVM stainless steel surface, as a function of the surface charge (potential). More detail on the use of PM-IRRAS for investigation of protein/surface interactions, could be found in our previously published work[43].

Kinetics of adsorption of BSA was performed in an electrochemical cell containing a phosphate solution of BSA, pH 7.4. Desired constant potential was first applied to the electrochemical cell containing WE₁, CE and RE, followed by immersion of the two electrochemically-treated 316LVM samples (WE₂) for a pre-determined length of time before being removed and rinsed with abundant deionized water for the subsequent PM-IRRAS analysis. For the initial point (time zero), this procedure was performed in a BSA-free 0.1 M PB solution (control).

Following protein adsorption and rinsing, the samples were dried using a gentle stream of argon and mounted in a sample holder of the PM-IRRAS setup. PM-IRRAS spectra were recorded using the Bruker Optics PMA50 external module in conjunction with the TENSOR 27 FT-IR spectrometer. A liquid nitrogen cooled MCT detector was used in all experiments. The wavelength setting on the photelastic modulator, Hinds PEM-90, was fixed at 1600 cm⁻¹. Each sample was scanned for 5 minutes with 3 cm⁻¹ resolution and an aperture setting of 6 mm. The incident beam angle used in all experiments was 80°.
III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Since it is widely accepted that the initial adsorption of proteins from biological fluids onto implantable biomaterial surfaces significantly influences their biocompatibility[44], it is of great interest to investigate the influence of 316LVM surface charge (potential) on the BSA adsorption kinetics. However, it should be noted that the results and discussion presented here are not limited only to biomedical applications, but also to a range of other applications where interaction of proteins with solid surfaces plays an important role (filtration membranes, biochemical reactors, etc.)[45].

Fig. 1 shows a set of selected PM-IRRAS spectra of the 316LVM stainless steel surface for different immersion times in the electrolyte solution containing 1 g L⁻¹ of BSA. The spectra were recorded at surface polarization potential of -1.2 V. Similar spectra (trends) were obtained at other investigated potentials (not shown). The two observed bands, amide I and amide II (Fig. 1), are characteristics of all proteins[43]. In this research, the analysis was focused on the amide I band of the PM-IRRAS spectra due to its strong, well defined signal as well as capability of obtaining useful information on kinetics of surface-adsorbed BSA molecules on stainless steel samples.

It can be seen from the spectra in Fig. 1 that lengthening the immersion time of the stainless steel samples in the BSA solution led to a gradual increase in the overall intensity of the amide I band. Since the area under the amide I peak (integrated intensity) is proportional to the BSA surface concentration, the increase in the peak area in Fig. 1 indicates an increase in surface concentration of the adsorbed protein. This is more clearly observed in eFig. 2, which represents the dependence of integrated intensity of the amide I band on the adsorption time.

The trend observed in Fig. 2 shows that with an increase in adsorption time, the integrated intensity of amide I band also increases, and finally starts leveling off into a quasi-plateau after ca. 20-30 minutes of adsorption. A similar result was obtained in our laboratory for adsorption of fibrinogen onto the same 316LVM surface, at open circuit potential[43], the potential at which no current flows through the cell. McClellan et al.[46] have reported that the adsorption density (surface concentration) of BSA on a silicon oxide surface reaches an equilibrium value within 10 minutes for the lowest BSA concentration (0.01 wt%, or ca. 0.1 g L⁻¹), but does not appear to reach an equilibrium value even after 3 hours for the higher concentrations (1 wt%, or ca. 10 g L⁻¹). Sukhishvili et al. [47] determined that human serum albumin (HSA) reaches a constant surface concentration on poly-4-vinylpyridine after ca. 30 minutes of adsorption. Similar results were reported in[48] for adsorption of BSA onto a hydrophobic C16 (hexadecyltrichlorosilane) self-assembled monolayer formed on microscope slides. On the other hand, Zeng et al.[49] showed that BSA adsorbed on Ti and Ge reaches a quasi-equilibrium surface concentration only after ca. 10 minutes of adsorption, while on a CaP surface the quasi-equilibrium surface concentration was reached after 12 minutes of adsorption from a 20 g L⁻¹ BSA solution in saline. Thus, the presented in Fig. 2 agrees well with the corresponding literature results.

![Fig. 1 PM-IRRAS spectra of the 316LVM stainless steel surface following immersion in a phosphate buffer containing 1 g L⁻¹ of BSA for increasing cumulative adsorption times: (a) 0.5, (b) 1, (c) 2, (d) 3, (e) 5, (f) 7, (g) 10, (h) 15, (i) 30, (j) 45, and (k) 60 minutes. Electrode (surface) potential = -1.2 V](image)

![Fig. 2 Dependence of the integrated intensity of amide I band of PM-IRRAS spectra of the 316LVM stainless steel surface on the immersion time in a phosphate buffer containing 1 g L⁻¹ of BSA. Applied electrode surface potential = -1.2 V. Symbols (■) represent mean experimental values obtained from PM-IRRAS spectra, while error bars represent the corresponding standard deviation](image)
approximately 10-15 minutes, except at -1.2 V (ca. 20-30 min). Another interesting feature to note from the results presented in Fig. 3 is that the adsorbed amount of protein, and hence its surface concentration, increases with an increase in surface potential in the negative direction (cathodic polarization). Therefore, the results in Fig. 3 demonstrate that the 316LVM surface charge (i.e. potential) significantly influences the BSA adsorption kinetics and the final (equilibrium / saturated) amount of BSA adsorbed on the surface. This is more clearly presented in Fig. 4, which shows the dependence of the integrated amide I intensity obtained from the plateaus in Fig. 3 on the applied 316LVM surface potential. The graph demonstrates that the dependence between the saturated (equilibrium) surface concentration (integrated amide I intensity) and surface potential is almost linear under the experimental conditions investigated.

Fig. 3 Dependence of the integrated area of amide I band of PMIRRAS spectra of the 316LVM stainless steel surface on the immersion time in a phosphate buffer containing 1 g L⁻¹ of BSA. The measurements were performed at various WE₂ potentials: (●) + 0.7, (▲) - 0.1, (◇) - 0.5, (○) - 1.0, and (■) - 1.2 V. The solid lines are included only as a visual aid, and do not represent efforts to model the experimental data. Error bars represent the corresponding standard deviation.

In spite of BSA being negatively charged at the investigated pH=7.4 (iso-electric point, IEP = 4.7 - 4.9)[50, 51], the favourable adsorption of BSA to a progressively more negative surface, as demonstrated by the results in Fig. 4, suggests that electrostatic interactions do not play a major role in adsorption of BSA onto a hydrophilic 316LVM surface[51]. Favourable adsorption of BSA on negatively charged surfaces was also observed in other studies at different surfaces, such as hydrophilic tin dioxide (SnO₂)[52], carbon[50], and gold[53] surfaces, an no agreement in the literature with respect to the origin of this behaviour is made.

In spite of BSA being negatively charged at the investigated pH=7.4 (iso-electric point, IEP = 4.7 - 4.9)[50, 51], the favourable adsorption of BSA to a progressively more negative surface, as demonstrated by the results in Fig. 4, suggests that electrostatic interactions do not play a major role in adsorption of BSA onto a hydrophilic 316LVM surface[51]. Favourable adsorption of BSA on negatively charged surfaces was also observed in other studies at different surfaces, such as hydrophilic tin dioxide (SnO₂)[52], carbon[50], and gold[53] surfaces, an no agreement in the literature with respect to the origin of this behaviour is made.

Martins et al.[24] showed that adsorption of HSA on COOH-terminated SAMs, in spite of their hydrophilicity and negative charge at pH 7.4, is higher than on neutral and hydrophilic OH-terminated SAM. Silin et al.[54] observed the same behaviour for BSA adsorption on similar monolayers. However, Tidwell et al.[55] showed higher albumin adsorption on COOH-terminated SAMs followed in a decreasing order by hydrophobic neutral CH₃- and hydrophilic neutral OH-terminated SAMs. They postulated that although BSA has a net negative charge at neutral pH, its adsorption to negative charged surfaces occurs through positively charged domains on the BSA. Albumin could also bind to negative charged surfaces via cationic counter ions acting as an electrostatic bridge[56]. In contrast, some other studies reported a significant influence of surface charge, i.e. electrostatic interactions, on protein adsorption[3, 53, 57]. For example, Rezwan et al.[58] concluded that electrostatic interaction govern adsorption of BSA and lysozyme for the cases where the protein and the materials surface are very hydrophilic (e.g. alumina, silica, and titania). However, for slightly less hydrophilic material surfaces, hydrophobic interaction seems to play an important role in the adsorption process and can even overcome electrostatic repulsion as found for protein adsorption on zirconia.

The authors of this paper currently do not have a firm explanation for the origin of the behaviour seen in Fig. 4, i.e. why the adsorption of a negatively-charged BSA molecule is promoted on more negative 316LVM surfaces, but the following explanation could be considered. Previous measurements in the Omansovic laboratory have shown that the electrochemically-treated 316LVM surface used in this project is hydrophilic (contact angle = 35 ±5°[59], but still the data in Fig. 4 shows that with an increase in surface negative
charge, the surface amount of adsorbed (and negatively charged) BSA molecules also increases. Thus, the direct influence of surface wettability is not the driving force for BSA adsorption and its subsequent surface conformation (orientation). However, Omanovic and Roscoe have shown that adsorption of proteins on most surfaces is an entropy-gain driven process[37, 39, 41, 60, 61]. This entropy gain is the result of the dehydration of the protein and surface. Thus, since the BSA molecule sees the 316LVM stainless steel surface as less hydrophilic than the surrounding PB aqueous environment, the protein will thus seek to reduce the free energy of the system through adsorption on this surface[62]. Consequently, BSA adsorption will displace highly-ordered dipole water molecules that are aligned at the charged stainless steel surface, thus increasing the disorder of the system at the molecular level and leading to a consequent entropy gain[63]. With an increase in surface potential to more negative values (Fig. 4), the average dipole direction of the water molecular layer on the 316LVM surface becomes more ordered (aligned) with respect to the surface normal, which results in a decrease in the system’s entropy (this refers to the situation before BSA adsorbs on the surface). When the BSA molecule adsorbs on the surface, it replaces this well-ordered water molecular layer, which results in an entropy increase, as already mentioned. The more the negative surface charge, the larger the difference in entropy of the system at the two states (before and after adsorption), thus larger the adsorption is, the larger the difference in entropy of the system at the two states (Fig. 4), previously discussed. Namely, with the increase in surface potential towards negative values, the entropy of water molecules at the electrode surface decreases due to the increase in their surface order, while the electrostatic bond between the negatively charged surface and positively charged hydrogen atoms increases. However, when BSA adsorbs on the 316LVM surface, it displaces the water molecules arranged on the charged substrate surface, but also the water molecules that solvate the hydrophilic BSA pockets, which then gets in contact with the 316LVM surface. These highly ordered water molecules go into the solution, the process that results in a significant increase in entropy of the system. The more negative surface potential is, the higher the order of the system is, and thus the higher the entropy gain resulting from BSA adsorption is, leading to the higher adsorption driving force. The decrease in initial BSA adsorption rate at -1.2 V might be due to the parallel occurrence of hydrogen evolution reaction on the 316LVM surface[59]. The first step in this reaction is the adsorption of hydrogen on the surface to make a metal-hydrogen bond (M-Hads)[65-67]. The hydrogen-covered (‘blocked’) 316LVM surface (-1.2 V) offers different physico-chemical properties (wettability, charge and chemistry) than the naked and solvated 316LVM surface (≥ -1.0 V), which in turns influences (decreases) the initial BSA adsorption rate.

The preceding section discussed the influence of surface potential on the saturated BSA concentration. This is important in situations where equilibrium conditions govern the behaviour of the BSA/316LVM system. However, the initial (short-term) interaction of BSA with the 316LVM surface is also of importance, especially when studying the influence of surface potential on BSA adsorption kinetics. Therefore, the initial BSA adsorption rate (dA/dt)ini was determined from the slope of curves in Fig. 3 at short times, and presented in Fig. 5 as a function of surface potential.

The trend at potentials positive of -1.0 V (Fig. 5) is similar to that one presented in Fig. 4. With an increase in the 316LVM surface potential towards negative values, the initial BSA adsorption rate also increased. However, at -1.2 V the initial BSA adsorption rate decreased. The explanation of the trend in the fig. can be based on the explanation of the trend in Fig. 4, previously discussed. Namely, with the increase in surface potential towards negative values, the entropy of water molecules at the electrode surface decreases due to the increase in their surface order, while the electrostatic bond between the negatively charged surface and positively charged hydrogen atoms increases. However, when BSA adsorbs on the 316LVM surface, it displaces the water molecules arranged on the charged substrate surface, but also the water molecules that solvate the hydrophilic BSA pockets, which then gets in contact with the 316LVM surface. These highly ordered water molecules go into the solution, the process that results in a significant increase in entropy of the system. The more negative surface potential is, the higher the order of the system is, and thus the higher the entropy gain resulting from BSA adsorption is, leading to the higher adsorption driving force. The decrease in initial BSA adsorption rate at -1.2 V might be due to the parallel occurrence of hydrogen evolution reaction on the 316LVM surface[59]. The first step in this reaction is the adsorption of hydrogen on the surface to make a metal-hydrogen bond (M-Hads)[65-67]. The hydrogen-covered (‘blocked’) 316LVM surface (-1.2 V) offers different physico-chemical properties (wettability, charge and chemistry) than the naked and solvated 316LVM surface (≥ -1.0 V), which in turns influences (decreases) the initial BSA adsorption rate.
IV. CONCLUSIONS

It was demonstrated that PM-IRRAS can be efficiently used to investigate protein/surface adsorptive interactions. The kinetics of BSA adsorption on the 316LVM surface greatly depends on the surface potential. With an increase in surface potential towards more negative values, the initial adsorption rate also increases, reaching a maximum at -1.0 V. The trend was explained on the basis of replacement of well-ordered water molecules at the 316LVM/solution interface, i.e. by the increase in adsorption driving force (entropy gain) with negative potentials. At more negative potentials, adsorption of BSA competes with the hydrogen evolution reaction, resulting in a slight decrease in initial BSA adsorption rate. At each potential, BSA reaches a saturated surface coverage (concentration) after approximately 10-30 minutes of adsorption, depending on the potential. This time thus corresponds to the time needed for the system to reach equilibrium. Similarly to the initial adsorption rate, the saturated surface concentration of BSA also increases with negative surface potential. This trend was explained on the basis of the change in orientation of the BSA molecule on the surface, from the side-on orientation at more positive potentials, to the end-on orientation at more negative potentials.

This research is expected to make contribution to the fundamental knowledge on protein/surface interactions. It has shed some light on the influence of surface charge (potential) on BSA adsorption. This can contribute to development of new biomedical and industrial materials, devices and processes.
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