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Abstract—The performance of a sucrose-based H\(_2\) production in a completely stirred tank reactor (CSTR) was modeled by neural network back-propagation (BP) algorithm. The H\(_2\) production was monitored over a period of 450 days at 35±1°C. The proposed model predicts H\(_2\) production rates based on hydraulic retention time (HRT), recycle ratio, sucrose concentration and degradation, biomass concentrations, pH, alkalinity, oxidation-reduction potential (ORP), acids and alcohols concentrations. Artificial neural networks (ANNs) have an ability to capture non-linear information very efficiently. In this study, a predictive controller was proposed for management and operation of large scale H\(_2\)-fermenting systems. The relevant control strategies can be activated by this method. BP based ANNs modeling results were very successful and an excellent match was obtained between the measured and the predicted rates. The efficient H\(_2\) production and system control can be provided by predictive control method combined with the robust BP based ANN modeling tool.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The fossil fuel dependency of energy economy today results in global warming, air pollution and environmental and health problems. Hydrogen (H\(_2\)) produced from renewable energy sources offers a clean alternative for the fossil fuels [1]. Besides uses as an energy carrier, H\(_2\) has multiple uses in industrial applications, such as production of lower molecular weight compounds, saturation of compounds, cracking of hydrocarbons or removal of sulfur and nitrogen compounds, O\(_2\) scavenger to prevent corrosion and oxidation, and coolant in electrical generators [2]. Today, several techniques for sustainable H\(_2\)-production exist including microbiological fermentation processes [3].

Microbiological dark fermentation, involving mixed microbial cultures, can be used to produce H\(_2\) from biomass or organic waste materials [4], [5]. H\(_2\) production is an intermediate step in the anaerobic degradation of organic material. H\(_2\) is produced in order to maintain the electron balance in the anaerobic system [6]. The gases (H\(_2\) and CO\(_2\)) and organic acids such as acetate, butyrate, propionate and valerate, and alcohols (e.g. ethanol) are the end products of the bioprocess [6]-[8]. In this study, input and monitoring parameters and end-products (organic acids, alcohols) and biomass concentrations have been used to predict H\(_2\) production rate.

It is very important to predict H\(_2\) production rates by a comprehensive model for the design, monitoring and management of biohydrogen producing bioreactors. ANN models may be successfully applied in biohydrogen production systems and are very effective in capturing the nonlinear relationships existing between variables (multi-input/output) in complex system like biohydrogen production. This study aims at using ANN capabilities to predict H\(_2\) production rates in completely stirred tank reactor (CSTR) and thereby managing the bioreactor. The proposed ANN based-model predicts H\(_2\) production rate from hydraulic retention time (HRT), recycle ratio, sucrose concentration, sucrose degradation, biomass concentrations, pH, alkalinity, oxidation-reduction potential (ORP), ethanol, acetate, propionate and butyrate concentrations.

Recently, numerous studies have reported the use of ANN models in environmental engineering applications [9]-[14] which were successively applied and excellent match obtained by this robust tool, yet most of these models are applicable to only output data prediction. In addition, there are several works for controlling of complex bioprocess and biosystems in environmental and industrial applications [15]-[17]. For this work, it was hypothesized that a method can be developed that can propose the predictive control method of H\(_2\) production rate by combining BP based ANN model predictions. Thus the purpose of this study was to evaluate and develop a combined BP based control predictive method for H\(_2\)-fermenting systems.
II. EXPERIMENTAL

A. Completely Stirred Tank Reactor (CSTR)

The CSTR placed in a water-bath tank (Fig. 1), with a working volume of 4.0 L, was operated for 15 months at 35±1°C. The seed sludge was obtained from Li-Ming Municipal Sewage Treatment Plant (Taichung, Taiwan). The collected sludge was screened with a No. 8 mesh (diam. 2.35 mm) and was preheated at 100°C for 45 minutes to inhibit methanogen or other microorganisms’ bioactivity. The seed sludge was acclimated with sucrose at a concentration of 20 g COD/L in a growth medium consisting of [18] (mg/L): NH₄HCO₃ 5240, K₂HPO₄ 125, MgCl₂·6H₂O 100, MnSO₄·6H₂O 15, FeSO₄·7H₂O 25, CuSO₄·5H₂O 5, CoCl₂·5H₂O 0.125, NaHCO₃ 6720. The substrate was stored at 4°C.

Fig. 1 Configuration of an aerobic completely stirred tank reactor (CSTR) for continuous H₂ production

Initial CSTR operation was in a continuous feeding mode and hydraulic retention time (HRT) was 12 h. The CSTR pH was controlled and maintained at around 6.7 which was found to be favorable for hydrogen production [19], [20]. When a steady-state condition was reached and the desired data were obtained the recycle ratio or substrate concentration or HRT was reduced. At each run, the CSTR was operated for more than ten times of the HRT to develop a steady-state condition. Steady-state conditions reached when the product concentrations such hydrogen gas content, biogas volume and metabolite concentrations were stable (less than 10 % variation). For each steady-state data measurement, 6-10 samples were analyzed.

Table I shows the experimental stages of this study. The CSTR was routinely monitored for pH, alkalinity, oxidation-reduction potential (ORP), gas production and composition, sucrose concentration, ethanol concentration, volatile fatty acid (VFA) distribution and volatile suspended solids (VSS) concentrations. The gas volumes were corrected to a standard temperature (0°C) and pressure (760mmHg).

B. Bioprocess Monitoring Analyses

The mixed liquors sampled were centrifuged (900 g, 15 min) and the supernatants were taken for metabolite analysis. VFA and ethanol were analyzed with a gas chromatograph having a flame ionization detector (Shimadze GC-14, Japan). Biogas volume was determined by a gas meter (Ritter, Germany). Biogas composition except hydrogen sulfide was analyzed with a gas chromatograph having and a thermal conductivity detector (China Chromatograph 8700T, Taiwan). Hydrogen sulfide gas was analyzed with a gas chromatograph having a flame photometric detector (Shimadze GC-14, Japan).

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reactor operation</th>
<th>HRT (h)</th>
<th>Sucrose concentration (g COD/L)</th>
<th>Recycle ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stage I</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage II</td>
<td>12, 8, 6, 4, 2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage III</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>20, 25, 32, 40</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this case, H₂ production rate is the most important control parameter and selected as output parameter in CSTR system because of energy recovery and process performance. The main difficulties in control of biological process are the variability of the kinetic parameters, especially in anaerobic
fermentation system bioprocesses consisting of several steps. Further, one step may be limiting factor for the other steps, such as time-varying influent wastewater characteristics and non-linearity. Hence adaptive and non-linear controller is the excellent choice for biological process control. Due to their impressive capability in dealing with severe non-linearity and uncertainty of a system, the application of neural network method for the design of controllers is promising. In the present study, twelve input variables were used for robust prediction of \( \text{H}_2 \) production. This study is aimed at obtaining a proper \( \text{H}_2 \) production control in the complex biological system by proposing a method based on BP algorithms with the NN and model predictive method (Fig. 2). This method is based on the receding horizon technique. The neural network model predicts the plant response over a specified time horizon; where \( N_1 \), \( N_2 \) and \( N_u \) define the horizons over which the tracking error and the control increments are evaluated. The \( u' \) variable is the tentative control signal, \( y_r \) is desired response and \( y_m \) is the network model response. The \( \rho \) value determines the contribution that the sum of squares of the control increments has on the performance index (Matlab 7 Toolbox).

The diagram in Fig. 2 shows the model predictive control process. The controller consists of the NN plant model and the optimization block. The optimization block determines the value of \( u' \) that minimize \( J \), and then the optimal \( u \) is input to the plant. This method consist of three steps including optimization, BP based ANN model and reactor system. To optimize the \( \text{H}_2 \) production rate with this method, CSTR system can be operated with the optimum operational conditions (inputs) such as recycle ratio, HRT, pH, alkalinity, and sucrose concentration. In this system, if the \( \text{H}_2 \) production is under desired level, input parameters (HRT, pH, etc.) can be controlled by this controller, and relevant actions concerning CSTR can be taken.

In this study, a two-layer neural network was used, with a tan-sigmoid (tansig) transfer function at the hidden layer and a linear transfer function (purelin) at the output layer within NN predictive controller (Fig. 3). Tansig is a hyperbolic tangent sigmoid transfer function calculating a layer's output from its net input. \( \text{tansig}(N) \) calculates its output according to: \( n = \frac{2}{1+\exp(-2*n)}-1 \). Purelin transfer functions calculate a layer's output from its net input. In the present study, NN has 12 input and one output parameters (Fig. 3).

### A. Selection of BP Algorithm

Thirteen BP algorithms were compared to select the best fitting BP algorithm of the data. For all algorithms, a two-layer network, with a tansig transfer function at the hidden layer and a linear transfer function (purelin) at the output layer within NN predictive controller (Fig. 3). Tansig is a hyperbolic tangent sigmoid transfer function calculating a layer's output from its net input. \( \text{tansig}(N) \) calculates its output according to: \( n = \frac{2}{1+\exp(-2*n)}-1 \). Purelin transfer functions calculate a layer's output from its net input. In the present study, NN has 12 input and one output parameters (Fig. 3).
**Fig. 3** Optimal neural network structure for prediction of H₂ production rates

**Table II**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Backpropagation Training algorithms (MATLAB function in quotes)</th>
<th>MSE</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>Iteration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resilient (Rprop) 'trainrp'</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.915</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One step secant 'trainoss'</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>0.906</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Powell–Beale conjugate gradient 'traincgb'</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>0.904</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BFGS quasi-Newton 'trainfg'</td>
<td>0.101</td>
<td>0.903</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fletcher–Powell conjugate gradient 'traincgf'</td>
<td>0.096</td>
<td>0.901</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gradient descent with momentum and adaptive learning rate 'traindx'</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>0.899</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levenberg–Marquardt 'trainlm'</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.897</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scaled conjugate gradient 'trainscg'</td>
<td>0.097</td>
<td>0.896</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polak–Ribiere conjugate gradient 'traincgp'</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>0.893</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gradient descent with adaptive learning rate 'traingda'</td>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>0.889</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gradient descent 'traingd'</td>
<td>0.324</td>
<td>0.777</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gradient descent with momentum 'traingdm'</td>
<td>0.328</td>
<td>0.757</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Batch training with weight and bias learning rules 'trainb'</td>
<td>0.424</td>
<td>0.722</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

algorithm, was with a minimum training error (0.0438) and a maximum R (0.92), the Resilient back-propagation (trainrp) algorithm.

**B. Optimization of Neural Network Structure**

Neuron numbers and relevant performance of the Resilient BP algorithm are shown in Fig. 4. To optimize the neuron number, between 5 to 30 neuron numbers were run ten times with the increments of five. Thereafter, the mean-squared error (MSE), R and iteration numbers were separately evaluated for the neuron numbers. With increasing neuron numbers, MSE decreased for the training set. However, increasing neuron numbers to more than 20 caused an unrealistic result, and a significant change over fitting occurred. With more than 20 neurons, the mean squared error begins to increase (Fig. 4); therefore, the optimal neuron number for Resilient Back-propagation algorithm is 20.

The optimal neural network structure for the H₂ prediction is given in Fig. 3: a two-layer network, with a tan-sigmoid transfer function at the hidden layer with 20 neurons and a linear transfer function at the output layer. A regression analysis of the network response between the output and the corresponding target was performed. For the output, one regression was determined (Fig. 5). Taking into account the nonlinear dependency of the data, the output seems to track the targets reasonably well. The R value is 0.91 and the obtained MSE value is 0.085 ± 0.01.
training stopped after 30 iterations because the validation error started to increase (Fig. 6).

The performance of the H₂ production rates in CSTR predicted by the model is visualized for experimental data in Fig. 7. There is very good agreement in the trends between forecasted and measured data. This result is reasonable, since the test set error and the validation set error have similar characteristics, and it does not appear that any significant change over fitting has occurred.

Fig. 4 Neuron number optimization with Resilient (Rprop) ‘trainrp’ BP algorithm. Selected neuron number ‘20’ gives best performance with MSE and R. Trainrp can train any network as long as its weight, net input, and transfer functions have derivative functions. Training stops when any of these conditions occur: The maximum number of epochs (repetitions) is reached; the maximum amount of time has been exceeded; performance has been minimized to the goal; the performance gradient falls below min grad; and validation performance has increased more than max fail times since the last time it decreased.

Fig. 5 Linear regression between the network outputs and the corresponding targets for output of Resilient (Rprop) back-propagation algorithm. (A: Measured, T: Predicted)

Fig. 6 Training, validation and test mean square errors for Resilient (Rprop) back-propagation algorithm

Fig. 7 Predicted and experimentally measured H₂ production rates (solid line: predicted, dashed line: experimental measurements)
IV. CONCLUSION

ANNs can be successfully used to predict $\text{H}_2$ production rate from HRT, recycle ratio, sucrose concentration, sucrose degradation, biomass concentrations, pH, alkalinity, oxidation-reduction potential (ORP), ethanol, acetate, propionate and butyrate concentrations. The ANNs effectively captured nonlinear relationships existing between operational and monitoring variables in a complex multi-input/output system. The proposed ANN based model reliably predicts $\text{H}_2$ production rates and can be used as a predictive controller for management and operation of large scale $\text{H}_2$-fermenting systems.
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