Abstract—An additive fuzzy system comprising \( m \) rules with \( n \) inputs and \( p \) outputs in each rule has at least \( 122 + pnm \) parameters needing to be tuned. The system consists of a large number of if-then fuzzy rules and takes a long time to tune its parameters especially in the case of a large amount of training data samples. In this paper, a new learning strategy is investigated to cope with this obstacle. Parameters that tend toward constant values at the learning process are initially fixed and they are not tuned till the end of the learning time. Experiments based on applications of the additive fuzzy system in function approximation demonstrate that the proposed approach reduces the learning time and hence improves convergence speed considerably.
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I. THE ADDITIVE FUZZY SYSTEM AND ITS PARAMETERS

The additive fuzzy system or the so-called Standard Additive Model (SAM) is a particular type of fuzzy systems proposed by Kosko [1, 3, 5, 6].

A fuzzy system \( F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p \) stores \( m \) if-then rules and can uniformly approximate continuous and bounded measurable functions in the compact domain [2]. This approximation theorem allows any choice of if-part fuzzy sets \( A_j \subset \mathbb{R}^n \). It also allows any choice of the then-part fuzzy sets \( B_j \subset \mathbb{R}^p \) because the system uses only the centroid \( c_j \) and volume \( V_j \) of \( B_j \) to compute the output \( F(x) \) from the vector input \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \).

The fuzzy system \( F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p \) covers the graph of an approximand \( f \) with \( m \) fuzzy rule patches of the form \( A_j \times B_j \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^p \) or “If \( X = A_j \) then \( Y = B_j \)”. If-part set \( A_j \subset \mathbb{R}^n \) has joint set function \( a_j : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, 1] \) that factors: \( a_j(x) = a_1^j(x_1) \ldots a_n^j(x_n) \). Then-part fuzzy set...
$B_j \subset R^P$ has set function $b_j : R^P \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and volume (or area in this case $p = 1$) $V_j$ and centroid $c_j$. The convex weights:

$$p_j(x) = \frac{w_j a_j(x) y_j}{\sum_{k=1}^{m} w_k a_k(x) y_k}$$

(2)

give the SAM output $F(x)$ as a convex sum of then-part set centroids.

Fig. 1 shows the parallel structure of the additive systems and its state-space graph cover. The graph cover leads to an exponential rule explosion. [11, 12] proposed using metrical joint unfactorable fuzzy sets based on metric and matrix knowledge to partly overcome this drawback. A fuzzy system needs on the order of $k^{n+p+1}$ rules to approximate a function $f : R^n \rightarrow R^P$ in a compact domain. Optimal rules cover extrema and can help allocate a spare-rule budget in high dimensions [4]. Learning tends to move the rule patches toward the extrema or “bumps” and fill in with rule patches between bumps. Supervised learning tunes the parameters of the if-part set functions and also tunes the then-part volumes and centroids.

The choice of fuzzy set functions [7] affects how well fuzzy systems approximate functions. The most common fuzzy sets are triangles, trapezoids and Gaussian bell curves. The sinc set function $\frac{\sin(x)}{x}$ that gave the best and fastest function approximation in most cases [10] is chosen for experiments in this research. The $j^{th}$ sinc set function (Fig. 2) centered at $m_j$ and width $d_j > 0$ is defined as

$$a_j(x) = \sin \left( \frac{x - m_j}{d_j} \right) \left/ \left( \frac{x - m_j}{d_j} \right) \right.$$  

(3)

In each if-then fuzzy rule, there are the following parameters: the weight of the rule ($w_j$), the volume ($V_j$) and centroid ($c_j$) of the then-part, and the parameters of if-part set function. Depending on the shape of fuzzy sets chosen, the number of parameters of the if-part set functions is different. For instance, the triangle set function has three parameters (left, centre and right), the trapezoid set function has four parameters (left, left-centre, right-centre, right) or the general bell curves have two parameters (mean and variance). A sinc function (Equation 3.) has two parameters: centre $m_j$ and width $d_j$. So, an additive fuzzy system storing $m$ if-then rules with $n$ inputs and $p$ outputs has at least of the order of $m(2n + 2p + 1)$ parameters needing to be tuned during a supervised learning process.

II. SUPERVISED LEARNING OF FUZZY RULES

Fuzzy rule parameters are tuned by the supervised learning process [3, 8, 9]. The supervised gradient descent can tune all the parameters in the SAM model. We seek to minimize the squared error

$$E(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left( f(x) - F(x) \right)^2$$  

(4)

of the function approximation. The vector function $f : R^n \rightarrow R^P$ has components $f(x) = (f_1(x), ..., f_P(x))^T$ and so does the vector function $F$. Let $\xi_k^j$ denote the $k^{th}$ parameter in the set function $a_j$. Then the chain rule gives the gradient of the error function with respect to $\xi_k^j$, with respect to the then-part set centroid $c_j = (c_{j1}, ..., c_{jp})^T$, and with respect to the then-part set volume $V_j$.
A gradient descent learning law for a SAM parameter $\xi$ has the form:

$$\xi(t+1) = \xi(t) - \mu \frac{\partial E}{\partial \xi}$$

(5)

where $\mu$ is the learning rate at iteration $t$.

Details of learning laws for parameters of an additive fuzzy systems are as the following equations where $e(x) = -\frac{\partial E}{\partial F} = f(x) - F(x)$

- Rule weights:
  $$w_j(t+1) = w_j(t) + \mu e(x_j) \frac{p_j(x)}{w_j}$$

(6)

- Centroids of then-parts:
  $$c_j(t+1) = c_j(t) + \mu e(x_j)p_j(x)$$

(7)

- Volumes of then-parts:
  $$V_j(t+1) = V_j(t) + \mu e(x_j) \frac{p_j(x)}{V_j}$$

(8)

Where the $sinc$ set function is used, learning laws for its parameters are:

- Centres of if-parts:
  $$m_j(t+1) = m_j(t) + \mu e(x_j) \frac{c_j(x) - F(x)}{a_j(x)} \times$$

$$\left( a_j(x) - \cos \left( \frac{x - m_j}{d_j} \right) \right) \frac{1}{x - m_j}$$

(9)

- Widths of if-parts:
  $$d_j(t+1) = d_j(t) + \mu e(x_j) \frac{c_j(x) - F(x)}{a_j(x)} \times$$

$$\left( a_j(x) - \cos \left( \frac{x - m_j}{d_j} \right) \right) \frac{1}{d_j}$$

(10)

It is easy to realize that a large computational demand needs to be overcome during supervised learning process of fuzzy systems. Therefore, a new scheme rather than conventional approaches for this time-consuming task needs to be investigated.

III. NEW SUPERVISED LEARNING STRATEGY

The new supervised learning strategy is built via the following series of expressions.

$$\Delta_{r,e} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \Delta \xi_i$$

(11)

where $k$ is the number of parameters of the $r^{th}$ rule and $\Delta \xi_i$ is the change of the $i^{th}$ parameter of the $r^{th}$ rule at the $e^{th}$ epoch. So, $\Delta_{r,e}$ is the sum of changes of parameters of the $r^{th}$ rule at the $e^{th}$ epoch. One epoch of learning means all training data samples are passed through the system once to tune its parameters.

$$\Delta_r = \sum_{e=1}^{E} \Delta_{r,e}$$

(12)

where $\Delta_r$ is the sum of parameters’ changes of the $r^{th}$ rule after $E$ epochs.

$$\Delta = \sum_{r=1}^{m} \Delta_r$$

(13)

where $m$ is the number of rules in the system and $\Delta$ is the sum of parameters’ changes of all rules after $E$ epochs.

From Equations (11), (12) and (13), we arrive at:

$$\Delta = \sum_{r=1}^{m} \sum_{e=1}^{E} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \Delta \xi_i$$

(14)

To define an appropriate threshold to determine whether the rule is updated much slower that the remainder, we defined the average $\Delta/\mu$.

Establishing the expression $\Delta/\mu$ approximately implies that there will be half of the system rules having changes after $E$ epochs more than this threshold, and the remaining half has the changes below this threshold.

If the $r^{th}$ rule has the sum of changes of its parameters after $E$ epochs satisfying the following inequality, that rule will be initially fixed and not be further trained until late in the learning time.

$$\Delta_{r,e} = \sum_{e=1}^{E} E \leq \eta \frac{\Delta}{m}$$

(10)

where $\eta$ is a constant.

The constant $\eta$ plays an important role in determining the percentage of rules will be fixed whenever checking is carried out after each $E$-epoch. For instance, $\eta = 0.2$ means there will be approximately 10% (equal to 0.2*50%) of rules of the current system will be fixed after each $E$-epoch.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL EVALUATIONS

In order to evaluate the proposed learning strategy, we apply the additive fuzzy system for function approximation. The experiments are performed on the variety of function types: 1-D (Dimension), 2-D and 3-D with the $sinc$ set function and the results are assessed in terms of the mean squared error (MSE) of the function approximation and the convergence time for a fixed learning rate. Below are three sample test functions used as approximands. The variables $x$, $y$, $z$ are all investigated in [-1, 1].

$$f(x) = 10 \left( e^{-5|x|} + e^{-3|x-0.8|/10} + e^{-10|x+0.6|/10} \right)$$
The learning rates were small (Table I, II, III) because each learning law is highly nonlinear. Otherwise learning might not have converged [12]. These rates were set up at the same value for both types of experiments: conventional and new strategies in order to appraise performances. The learning rates used range from $10^{-6}$ to $10^{-4}$.

In the 1-D case, 731 points of the function are sampled to give the training set while this number for the 2-D case is 2715 samples and for the 3-D case is 8120 samples. The samples are collected by spreading points uniformly in the input space with the number much more than wanted and then randomly selecting with the probability equal to wanted input space with the number much more than wanted and then

$$g(x, y) = 8 \sin(10x^2 + 5x + 1) \times$$

$$\frac{\left(\frac{y-0.1}{0.25}\right)^2 - 0.8e - \left(\frac{y+0.75}{0.15}\right)^2 - 0.4e - \left(\frac{y-0.8}{0.1}\right)^2}{2}$$

$$h(x, y, z) = 0.1 \left(\frac{|x|}{0.2} + e^{\frac{|y-0.8|}{0.3}} + e^{\frac{|x+0.6|}{0.1}}\right) \times$$

$$\left(\tan^{3}(1.5y) + 10 \tan^{2}(y) - 20 \tan(0.7y)\right) \times$$

$$\left(\arccos^{3}(z) - \arccos^{2}(-z) - \arccos(-z)\right)$$

The number of rules as well as the number of training samples, same learning rate and set the same expected MSE value and then measured separately the training time.

Computer configuration for experiments: Pentium(R) 4 1.80 GHz, 760 MB of RAM.

**TABLE II**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approach</th>
<th>Normal</th>
<th>New</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Epoch number</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant $\eta$</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epochs performed</td>
<td>3019</td>
<td>4166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training time</td>
<td>33 min. 02 sec.</td>
<td>20 min. 15 sec.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE III**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approach</th>
<th>Normal</th>
<th>New</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Epoch number</td>
<td>500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant $\eta$</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epochs performed</td>
<td>6482</td>
<td>8377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training time</td>
<td>56 min. 26 sec.</td>
<td>31 min. 48 sec.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The number of rules as well as the number of training samples in case of the higher-D SAM is much more than those of the lower-D SAM consistent with the curse of high dimensions in fuzzy function approximation - exponential rule explosion. This results directly from the factorability of in-part fuzzy sets in fuzzy if-then rules [12].

By reducing approximately 12.5% (1-D case), 20% (2-D case) and 25% (3-D case) number of fuzzy rules after each 300 (1-D case), 400 (2-D case) and 500 (3-D case) epochs, the number of epochs performed in the new learning scheme is more than that of conventional approach. This leads to the decrease of training time whereas the MSE expected is the same value for both approaches. The learning time is decreased around 30% (1-D case), 39% (2-D case) and 44% (3-D case). The new scheme is more effective in case of high dimension, partly due to the more percentage of fuzzy rules fixed based on the higher value of the constant $\eta$ ($\eta = 0.5$ in 3-D case compared with $\eta = 0.4$ in 2-D case and $\eta = 0.25$ in 1-D case).
V. CONCLUSION

The new learning scheme has partly overcome the time-consuming and tedious tasks of parameter tuning of fuzzy systems applied in function approximation. Using these arbitrary functions, we found improvements of the order of 35% in convergence speed, and implying increases in the accuracy of fuzzy applications where the optimisation time is limited. The new strategy can be applied not only in function approximation but also in fields such as pattern recognition, signal processing, time series prediction, etc. where SAM as well as other types of fuzzy systems have been explored effectively in recent decades.
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