Abstract—Grid computing is a form of distributed computing that involves coordinating and sharing computational power, data storage and network resources across dynamic and geographically dispersed organizations. Scheduling onto the Grid is NP-complete, so there is no best scheduling algorithm for all grid computing systems. An alternative is to select an appropriate scheduling algorithm to use in a given grid environment because of the characteristics of the tasks, machines and network connectivity. Job and resource scheduling is one of the key research area in grid computing. The goal of scheduling is to achieve highest possible system throughput and to match the application need with the available computing resources. Motivation of the survey is to encourage the amateur researcher in the field of grid computing, so that they can understand easily the concept of scheduling and can contribute in developing more efficient scheduling algorithm. This will benefit interested researchers to carry out further work in this thrust area of research.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Computational Grid is a new trend in distributed computing systems. They allow the management of heterogeneous, geographically distributed and dynamically available resources in an efficient way, extending the boundaries of what we perceive as distributed computing. For running applications, resource management and job scheduling are the most crucial problems in grid computing systems. In recent years, the researchers have proposed several efficient scheduling algorithms that are used in grid computing to allocate grid resources with a special emphasis on job scheduling. With further development of grid technology, it is very likely that corporations, universities and public institutions will exploit grids to enhance their computing infrastructure. This paper is organized as follows: Section II describes the concept of a basic grid model in brief with its vital components.

Section III presents a survey of several proposed resource and job scheduling algorithms in grid computing. Section IV provides an analysis and a parameter wise comparison and simulation result among all the surveyed papers. Section V presents conclusion of this paper and future work and lastly the references.

II. BASIC GRID MODEL

The basic grid model generally composed of a number of hosts, each composed of several computational resources, which may be homogeneous or heterogeneous. The four basic building blocks of grid model are user, resource broker, grid information service (GIS) and lastly resources. When user requires high speed execution, the job is submitted to the broker in grid. Broker splits the job into various tasks and distributes to several resources according to user’s requirements and availability of resources. GIS keeps the status information of all resources which helps the broker for scheduling.

III. JOB AND RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ALGORITHMS IN GRID COMPUTING

Job scheduling: Job scheduling is the mapping of jobs to specific physical resources, trying to minimize some cost function specified by the user. This is a NP-complete problem and different heuristics may be used to reach an optimal or near optimal solution. Effective computation and job
scheduling is rapidly becoming one of the main challenges in grid computing and is seen as being vital for its success.


Description: Highest Response Next Scheduling [6] provides more responses with time, memory and CPU requirements. Here, jobs are allotted to number of processors based on job’s priority and processor’s capability. This scheme is adaptive for local jobs and remote jobs without any loss of performance and also highly adaptive for grid environment.

Advantages:
1) HRN with priority will effectively utilize the available resource and complete all the jobs quickly than FCFS.
2) It corrects some of the weakness of both Shortest Job First (SJF) and First Come First Serve (FCFS).

Disadvantages:
1) It is not suitable for more number of jobs allocations because finding priority of job is tedious one.
2) Higher turnaround time.
3) CPU and memory wastage.


Description: The Optimal Resource Constraint algorithm [7] allocates the jobs according to processor’s capability. It applies best fit algorithm followed by Round Robin (RR) scheduling which distributes the jobs among the available processors. ORC is compared with different algorithms like FCFS, SJF and RR. The comparison shows that ORC gives better performance than other algorithms in terms of turnaround time and average waiting time. It improves the efficiency of load balancing and dynamicity capability of the grid resources.

Advantages:
1) It overcomes the problem of FCFS and HRN scheduling policy as it is suitable for more number of jobs.
2) It helps to minimize the complexity of process allocation, reduces the turnaround time and average waiting time of jobs in the queue.
3) It avoids starvation problem.

Disadvantage:
1) High communication overhead.

C. Hierarchical Job Scheduling for Clusters of Workstations (HJS).

Description: The scheduling model is based on a hierarchical approach [8] using two level scheduling consisting of top level (global scheduling) and local level. The global scheduler uses single or separate queue for different type of the jobs for scheduling with the FCFS, SJF or First Fit (FF) policy. The local scheduler uses only one queue for all types of jobs with any one policy FCFS, SJF or FF. The global scheduler has a number of functions. One of these is matching of the resources requested by a job to those available in the participating clusters. Another is to obtain the best utilization of the available clusters. The local scheduler is responsible for scheduling jobs to a specific resource. At both levels, the schedulers strive to maintain a good load balance.

Advantages:
1) It tries to reduce overall turnaround time and maximize system utilization for high system loads.
2) For high system loads it uses multi queue to maintain the delay of job scheduling at global level.

Disadvantages:
1) SJF can result in extreme delays for long running jobs and also it is strongly biased against large jobs, so there may be starvation problems.
2) There may be a chance of underutilization of grid resources.
3) This algorithm does not consider the dynamic behavior of the grid resources.

D. Resource CoAllocation for Scheduling Tasks with Dependencies in grid (RCSTD).

Description: The CoAllocation scheduling algorithm [9] provides a strategy for scheduling the tasks with dependencies in grid environment. The algorithm applies on both inside and across the clusters. Every step combines or merge the clusters (tasks inside the cluster or clusters across the cluster) based on the dependencies between the combined clusters. Thus these clusters are combined if any dependencies exist between current and previous cluster.

The main goal of the algorithm is to improve efficiency in terms of load balancing and minimum time for the execution of the tasks.

Advantages:
1) Minimize Execution Time of the Task.
2) The algorithm has a dynamic nature because inside a cluster the tasks are allocated to the suitable resource on which it can be scheduled at the earliest time.
3) Due to the decentralized strategy that CoAllocation uses, the method is more reliable than a centralized one for being less subject to single point of failure.
4) This scheduling algorithm obtains good load balancing among all the resources of the system in terms of number of tasks scheduled on each resource.

Disadvantages:
1) More Communication overhead inside and across the clusters.
2) It has not specified the requirements of a task.

E. Scheduling Framework for Bandwidth-Aware Job Grouping-Based scheduling in grid computing (SFBAJG).

Description: The Bandwidth-aware scheduling [10] schedules jobs in grid systems by taking into consideration of their computational capabilities and the communication capabilities of the resources. It uses network bottleneck bandwidth of resources to determine the priority of each resource. The job grouping approach is also used in the framework where the scheduler retrieves information of the resources processing capability. The scheduler selects the first resource and groups independent fine-grained jobs together based on chosen resources processing capability. These jobs are grouped in such a way to maximize the utilization of the resources. After grouping all the jobs sends
to the corresponding resources whose connection can be finished earlier which implies that the smallest request issued through the fastest connection giving best transmission rate or bandwidth.

Advantages:
1) Minimizing the wastage of CPU power.
2) Grouping the jobs fine-grained into grouping fine-grained (coarse-grained) which will reduce the network latencies.
3) It reduces the total processing time.

Disadvantages:
1) It does not consider memory size constraint.
2) It does not take dynamic resource characteristics.
3) Preprocessing time for job grouping and resource selection is high.

F. Grouping-based Fine-grained Job Scheduling in grid computing (GFJS).

Description: The grouping strategy in job scheduling model [11] is based on characteristics of resources. The fine-grained jobs grouped into forming coarse-grained are allocated to the available resources according to their processing capabilities in MIPS and bandwidth in Mb/s. The grouping algorithm integrates Greedy algorithm and FCFS algorithm to improve the processing of Fine-grained jobs.

Advantages:
1) The total overhead of fine-grained job scheduling can be reduced by grouping the light weight jobs during the scheduling process for deployment over the resources.
2) Algorithm maximizes the utilization of the resource.
3) The model reduces the execution time of jobs.
4) It reduces the network latency.
5) It reduces the total processing time.

Disadvantage:
1) It does not consider memory size constraint.
2) Preprocessing time of job grouping is high.

G. A Job Schedule Model Based on grid environment (JSMB).

Description: A Job schedule model based on Maximum Processor Utilization and Throughput (MPUT) scheduling algorithm which maximizes CPU utilization and throughput at the same time and minimizes turnaround time. Grid nodes are divided into Supervisor grid node (S0), Supervisor backup node (B1), and Execute grid nodes (Xi) [12].

Advantages:
1) It uses backup node at the condition of failure of the supervisor node so it provides reliability with good load balance.
2) It maximizes CPU utilization and throughput at the same time.
3) Minimizes turnaround time.

Disadvantages:
1) High Communication overhead.
2) It does not consider any constraints of jobs and resources.

Resource Scheduling: The grid resource scheduling process can be defined as the process of matching a query for resources, described in terms of required characteristics, to a set of resources that meet the expressed requirements. To make information available to users quickly and reliably, an effective and efficient resource scheduling mechanism is crucial. [1]-[5]. Generally grid resources are potentially very large in number with various individual resources that are not centrally controlled. These resources can enter as well as leave the grid systems at any time. For these reasons resource scheduling in large-scale grids can be very challenging.


Description: This scheduling model is based on Heap Sort Tree (HST) [13] for computing the available computational power of the nodes (resource) as well as whole grid system. Here the resource with largest available computational ability among the whole grid system is selected to be the root node of the HST and it is ready for the scheduler to submit a job. The algorithm design for job scheduling is well suitable for the complex grids environment and it is based on agents.

Advantages:
1) This algorithm makes the system more scalable, robust, fault-tolerant and high performance.
2) The job scheduling strategy may not utilize resource sufficiently.
3) Job waiting time is high.
4) It does not provide real time dynamic grid environment.

Disadvantages:
1) This algorithm is silent at the condition of job submission failure.
2) The job scheduling strategy may not utilize resource sufficiently.
3) Job waiting time is high.
4) It does not provide real time dynamic grid environment.

B. Agent Based Resource Management with Alternate Solution (ABRMAS).

Description: Agent based Resource Management with Alternate Solution [14] gives an alternate solution at the situation when resource discovery fails. Algorithm identifies an equivalent resource without affecting the performance and it also avoids unnecessary resource discovery. Sometimes resource discovery is done for time bound task and required resource is unavailable at that situation. Alternate solution reduces delay overhead in waiting for the unavailable resource and enhances the system’s efficiency. Implementation result shows the system success rate is 30% higher with alternate solution.

Advantages:
1) It limits and steer the search towards the anticipated result and provide efficient resource discovery.
2) Useful in both cases when discovery fails and more than one solution proposal offered.

Disadvantages:
1) For large agent hierarchy proposal’s invitations may be restricted to sub hierarchy.
2) It is not explicit.
C. New Resource Mechanism with Negotiate Solution based on agent in grid environments (NRMNS).

Description: Agent Based Resource Management with Negotiate Solution gives an alternate solution [15] at the situation of resource discovery failure. Algorithm adds the middleware Grid Architecture for Computational Economy (GRACE) with Resource Pricing Fluctuation Manager (RPFM) into ABRMAS in order to improve the efficiency of the resource management scheduling allocation in Grid Computing. The feedback model plays a very important role in the agent-based system when resource discovery failed for cost bound.

Advantages:
1) The resource provider can get the maximum investment profit.
2) Feedback capability of RPFM is used to adapt the highly dynamic grid environment.
3) Simulation result shows successful rate of resource discovery increases by about 10%.

Disadvantage:
1) The resource discovery is aborted when the RPA (resource provider agent) refuses to decrease the cost of the resource; this one is the major drawback.

D. Improved Resource discovery approach using P2P model for condor (IRP2P).

Description: IRP2P is a grid middleware. It is a decentralized technique [16] which opposes traditional client-server model. Goal of the model is to improve performance of condor middleware. Proposed hybrid model uses four axis frameworks in P2P approach. Each framework overcome some limitations of condor middleware and makes it more reliable, robust and scalable. By implementing membership protocol, network communication is easy and using overlay construction algorithm interprocess communication is also allowed which is restricted in condor.

Advantages:
1) Independence from central global control.
2) Fast discovery of resources using DHTs and indexing concept.
3) Scalability.
4) Support for intermittent resource participation.

Disadvantages:
1) Need to have strong self organization capabilities in order to be able to maintain their rigid structure.
2) High maintenance cost in the presence of high churn.


Description: The System is based on loosely coupled concept. Virtual Computing Grid means the system can choose a resource and allocate tasks to it. Here, it is a single point web based access known as Virtual Computing Grid Portal and the Virtual Computing Grid Monitor is a central resource manager for the System. [17].

Advantage:
1) Cost Effective model.

Disadvantages:
1) Not much Reliable because of only one central manager and single point web access.
2) Since it is cost effective solution quality of service has been play down in the prototype model.

IV. ANALYSIS

A. Analysis and Comparisons between various papers depending upon various parameters

Job Scheduling:
HRN [6] is highly adaptive in grid environment but it is not suitable for more number of jobs in homogeneous environment. Optimal Resource Constraint (ORC) scheduling algorithm [7] overcomes the FCFS and HRN algorithm problem and reduced the turnaround time as well as waiting time of the job, but there is a high communication overhead. Hierarchical job scheduling [8] reduces overall turnaround time and maximize system utilization but, there is CPU power wastage. Resource CoAllocation for scheduling tasks with dependencies algorithm [9] minimizes execution time of the task, but with high communication overhead inside and across the clusters. Scheduling framework for bandwidth-aware job group-based algorithm [10] minimizes the wastage of CPU power and reduces the network latency but with a high preprocessing time for job-grouping and resource selection. It does not consider memory-size constraint and dynamic resource characteristics and the strategy do not utilize resource sufficiently. Grouping based fine grained job scheduling algorithm [11] reduces execution time, network latency and processing time but have higher time complexity.
A job schedule model based algorithm [12] maximizes CPU utilization and minimizes turnaround time but with high communication overhead. Considering all these criteria and referring table I and simulation result, it is found that Grouping-based Fine-gained Job scheduling algorithm [GFJS] provides a near optimal job scheduling among all surveyed job scheduling algorithms.

Resource Scheduling:
### Table I

**Comparisons of Various Job and Resource Scheduling Algorithms**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter/Architecture</th>
<th>Environment</th>
<th>RT</th>
<th>RU</th>
<th>LB</th>
<th>DY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Paper</td>
<td>H/D/C</td>
<td>HE/HO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRN</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>HO</td>
<td>HI</td>
<td>HI</td>
<td>HI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORC</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>HE</td>
<td>HI</td>
<td>HI</td>
<td>HI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HJS</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>HO</td>
<td>AVG</td>
<td>HI</td>
<td>HI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCSTD</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>HE</td>
<td>AVG</td>
<td>LO</td>
<td>HI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFBAJG</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>HE</td>
<td>HI</td>
<td>LO</td>
<td>AVG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFJS</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>HE</td>
<td>HI</td>
<td>HI</td>
<td>HI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JSMB</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>HE</td>
<td>AVG</td>
<td>HI</td>
<td>HI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNDRM</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>HE</td>
<td>HI</td>
<td>HI</td>
<td>HI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABRMAS</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>HE</td>
<td>HI</td>
<td>AVG</td>
<td>HI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRMNS</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>HE</td>
<td>LO</td>
<td>AVG</td>
<td>HI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRP2P</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>HE</td>
<td>HI</td>
<td>AVG</td>
<td>HI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCGRP</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>HE</td>
<td>AVG</td>
<td>HI</td>
<td>HI</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


#### B. Experimental Result

In the simulation with help of GridSim [18], we performed scheduling experiments by setting values to the number of jobs; the number of jobs varied from 50 to 300. The processing time is recorded to analyze the feasibility of the algorithms.

**Simulation result for Job Scheduling:**

![Fig. 2 Jobs processing time](image)

**Simulation result for Resource Scheduling:**

![Fig. 3 Jobs processing time](image)

#### V. Conclusion and Future Work

In this paper, various scheduling algorithms in grid computing have been surveyed. Simulation result has shown their processing time with respect to number of jobs. A comparison on various parameters like distributed, hierarchical, centralized, response time, load balancing, resource utilization was done get feedback on different types of job and resource scheduling. The researchers can use these facts to develop better algorithms. In the above study it was found that no paper has specified memory requirement of the jobs while submitting the jobs to the selected resources. Memory requirement of a job is vital in completing the execution of jobs at the selected resources within a time bound in realizing a real grid system. Our future work will be based on the above findings to develop a more efficient algorithm for job scheduling and resource selection that will reduce the preprocessing time of jobs and considering memory constraint for resource selection.
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