Abstract—A person-to-person information sharing is easily realized by P2P networks in which servers are not essential. Leakage of information, which are caused by malicious accesses for P2P networks, has become a new social issues. To prevent information leakage, it is necessary to detect and block traffics of P2P software. Since some P2P softwares can spoof port numbers, it is difficult to detect the traffics sent from P2P softwares by using port numbers. It is more difficult to devise effective countermeasures for detecting the software because their protocol are not public.

In this paper, a discriminating method of network applications based on communication characteristics of application messages without port numbers is proposed. The proposed method is based on an assumption that there can be some rules about time intervals to transmit messages in application layer and the number of necessary packets to send one message. By extracting the rule from network traffic, the proposed method can discriminate applications without port numbers.
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I. INTRODUCTION

A person-to-person information sharing is easily realized by P2P networks in which servers are not essential. Leakage of information, which are caused by malicious accesses for P2P networks, has become a new social issues.

Information leakage incidents are caused by misoperation of network applications or wrong set up of security parameters in many cases. Recently, the incidents caused by inappropriate usage of peer-to-peer(P2P) software are increasing. Damage of information leakage caused by P2P software can be more serious because users and administrators may not realize the leakage. The traffic of P2P software should be blocked to prevent the information leakage. But it is difficult to discriminate and block them by using port number because most P2P software can alter its port number to use communication.

Because protocol of P2P system are unreleased and cipher communication are used, any effective countermeasures to prohibit P2P communication have not been established yet.

To tackle the information leakage problem, some studies [1], [2], [3], [4] have been conducted to discriminate network applications using transition pattern of packet size and packet type, and using statistical information of connection requests. These studies are practical in terms of the privacy communication because these methods observe the headers of packets only. However, to identify the application, these methods need a lot of packets, and their identification accuracy is not enough.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section II, related works in network application identification technologies is described. In Section III, two kinds of vectors extracted from network packets are proposed. In Section IV, identification results with an identification system using the two kinds of vectors are demonstrated. Finally, our conclusions is in Section V.

II. RELATED WORKS

As a traffic classification technique not using port numbers, a payload based approach has been proposed [5], [6], [7]. This approach examines payload of each packet to determine whether the payload contains specific characteristic signatures. This approach works well to identify network applications including P2P file sharing applications. However, this method hardly works when payload is encrypted. In addition, the payload examination can cause privacy problems.

Traffic identification techniques which are based on the behavior of hosts are proposed [8], [9], [10]. These techniques focus on behavior of each host, such as how many hosts are connected and how many port numbers are used. Although they can detect specific running applications, they cannot identify flows which are transmitted by the applications. Consequently, these techniques cannot block flows transmitted from applications in violation of network management policies.

Other methods which use flow statistics are proposed [11], [12], [13], [1], [14]. These methods are based on statistical information of a flow such as the number of packets, total data size transported in a flow, mean packet size and mean packet arrival time interval for instance. Although these method can identify each application flow without using port numbers or payloads, they need a lot of packets to obtain significant information for the identification. It is difficult for these methods to identify applications in the early stage of their flow and to block these flows before they are finished.

To identify applications at the early stage of their connections, an approach using first few packets of each flow has been proposed [15], [2], [16], [17]. These methods are based on an assumption that some typical interactions such as...
as version of application, authentication messages, would be sent in the first few packets of each flow. A method which can evaluate the typical interactions using the character code histograms of the first few packets of a flow has been proposed [17]. This method can identify applications before flows are finished. However, since this method uses payload information of packets, it can hardly identify applications if payloads are encrypted. On the other hand, a method proposed in [15] is based on payload length and direction of the first five packets of a flow. This method does not use the payload information but focus on payload lengths of the typical interactions at the early stage of flows. However if there are two applications whose characteristics of the first five packets are similar, the methods can not identify the applications by the first five packets. Thus these methods need more packets to identify applications but the number of packets for accurate identification is not clear. And, considering many packets causes “the contents communication problem”. The problem is the decline of identification accuracy because the payload lengths of contents communication which is the communication of application data begins after the typical interactions are tend to change around MTU. This is a severe problem.

Some identification methods have been proposed too[17], [18], [19]. These proposed methods adopt transition pattern of the size of packets and contents of TCP payloads. Although the proposed methods can achieve over 90% identification accuracy, malicious user can evade identification by padding data to packets in order to change the packet size. Thus identification methods using new observables are necessary in order to reduce the risk that malicious users evade identification by tampering with packet size and header. In this paper, a new network application identification method using time intervals to transmit messages in an application layer and the number of necessary packets to send one message are proposed.

III. EXTRACTION OF MESSAGE COMMUNICATION CHARACTERISTICS

To build a network application identification system, it is assumed that each application has its specific pattern among time intervals to transmit messages and the number of necessary packets for each message in application layer. Our proposed method in this paper extracts the pattern from a flow which is the aggregated packets in a TCP connection. The extract methods will be described in following sections.

A. Time Interval for Transmitting Application Messages

In this paper, the time interval for transmitting application messages is defined as the differential time from a messages has been received until its response message has been transmitted. This transmission interval can be regarded as processing time to respond to the received message and to make its response message. This time interval may represent characteristics of an application because the processing time to respond to a received message, i.e. a request message, varies from an application to another. For instance, HTTP servers needs retrieval and transmit process of html file after a “GET” message of HTTP. This processing time can represent any characteristics of HTTP application. On the other hand, SMTP server sends “250 + host name” after receive a “HELO” message from another Message Transfer Agent (MTA). This process does not need file retrieval process. Thus, a processing time to respond a request message can differ from an application to another because different process can be done for each application. By evaluating this differences of processing time, it can be consider that network applications can be identified.

Fig. 1 shows an example of the time interval calculation. $T_0$ in the figure is the finish time of 3 way-handshake. $T_{f1}$ is the observed time of the first packet of the first message from the client and $T_{l1}$ denotes the observed time of the last packet of the first message. The time interval $\Delta T_i$ is defined as $\Delta T_i = T_{f1} - T_{l(i-1)}$. The time interval of Message 1 is $\Delta T_1$ is $T_{0} - T_{f1}$ and it is considered as processing time to generate the first message after finished 3 way-handshake in the client. The processing time for the second message as response for the first message transmitted from the server is $\Delta T_2 = T_{f2} - T_{l2}$.

Multiple time intervals can be extracted from a flow because an application communicates multiple messages between a client and a server. These multiple time intervals from a flow is represented as a vector of which elements are $\Delta T$ in calculated order, it will be called interval vector. The vector is defined as follows:

$$\vec{v}_i = (\Delta T_1, \Delta T_2, \cdots, \Delta T_n)^T$$

where $i$ is the identifier of a flow and $n$ denotes the number of the considered messages of the flow, and $n$ is a parameter which shows how many messages are utilizes to identify network application.

Fig. 2 shows interval vector of Secure Shell (SSH). The horizontal axis is element number of interval vector, and the
Fig. 2. Time Intervals of SSH

vertical axis shows the time interval. Here the client of a TCP connection is defined as a host which sends a connection request, i.e. SYN packet, and denote the response time of the client by positive value. On the other hand, the response time of the server, which received SYN packet from the client, are represented by negative value.

There are 21 flows in Fig. 2. From this figure, it can be seen that the most of flows are overlapped with few exceptions, and that the response time of server at \( n = 1, n = 7 \) tends to be larger. Fig. 3 shows interval vector of File Transfer Protocol (FTP). The number of interval vector in this figure is 339. Although variation of each elements of the vector is large, the 5th element of all interval vector tends to be greater, and this increase of 5th element does not exist in SSH. From these results, it can be considered that interval vector can represent the characteristics of each application, and it can be used interval vector in order to identify network applications.

B. Number of Packets for Transmitting One Message

The size of the message of each application can be differ from the other applications. In this section, the number of necessary packets for transmitting one message of an application will be used to evaluate the difference of the message size. The sequence of the number of packets for transmitting multiple messages is represented as a vector, it is referred to as number vector.

Fig. 4 shows the number vector of Post Office Protocol over SSL (POPS). The number vectors in Fig. 4 have 10 elements, that is, 10 messages of application layer are used to make a number vector. The horizontal axis is element number of number vector, and the vertical axis shows the number of packets to transmit one message of POPS. The elements of the flows in which the number of messages is less than 10 are denoted as zero. From fig. 4, it can be confirmed that the number vectors have three patterns although there are 70 flows in the figure. That is, the number vectors of POPS are very similar each other.

Fig. 5 depicts number vectors of Winny, which is a P2P file sharing software and causes the most serious information leakage incidents in Japan. From fig. 5, it can be seen that the number of packets per message begins to increase at 5th or 6th message, the number of packets of 6th message increase significantly. This increment of packets does not exist that of POPS and consequently POPS and Winny can be discriminated by using number vector.
IV. NETWORK APPLICATION IDENTIFICATION METHOD USING INTERVAL VECTOR AND NUMBER VECTOR

From the analysis in the previous section, it is found that interval vector and number vector derived from different applications belong to different clusters. In this section, an application identification method using Learning Vector Quantization (LVQ) [20] is proposed.

A. Identification System

Our proposed identification system is shown in Fig. 6. The system is composed of flow extraction module, vector generation module and identification module. The flow extraction module extracts packets exchanged between client and server, and reconstructs flows from the extracted packets. The vector generation module makes interval vectors and number vectors from these flows. Optimized LVQ1 is applied to the identification module because Optimized LVQ1 can achieve higher classification accuracy among variations of LVQ. LVQ is a subtype of artificial neural networks, which adopts supervised learning. LVQ trains codebook vectors, which represent each class, using labeled sample flows which are identified in advance. When application identifying phase, LVQ compares distances between an input vector which is extracted from a newly observed flow and codebook vectors, determines that the flow is transmitted by the application of the codebook vector nearest to the flow.

B. Data Set

Flows of following eight kinds of applications are captured: http, smtp, ssh, pop3, imap, pop3 over ssl (pops), http over ssl (https) and rts from a LAN, which is consisted of about 50 hosts. Flows of Winny and Share, which are P2P applications cause serious information leakage incidents in Japan, are also used. These two applications flow are captured from experimental network which is consisted of 10 hosts. 300 flows for each application are used to learn LVQ, and 300 flows for each application as test data except rts because less than 600 rts flows are collected. The number of rts flows of test sample is 100.

In previous section, two types of feature vector are proposed, interval vector and number vector. In the identification experiment, combination vector of them are also used. The elements of interval vector and number vector are alternately placed in combination vector.

C. Identification Results

1) Identification Accuracy for All Flows: Fig. 7 shows identification accuracy with interval vector, number vector, and combination vector. The horizontal axis is the number of considered messages and the vertical axis is identification accuracy. For the combination vector, two elements are needed for one message because the combination vector consists of time interval and the number of packets for one message of an application. Thus the length of the combination vector increases by two as one message are used for identification.

The identification accuracy for all flows $Acc_{\text{all}}$ is defined as follows:

$$Acc_{\text{all}} = \frac{\# \text{ of correctly identified flows}}{\# \text{ of all test flows}}$$

From fig. 7, it can be seen that the highest identification accuracy 85.12\% can be obtained by using combination vector when the number of messages is seven.

2) Identification Accuracy of each Application: In this section, the identification accuracy of the proposed method for each application is analysed by comparing the identification accuracy by using the inverse number of packet size [18], [19]. The identification method using the inverse number of packet size identify network applications based on vectors consisting of the inverse number of packet size. The packet size inverse method used the same flow data in previous section and the same learning algorithm OLVQ1.

Table I shows the identification accuracy. The accuracy of the inverse number method is estimated with the number of packets by which can achieve the highest accuracy shown in
TABLE I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application</th>
<th>Number of Considered Messages</th>
<th>Identification Accuracy</th>
<th>Combination Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ftp</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>http</td>
<td>80.00</td>
<td>62.35</td>
<td>74.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>imap</td>
<td>18.00</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>23.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pop3</td>
<td>99.33</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>99.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pop3</td>
<td>93.00</td>
<td>99.33</td>
<td>97.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>share</td>
<td>91.79</td>
<td>93.33</td>
<td>90.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>smtp</td>
<td>80.00</td>
<td>80.33</td>
<td>80.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ssh</td>
<td>98.33</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>99.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winny</td>
<td>91.79</td>
<td>89.37</td>
<td>88.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total</td>
<td>81.40</td>
<td>49.70</td>
<td>85.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application</th>
<th>Highest Accuracy</th>
<th>Number of Considered Messages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ftp</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>5,6,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>http</td>
<td>88.00</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>imap</td>
<td>65.67</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pop3</td>
<td>99.33</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pop3</td>
<td>91.00</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>share</td>
<td>99.00</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>smtp</td>
<td>81.07</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ssh</td>
<td>99.67</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winny</td>
<td>90.00</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total</td>
<td>90.00</td>
<td>5,6,7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[18], [19]. The number of messages of the proposed method is seven which can obtain the highest total identification accuracy estimated by 2. Here the identification accuracy of each application is calculated by 3.

\[
\text{Accu}_A = \frac{N_{TP}}{N_{all}} \times 100 \quad (3)
\]

\[N_{TP} : \text{# of flows identified as application A} \]
\[N_{all} : \text{# of all test flows of application A} \]

Table II shows the highest identification accuracy for each application and the number of considered messages when the highest accuracy can be obtained.

From Table I, it can be confirmed that the degradation of identification accuracy for seven applications except for imap, smtp and Winny is less than two point comparing the inverse method.

The identification accuracy for Winny, which is a P2P software, causes information leakage incidents in Japan, can achieve 96.00% at the number of considered messages is six (table II) although the identification accuracy is decreased to 88.89%.

The accuracy for imap increase to 65.67% when the number of considered messages is 1. This result shows that although the identification accuracies of SSH with number vector is 100%, this result does not mean that the identification method with number vector can identify SSH flows correctly. Most of messages of SSH consist of one packet, correspondingly, the number of packets of the messages of FTP, POP3 and POPS is one. Therefore, flows of FTP, POP3 and POPS are identified as SSH flow, and the identification accuracies for FTP, POP3 and POPS are 0% with number vector.

The identification accuracy of RTSP is relatively low with the inverse method because the inverse method cannot evaluate the differences between HTTP and RTSP because RTSP is designed based on HTTP. On the other hand, the proposed method with number vector can identify RTSP flows with 100% accuracy because Number vector can recognize the continuity of the packets transmission to deliver the streaming contents.
V. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, a new network application identification method using time intervals to transmit messages in an application layer and the number of necessary packets to send one message are proposed in order to reduce the risk that malicious users evade identification by tampering with packet size and header. While the time intervals seemed to be unstable observations due to the fluctuation of system load, the identification accuracy with the time interval did not drop significantly. On the other hand, the identification accuracy with the number of packets per one message is lower than with the time interval although the number of packets per one message seemed to be stable observations. It can be considered that the number of packets per one message does not have enough information to evaluate the differences between applications. However by using the combination vector the elements of which are the time intervals and the number of packets per one message, the highest identification accuracy can obtained. This is because that there is correlation between the time interval and the number of packets, and new discrimination boundaries are created by the correlation.

The total identification accuracy of the proposed method is lower than that of the conventional method which uses the inverse of packet size. However, the accuracies for some applications are higher than the conventional method. The proposed method can achieve higher accuracy for RTSP. Since RTSP is designed based on HTTP and the packet size of RTSP and HTTP are similar each other, the identification method using the packet size cannot identify them with high accuracy. On the other hand, our proposed method can discriminate the differences between the retrieval process of html files of HTTP and the control of video streaming of RTSP. This is because that our proposed method can identify RTSP with high accuracy.

The number of considered messages to achieve the highest accuracy varied for each application. Constructing a method to set the suitable number of considered messages is remained work.
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