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\textbf{Abstract}—Water pollution assessment problems arise frequently in environmental science. In this research, a finite difference method for solving the one-dimensional steady convection-diffusion equation with variable coefficients is proposed; it is then used to optimize water treatment costs.
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\section{I. INTRODUCTION}

In \cite{3} and \cite{6}, the finite element method for solving the water pollution models in one- and two-dimensional water areas are presented, respectively. In \cite{4} and \cite{5}, they used the finite difference method to the hydrodynamic model with constant coefficients in the uniform reservoir and stream, respectively.

This paper describes a mathematical model for solving the dispersion of pollutant in a stream. A finite difference method for assessment of the chemical oxygen demand (COD) concentration in a stream is considered. This model requires the calculation of the substance dispersion given the water velocity in the stream. A finite difference model is used to compute the concentration of the pollutant for variable inputs. These are then subjected to the optimal control of the water treatment plants to achieve minimum cost. A numerical example given.

\section{II. DISPERSION IN A STREAM}

The dispersion of COD is described by the advection-dispersion-reaction equation (ADRE) \cite{2} in the domain [\(a, b\)],

\[-D_e \frac{d^2 c}{dx^2} + uc \frac{dc}{dx} + Rc - Q = 0\]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where \(c(x)\) is the concentration of COD at the point \(x \in [a, b]\) (kg/m\(^3\)), \(u\) is the flow velocity in the \(x\)-direction (m/s), \(D_e\) is the diffusion coefficient (m\(^2\)/s), \(R\) is the substance decay rate (s\(^{-1}\)) and \(Q\) is the rate of change of substance concentration due to a source (kg/m\(^3\).s). The boundary conditions are \(c = c_0\) at \(x = a\) and \(\frac{dc}{dx} = t_0\) at \(x = b\).
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\section{III. A NUMERICAL TECHNIQUE}

Consider Eq.\((1)\) in a form

\[c'' = p(x)c' + q(x)c + r(x)\]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

First, we select an integer \(N > 0\) and divide the interval \([a, b]\) into \(N + 1\) equal subintervals, whose endpoints are the mesh points \(x_i = a + ih\), for all \(i = 1, 2, \ldots, N + 1\), where \(h = \frac{b-a}{N+1}\). At the interior mesh points, \(x_i\) for all \(i = 1, 2, \ldots, N\), the differential equation to be approximated is

\[c''(x_i) = p(x_i)c'(x_i) + q(x_i)c(x_i) + r(x_i)\]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Expanding \(y\) in a third Taylor polynomial about \(x_i\) evaluated at \(x_{i-1}\) and \(x_{i+1}\), we have

\[c(x_{i+1}) = c(x_i + h) = c(x_i) + hc'(x_i) + \frac{h^2}{2}c''(x_i) + \frac{h^3}{6}c'''(x_i) + \frac{h^4}{24}c^{(4)}(\xi_1)\]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

for some \(\xi_1 \in (x_i, x_{i+1})\), and

\[c(x_{i-1}) = c(x_i - h) = c(x_i) - hc'(x_i) + \frac{h^2}{2}c''(x_i) - \frac{h^3}{6}c'''(x_i) + \frac{h^4}{24}c^{(4)}(\xi_2)\]  \hspace{1cm} (5)

for some \(\xi_2 \in (x_{i-1}, x_i)\), assuming \(c \in C^4[x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}]\). If these equations are added, the terms involving \(c'(x_i)\) and \(c'''(x_i)\) are eliminated and simple algebraic manipulation gives

\[c''(x_i) = \frac{1}{h^2}[c(x_{i+1}) - 2c(x_i) + c(x_{i-1}) - \frac{h^2}{12}[c^{(4)}(\xi_1) + c^{(4)}(\xi_2)]\]  \hspace{1cm} (6)

The intermediate value theorem can be used to simplify this even further

\[c''(x_i) = \frac{1}{h^2}[c(x_{i+1}) - 2c(x_i) + c(x_{i-1}) - \frac{h^2}{12}[c^{(4)}(\xi_3)]]\]  \hspace{1cm} (7)

for some \(\xi_3 \in (x_{i-1}, x_{i+1})\). A centered-difference formula for \(y'(x_i)\) is obtained in a similar manner resulting in

\[c'(x_i) = \frac{1}{2h}[c(x_{i+1}) - c(x_{i-1}) - \frac{h^2}{6}[c''(\eta_1)]]\]  \hspace{1cm} (8)

for some \(\eta_1 \in (x_{i-1}, x_{i+1})\). The use of these centered-difference formulas in Eqs.\((7)-(8)\) results in the equation

\[\begin{align*}
\frac{c(x_{i+1}) - 2c(x_i) + c(x_{i-1})}{h^2} &= p(x_i)[c(x_{i+1}) - c(x_{i-1})] \\
+ q(x_i)c(x_i) + r(x_i) - \frac{h^2}{12}[2p(x_i)c''(\eta_1) - c^{(4)}(\xi_3)]
\end{align*}\]  \hspace{1cm} (9)

A finite difference method with truncation error of order \(O(h^2)\) results by using the Eq.\((9)\) together with the boundary
conditions \( c(a) = c_0 \) and a Neumann boundary condition \( c'(b) = t_0 \) become [1]

\[
c_{N+1} = 2ht_0 + c_{N-1}.
\]

Using the central difference method, we can obtain

\[
\left( \frac{2c_i - c_{i+1} - 2c_{i-1}}{h^2} + p(x_i)\left[ \frac{c_{i+1} - c_{i-1}}{2h} \right] + q(x_i)c_i \right) = -r(x_i),
\]

\[
-(1 + \frac{h}{2} p(x_i)c_{i-1}) + (2 + h^2 q(x_i))c_i - (1 - \frac{h}{2} p(x_i))c_{i+1} = -h^2 c_i(x_i),
\]

and the resulting system of equation is expressed in the tridiagonal \( N \times N \)-matrix form

\[
[K][c] = [G],
\]

where

\[
\{c\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ \vdots \\ c_{N-1} \\ c_N \end{array} \right\},
\]

\[
\{G\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} -h^2 r(x_1) + \left( (1 + \frac{h}{2} p(x_1))c_0 \right) \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ -h^2 r(x_N) + \left( (-1 + \frac{h}{2} p(x_N)) (2ht_0) \right) \end{array} \right\}.
\]

The entries of \( [K] \) are \( k_{ij} \) for each \( 1 \leq i, j \leq N \) where \( N \) is the number of nodes. We apply the boundary condition to Eq.(13), to give

\[
k_{\alpha \alpha} = 1,
\]

\[
g_\alpha = c_\alpha
\]

where \( \alpha \) indicates any inflow node and \( c_\alpha \) is the corresponding given value. We denote the corresponding matrices by \( K^0 \) and \( G^0 \), respectively. Let \( B \) be the inverse matrix of \( K^0 \). Then

\[
\{\tilde{c}\} = [B]\{G^0\},
\]

and we obtain

\[
\tilde{c}_i = \sum_{j=1}^{N} b_{ij} f_j,
\]

where \( 1 \leq i \leq N \).

IV. Optimal control of cost

Let \( x_\beta \) be the observation nodes and \( r_\alpha \) be the COD concentration that is removed at inflow points. It follows that \( c_\alpha - r_\alpha \) is the concentration of the pollutant after partial purification. Then

\[
\tilde{c}_\beta = b_{\beta 1} g_1 + \ldots + b_{\beta \alpha} (g_\alpha - r_\alpha) + \ldots + b_{\beta N} g_N.
\]

Let \( C_{ST} \) be the standard COD concentration. The water quality \( \tilde{c}_\beta \) must be at or below the standard water quality. That is,

\[
\tilde{c}_\beta = \sum_{i=1}^{m} b_{\beta i} g_i + \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{\beta \alpha_j} (g_\alpha_j - r_\alpha) \leq C_{ST}
\]

where \( m \) is the number of observation points and \( n \) is the number of inflow points (\( N = m + n \)). The objective function (11) \( J \) is the cost of wastewater treatment in the system, so

\[
J(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \omega_j r_{\alpha j},
\]

where \( \omega_j \) is the cost of wastewater treatment for the required reduction of the COD concentration. The constraints are

\[
\tilde{c}_\beta = \sum_{i=1}^{m} b_{\beta i} g_i + \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{\beta \alpha_j} (g_\alpha_j - r_\alpha) \leq C_{ST},
\]

the upper bound of the control (treatment plant) is

\[
r_{\alpha j} \leq u_{\alpha j},
\]

the lower bound of the control (treatment plant) is

\[
r_{\alpha j} \geq l_{\alpha j}
\]

and the controls are non-negative, that is

\[
r_{\alpha j} \geq 0,
\]

where \( l_{\alpha j} \) and \( u_{\alpha j} \) are the lower and upper bounds respectively of the points control variables. The optimal control problem is solved by the simplex method.

V. NUMERICAL EXAMPLE

Assume that there are plants A,B and C which discharge wastewater into the stream at 0.0 km, 0.4 km and 0.8 km. and that the COD concentrations of the wastewater are 1.2500, 1.4918 and 1.9312 \text{mg/l}, respectively. The physical parameters are: diffusion coefficient \( 2 \text{ m}^2/\text{s} \), flow velocity \( u(x) = 5 - x \text{ m/s} \), substance decay rate \( 3 \text{ day}^{-1} \) and rate of change of substance concentration due to a source \( 1 \text{ mg/l.day} \). The legal requirement is that the plant has to decrease the COD concentration in the wastewater to less than 0.1 \text{mg/l} in the stretch from the plant A to a point 2.0 km. downstream from A. At the observation points the COD concentration must be less than 1.2000 \text{mg/l}. Plants A,B and C are capable of treating the wastewater, so that the COD concentration is not greater than 1.0, 1.0 and 1.0 \text{mg/l}, respectively. The costs of wastewater treatment for the reduction by 1 \text{mg/l} of COD concentration are 400, 600 and 720 Euro for plants A, B and C, respectively. It turns out that the least cost of wastewater treatment is 813 Euro in this case.

VI. CONCLUSION

We have established a simulation process by means of which water pollution levels can be reduced to an agreed standard at least cost.
\[ K = \begin{bmatrix}
2 + h^2 q(x_1) & -(1 - \frac{h}{2} p(x_1)) \\
-(1 + \frac{h}{2} p(x_2)) & 2 + h^2 q(x_2) & -(1 - \frac{h}{2} p(x_2)) \\
-(1 + \frac{h}{2} p(x_3)) & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
-2p(x_N) & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 2 + h^2 q(x_N)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(14)

**TABLE I**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Node</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unpurified Inflow</td>
<td>1.2500</td>
<td>1.4918</td>
<td>1.9312</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purified Inflow</td>
<td>1.1500</td>
<td>0.6978</td>
<td>1.1838</td>
<td>1.3231</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observations</td>
<td>1.1500</td>
<td>0.6978</td>
<td>1.1838</td>
<td>1.2000</td>
<td>1.3231</td>
<td>1.2000</td>
<td>1.0909</td>
<td>0.9963</td>
<td>0.9184</td>
<td>0.8619</td>
<td>0.8368</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE II**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant</th>
<th>Optimal Reduction of COD Concentration (mg/l)</th>
<th>Optimal Cost of Reduction (Euro)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.1000</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.5580</td>
<td>335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.6081</td>
<td>438</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum cost (Euro)</td>
<td>813</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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