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Abstract—Effective evaluation of software development effort is an important issue during project plan. This study provides a model to predict development effort based on the software size estimated with function points. We generalize the average amount of effort spent on each phase of the development, and give the estimates for the effort used in software building, testing, and implementation. Finally, this paper finds a strong correlation between software defects and software size. As the size of software constantly increases, the quality remains to be a matter which requires major concern.
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I. INTRODUCTION

WITH the dramatic improvements in hardware performance, software has become the key factor influencing the success of computer-based systems [1]. In the course of time, hardware costs have decreased dramatically, but software is still costly to develop and maintain [2]. In spite of many advanced debugging systems and models developed for predicting software reliability, software quality has remained to be a continuing concern. In software development, the two primary problems that have yet to be solved satisfactorily are making systems cost-effective and of higher quality.

Researchers and practitioners have found it useful to regard software development as an economic production process [3]. Estimating the amount of effort required for developing a system is an important management concern [4]. Accurate estimate is essential since the client and project management must agree on the boundaries of cost, time, quality, and capability [5]. A low cost estimate may either cause loss or compromise the quality of the software developed, resulting in partially functional or insufficiently tested software that requires later high maintenance costs [6].

A common approach to predict development effort is to first estimate the software size, and then use some guidelines on productivity rates to arrive at the time and effort needed to complete the project [7]. Past studies have developed a multitude of models to estimate development effort. For instance, The COCOMO method uses a set of parameters gathered from studies of earlier projects to predict the time needed for the development. However, whereas many models have been proposed, in practice, these models are used rarely or unsuccessfully [8]. This is owing to the complicacy and unreliability of the proposed models. Therefore, estimating software development effort still remains a complex problem which attracts considerable research [9]. One of our goals in this study is to develop a simple model with reasonable accuracy for the estimate of development effort.

Our second objective is to identify the relationship between software default and software size. Given that software size is constantly growing, the issue of software quality requires more attention. A fundamental objective of software development is to deliver high quality products that are correct, consistent, and complete [10]. Lo and Huang [11] point out that software failures that occur later will result in maintenance costs, and even a loss of system availability and performance. The correct performance of a software system is an important issue of many critical systems [12].

This study works on the latest release of the ISBSG (International Software Benchmarking Standards Group) database with 4106 projects ever developed worldwide. This paper is organized as follows. Section II gives an overview of the database. Section III builds the model for estimating the total effort for the development, and section IV validates the model with diagnostic plot. Section V generalizes the average amount of effort spent on each phase of the development, and presents the estimates for the effort used in software building, testing and implementation. Section VI identifies the strong correlation between software fault and software size. Finally, section VII presents the conclusion to the study.

II. BACKGROUND

The common difficulty in the study of software metrics is the lack of accessible and reliable large dataset [13]. Many contemporary metrics repositories have limited use due to their obsolence and ambiguity of documentation [14].

The data repository maintained by the International Software Benchmarking Standards Group (ISBSG) does not have the above deficiencies and has been widely researched.
The latest release of ISBSG data repository (Release 10) contains information on 4106 projects, and each project is recorded with 107 metrics or descriptive pieces of information. The manual accompanied with the data gives detailed descriptions of project attributes. The data repository is regularly updated with substantial projects added in every year. Our study will be focused on the analysis of the data Release 10.

III. THE ESTIMATION OF TOTAL DEVELOPMENT EFFORT

For a specific software project, it is necessary to evaluate the development efforts required, thus completing the task within the budget of time and cost. Past studies focused on four distinct approaches for the estimate of software development effort [19]:

1. Historical-Experiential models
   These involve expert judgment based on experience with similar projects and historically maintained information about projects.

2. Statistically-based models
   Among these methods, regression analysis is the main technique used which describes the relationship between development effort and its predictor variables such as project size.

3. Analytical-based models
   This approach is on the basis of a mathematical relationship between the manpower used during software development and effort in all life cycle phases.

4. Composite models
   These models integrate the methods of analytic equations, regression analysis, and expert judgment.

Our research will be focused on statistically-based models. As Fenton [20] observed, most of the approaches for estimating development effort involve a prediction system in which the underlying model has the form \( E = f(S) \), where \( E \) is the development effort and \( S \) is a measure of project size. The function \( f \) may involve other product attributes (e.g., complexity or required reliability) and process and resource attributes (e.g., programmer’s experience). Accordingly, the estimate of project size is necessary, which will be plugged into the model to predict the development effort.

Two methods for the estimate of project size have been widely applied: lines of code (LOC) and function points (FP). The main limitation of a LOC-based model is that it is usually difficult to provide accurate estimates of lines of code for the development [4]. On the other hand, while the measure of function points has been criticized relating to its reliability [21] and usefulness of the complexity adjustments [22], it has been extensively used as a part of overall estimation and planning techniques for software development [23, 24].

In the ISBSG data repository, total development effort is reflected by the metric Summary Work Effort, which is the total effort in hours spent on the project. Project size is measured with function points which are based on various counting standards (e.g., IFPUG, NESMA, Mark II). Among these counting standards, NESMA is considered to produce equivalent results with IFPUG [25]. In data Release 10, 3281 out of 4106 projects applied IFPUG as size count approach, and there are further 152 projects using NESMA. Thus, to give more reliable results, projects using size count approaches other than IFPUG and NESMA were excluded, and a sample size of 3433 was used for the analysis.

We first examine the distributions for the two variables Effort and Functional Size (FS). Fig. 1 is the histogram of the variable Effort, which indicates that the data are highly skewed. After the logarithmic transformation the skewness is greatly improved, and the data approximate to normal distribution (see Fig. 2).

Similarly, the variable FS has skewed distribution (see Fig. 3). Therefore, we applied logarithmic transformation which greatly rectified the skewness. The data approach to normal distribution (see Fig. 4).
We now examine the potential relationship between development effort and project size with the scatterplot in Fig. 5 above. It shows that these two variables are highly correlated with a positive linear relationship. Running regression analysis, we obtained the following result:

\[
\ln (\text{Effort}) = 3.645 + 0.736 \times \ln(\text{Size})
\]

or

\[
\text{Effort} = 38.3 \times \text{Size}^{0.736}
\]

The multiple \( R^2 \) reported for the fitted model is 0.475, which means that 47.5% of the variance in the dependent variable \( \text{Effort} \) can be explained by the project size. Therefore, based on a large sample size of 3433, this model was fitted with reasonable accuracy. This model can be used to predict development effort based on project size, which is measured with function points.

**IV. MODEL VALIDATION**

In linear model it is assumed that the residuals are normally distributed with zero mean and homogeneity of variance [26]. Equal scatter of residual points about the horizontal axis indicates that the residuals have homogeneity of variance [27]. We plotted the residuals against the fitted values in Fig. 6 below. The graph displays that the points evenly scatter along the horizontal axis without obvious patterns. Therefore, the assumption of homogenous variance is validated.

**V. THE EFFORT FOR DIFFERENT DEVELOPMENT PHASES**

Software development usually experiences a series of phases, such as planning, design, building and testing. A good software project needs to successfully manage these development phases. The ISBSG data repository contains the fields which record the amount of effort spent on each development phase of the project. We calculated the average
amount of effort used in each phase in Table I below. Note that our analysis has excluded those cases of which the sum of effort for each development phase does not match the total development effort reported.

![Table I: Average Amount of Effort Spent on Each Development Phase](image)

Table I shows that the average amount of effort spent on the whole development is 2519 man-hours. Of the total development effort, a large proportion (37.8%) is spent on software building, while planning (7.2%) and implementation (8.6%) cost much less effort. To improve software reliability, a great amount of effort (17.6%) is used in software testing. Considering the many issues that have been raised on software quality, it is necessary that much effort spent on software testing.

As we have found in section III, there exists a strong positive relationship between total development effort and software size. Using statistical tests, we found the effort used in each development phase is also strongly correlated with software size. Table II below summarizes the results of the correlation test. Since the data for functional size deviate from normal distribution, we applied Spearman’s correlation test other than those tests used for normally distributed variables. Spearman’s correlation test is a common nonparametric test that calculates the correlation based on the ranked order of the two series [28].

![Table II: Results of Spearman Correlation Test](image)

Project size that is measured with function points is evaluated in the design stage of the development. Therefore, it is insignificant to estimate the effort used before project design. However, it is feasible and practical to forecast the effort required for such development phases as software building, testing, and implementation. Similar to the analytic procedures in section III, we generalized the models in Table III which can be used to estimate the effort needed for software building, testing, and implementation. The column multiple $R^2$ gives the goodness-of-fit of the model.

![Table III: Models for the Prediction of Effort in Software Building, Testing, and Implementation](image)

VI. The Relationship Between Software Fault and Software Size

Software fault is a structural imperfection in a software system that may lead to the system’s eventually failing [29]. In spite of many advanced debugging systems and models developed for predicting software reliability, software has suffered much from its failures. For instance, the ISBSG data repository records one parameter Total Defects Delivered specifying the total number of faults in the software which was reported in the first month of its use. Among the 4106 projects in the database, a staggering number of 484 projects were reported with faults in the first month of use. With the increasing complexity inherent in software development, more attention should be paid to software quality.

Project size is measured with function points which are based on various counting standards (e.g., IFPUG, NESMA, Mark II). As before, we retained those projects with counting approach IFPUG or NESMA, since these two counting standards are considered to produce equivalent results.

The values for the variable Total Defects Delivered range widely from 0 to 2554, which entails a logarithmic transformation. We then use Shapiro-Wilk test to check the normal assumption of the data. The significance of the test indicates that the variable Total Defects Delivered deviates from normal distribution. Therefore, we used nonparametric test (Spearman’s correlation test) to find the relationship between software defect and size. For our study, the reported p-value is far smaller than $10^{-5}$, which indicates that there is strong relationship between software defect and size. Furthermore, the nonparametric correlation coefficient is 0.451, which proves that these two variables are positively related. Therefore, the rising of software size tends to result in more software defects.

With the trend of increasing size of software, it is inevitable that software fault becomes a serious problem. Hence much attention should be paid to the development of advanced debugging systems for testing software reliability.
VII. Conclusion

This paper worked on the latest release of the ISBSG database, which is a large database recording the software projects ever developed worldwide. A model for the estimate of development effort was developed based on software size. This model can be applied during software plan and design. This study also summarized the average amount of effort spent on each development stage, and presented the formulæ to estimate the effort for software building, testing, and implementation.

This study also found a strong positive correlation between software fault and size. With the growing of software size, software quality remains to be an ongoing concern and calls for more serious attention.
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