

# A Note on Negative Hypergeometric Distribution and Its Approximation

S. B. Mansuri

**Abstract**—In this paper, at first we explain about negative hypergeometric distribution and its properties. Then we use the w-function and the Stein identity to give a result on the poisson approximation to the negative hypergeometric distribution in terms of the total variation distance between the negative hypergeometric and poisson distributions and its upper bound.

**Keywords**—Negative hypergeometric distribution, Poisson distribution, Poisson approximation, Stein-Chen identity, w-function.

## I. INTRODUCTION

LET a box contain  $S$  items of which are defective and  $R$  are non defective. Items are inspected at random (one at a time) without replacement, from box until the number of non defective items reaches a fixed number  $r$ .

Let  $X$  be the number of defective items the sample, then  $X$  has a negative hypergeometric distribution and denoted by  $NH(R, S, r)$ . Its probability function can be expressed as;

$$p_X(k) = \frac{\binom{r+k-1}{r} \binom{R-r+S-k}{S-k}}{\binom{R+S}{S}} \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, S \quad (1)$$

Where  $R, S \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $r \in \{1, 2, \dots, R\}$ .

Now, we show the mean and variance of  $X$  are  $\mu = \frac{rS}{R+1}$  and  $\sigma^2 = \frac{rS(R+S+1)(R-r+1)}{(R+1)^2(R+2)}$ , respectively.

*Proof*

$$\begin{aligned} \mu = E(X) &= \sum_{k=0}^S k \frac{\binom{r+k-1}{r} \binom{R-r+S-k}{S-k}}{\binom{R+S}{S}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\binom{R+S}{S}} \sum_{k=0}^S \frac{(r+k-1)!}{(k-1)!(r-1)!} \binom{R-r+S-k}{S-k} \\ &= \frac{1}{\binom{R+S}{S}} \sum_{k=0}^{S-1} \frac{(r+k)!}{k!(r-1)!} \binom{R-r+S-k-1}{S-k-1} \\ &= \frac{r}{\binom{R+S}{S}} \sum_{k=0}^{S-1} \binom{r+k}{k} \binom{R-r+S-k-1}{S-k-1} \end{aligned}$$

Note that, we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^k \binom{a+k-j-1}{k-j} \binom{b+j-1}{j} = \binom{a+b+k-1}{k}$$

Then

$$\mu = \frac{r}{\binom{R+S}{S}} \binom{R+S}{S-1} = \frac{rS}{R+1}$$

For variance we obtain  $E(X(X-1))$  then,

$$\begin{aligned} E(X(X-1)) &= \sum_{k=0}^S k(k-1) \frac{\binom{r+k-1}{r} \binom{R-r+S-k}{S-k}}{\binom{R+S}{S}} \\ &= \frac{r(r+1)}{\binom{R+S}{S}} \sum_{k=0}^{S-2} \binom{r+k+1}{k} \binom{R-r+S-k-2}{S-k-2} \\ &= \frac{r(r+1)}{\binom{R+S}{S}} \binom{R+S}{S-2} = \frac{rS(r+1)(S-1)}{(R+1)(R+2)} \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{rS(R+S+1)(R-r+1)}{(R+1)^2(R+2)} \quad (2)$$

Suppose that  $S$  and  $R$  tend to  $\infty$  in such a way that  $\frac{S}{R+1} \rightarrow \theta$  ( $0 < \theta < 1$ ), then the negative hypergeometric distribution converges to the negative binomial distribution with parameters  $r$  and  $\frac{\theta}{1+\theta}$ . Similarly this distribution may converge to the binomial or poisson or normal distribution if the conditions on their parameters are appropriate.

It should be noted that if  $\frac{r}{R+1}$  is not be small and  $S$  is sufficiently large, then  $NH(R, S, r)$  can also approximated by the normal distribution with mean  $\frac{Sr}{R+1}$  and variance  $\frac{Sr(R-r+1)}{(R+1)^2}$ . In this case, a bound on the normal approximation can be derived by using the same method in [3].

In this paper, we use the w-function associated with the random variable  $X$  together with the Stein-Chen identity to give an upper bound for the total variation distance between the negative hypergeometric and poisson distributions.

## II. USEFUL DEFINITION AND PROPOSITIONS

A. Let  $X$  be a non-negative integer-valued random variable with distribution  $F$  and let  $P_\lambda$  denote the poisson distribution with mean  $\lambda$ . The total variation distance between two distribution defined by:

$$d_{TV}(F, P_\lambda) = \sup_A |F(A) - P_\lambda(A)| \quad (3)$$

Where  $A$  runs over subset of non-negative integers. To obtain an upper bound for the total variation distance in terms of the w-function, we apply the Stein-chen identity (see [2]) according to which for every positive constant, every subset  $A$  of non-negative integers and some function  $g = g_{\lambda, A}$ ,

$$F(A) - P_\lambda(A) = E(\lambda g(X+1) - Xg(X)) \quad (4)$$

B. Mansouri is with the Department of Science, Payame Noor University, 19395-4697, Tehran, Iran (phone:+989131831016, e-mail: S\_mansouri@pnu.ac.ir).

The explicit formula for the function  $g$  can be found e.g in [2], but what we really need are the following estimates valid uniformly for all  $A$ :

$$\sup_k |g(k)| \ll \min(1, \lambda^{-1/2})$$

$$|\Delta g| = \sup_k |\Delta g(k)| \ll \lambda^{-1}(1 - e^{-\lambda}) \quad (5)$$

where  $\Delta g(k) = g(k+1) - g(k)$  (see [1]).

B. Let a non-negative integer-valued random variable  $X$  with distribution  $F = \{p(k), k = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  have mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ . Define a function  $w$  associated with the random variable  $X$  by the relation

$$C. \quad \sigma^2 w(k)p(k) = \sum_{i=0}^k (\mu - i)p(i), k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (6)$$

Immediately from the above we have

$$w(0) = \frac{\mu}{\sigma^2}$$

$$w(k+1) = \frac{p(k)}{p(k+1)} w(k) + \frac{\mu - (k+1)}{\sigma^2} \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (7)$$

And

$$w(k) \gg 0, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (8)$$

*Proposition 1.* If a non-negative integer-valued random variable  $X$  with distribution  $p(k) > 0$ , for all  $k$  in support of  $X$  and  $0 < \sigma^2 = \text{Var}(X) < \infty$ , then;

$$\text{Cov}(X, g(X)) = \sigma^2 E(w(X)\Delta g(X)) \quad (9)$$

For any function  $g: \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  for which  $E(w(X)\Delta g(X)) < \infty$ . By taking  $g(x) = x$ , we have  $E(w(X)) = 1$  (see [4]).

*Proposition 2.* (Reference [6]) Let  $w(X)$  be the  $w$ -function associated with the negative hypergeometric random variable, then;

$$w(k) = \frac{(r+k)(S-k)}{(R+1)\sigma^2} \quad (10)$$

$$\text{Where } \sigma^2 = \frac{rS(R+S+1)(R-r+1)}{(R+1)^2(R+2)}.$$

*Proof*

Following (7), we have

$$w(k) = \frac{p(k-1)}{p(k)} w(k-1) + \frac{\mu - k}{\sigma^2}$$

$$= \frac{\mu}{\sigma^2} + \frac{p(k-1)}{p(k)} w(k-1) - \frac{k}{\sigma^2} \quad (11)$$

With replacing (1) in (11) we have

$$w(k) = \frac{rS}{(R+1)\sigma^2} + \frac{k(R-r+S-k+1)}{(r+k-1)(S-k+1)} w(k-1) - \frac{k}{\sigma^2}$$

$$k = 1, 2, \dots, S$$

$$\text{And as we told before } w(0) = \frac{rS}{(R+1)\sigma^2}.$$

We will show that (10) holds for every  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, S\}$ .

Equation (11) holds for  $k = 1$  i.e

$$w(1) = \frac{(r+1)(S-1)}{(R+1)\sigma^2}$$

We assume that (11) holds for  $k = i - 1$ , then we will prove that holds for  $k = i$ .

By mathematical induction, (11) holds for every  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, S\}$ .

### III. POISSON APPROXIMATION

We will prove our main result by using the  $w$ -function associated with the negative hypergeometric random variable  $X$  and the Stein-Chen identity.

For the Stein-Chen identity, using definition 1, its applied for every positive constant  $\lambda$ , and every subset  $A$  of  $g = g_A: \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , yield

$$NH(R, S, r)\{A\} - Po(\lambda)\{A\} = E(\lambda g(X+1) - Xg(X)) \quad (12)$$

For any subset  $A$  of  $\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , Barbour et al in [2] proved that:

$$\sup_{A,k} |\Delta g(k)| = \sup_{A,k} |g(k+1) - g(k)| \ll \lambda^{-1}(1 - e^{-\lambda}) \quad (13)$$

The following theorem gives a result of the poisson approximation to the negative hypergeometric distribution.

*Theorem.* Let  $X$  be negative hypergeometric random variable,  $\lambda = \frac{rS}{R+1}$  and  $r \gg S - 1$ , then for  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$

$$d_{TV}(NH(R, S, r), Po(\lambda)) \leq (1 - e^{-\lambda}) \frac{(R+1)(r+1) - S(R-r+1)}{(R+1)(R+2)} \quad (14)$$

*Proof*

From (12) it follows that

$$|NH(R, S, r)\{A\} - Po(\lambda)\{A\}| = |E(\lambda g(X+1) - Xg(X))|$$

$$= |E(\lambda g(X+1)) - \text{Cov}(X, g(X)) - \mu E(g(X))|$$

$$= |\lambda E(\Delta g(X)) - \text{Cov}(X, g(X))|$$

$$= |\lambda E(\Delta g(X)) - \sigma^2 E(w(X)\Delta g(X))| \quad \text{by (9)}$$

$$\ll E|(\lambda - \sigma^2 w(X))\Delta g(X)|$$

$$\ll \sup_{x \gg 1} |\Delta g(x)| E|\lambda - \sigma^2 w(X)|$$

$$\ll \lambda^{-1}(1 - e^{-\lambda}) E|\lambda - \sigma^2 w(X)| \quad \text{by (13)}$$

Then

$$|NH(R, S, r)\{A\} - Po(\lambda)\{A\}| \ll \lambda^{-1}(1 - e^{-\lambda}) E|\lambda - \sigma^2 w(X)| \quad (15)$$

Now we show that  $\lambda - \sigma^2 w(X) \gg 0$ . As by proposition 2,

$$\lambda - \sigma^2 w(X) = \frac{rS}{R+1} - \sigma^2 \frac{(r+k)(S-k)}{(R+1)\sigma^2}$$

$$= \frac{rS}{R+1} - \frac{(r+k)(S-k)}{(R+1)}$$

$$= \frac{k(k-S+r)}{R+1} \gg 0$$

Thus

$$E|\lambda - \sigma^2 w(X)| = E(\lambda - \sigma^2 w(X))$$

$$= \lambda - \sigma^2 E(w(X))$$

$$= \lambda - \sigma^2$$

$$= \lambda \frac{(R+1)(r+1) - S(R-r+1)}{(R+1)(R+2)}$$

Then we have

$$d_{TV}(NH(R, S, r), Po(\lambda))$$

$$\leq (1 - e^{-\lambda}) \frac{(R+1)(r+1) - S(R-r+1)}{(R+1)(R+2)}$$

If  $r = S - 1$  then

$$d_{TV}(NH(R, S, r), Po(\lambda)) \leq (1 - e^{-\lambda}) \frac{(R+1)(r+1) - (r+1)(R-r+1)}{(R+1)(R+2)}$$

Thus

$$d_{TV}(NH(R, S, r), Po(\lambda)) \leq (1 - e^{-\lambda}) \frac{r(r+1)}{(R+1)(R+2)} < \frac{r}{R}$$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A.D. Barbour, G.K. Eagleson, Poisson Approximation for Some Statistics Based on Exchangeable Trials. *Adv. Appl. Probab.* 15 (1983), 360-385.
- [2] A.D. Barbour, L.Hoslt, S. Janson, Poisson Approximation. Oxford Studies in Probability 2, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1992.
- [3] Boonta, K. Neammanee, Bonds on Random Infinite Urn Model. *Bull. Malays. Math.Sci.Soc.*, 30(2007), 121- 128.
- [4] T. Cacoullos, V. Papathanasiou, Characterization of Distributions by Variance Bounds. *Statist. Probab. Lett.*, 7 (1989), 351-356.
- [5] M. Majsnerowska, A Note on Poisson Approximation by w-function. *Appl. Math.* 25, 3 (1998), 387-392.
- [6] K. Teerapabolarn, On the Poisson Approximation to the Negative Hypergeometric Distribution, *Int. Math. Fourm.*, (2000), 1-8.