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Abstract— In this paper, we propose a novel time-frequency distribution (TFD) for the analysis of multi-component signals. In particular, we use synthetic as well as real-life speech signals to prove the superiority of the proposed TFD in comparison to some existing ones. In the comparison, we consider the cross-terms suppression and the high energy concentration of the signal around its instantaneous frequency (IF).
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I. INTRODUCTION

THE spectrogram, a smoothed version of the well-known Wigner-Ville distribution (WVD), has been widely used in speech applications [1], [2], [3], [4]. The spectrogram, which is in general a cross-terms free time-frequency distribution (TFD), suffers from the undesirable trade-off between the time concentration and the frequency concentration. To address the problem of cross-terms suppression, while keeping a high time-frequency resolution, other TFDs have been proposed. Among these, one can cite the smoothed pseudo WVD (SPWVD) [9], the Zhao-Atlas-Marks distribution (ZAMD) [5] and the B-distribution (BD) [6], just to name a few. In this paper, we present a new distribution for the analysis of multicomponent signals. This distribution, inspired from the Butterworth kernel quadratic TFD [8], has the ability of suppressing the cross-terms while keeping a high-resolution in the time-frequency plane. To assess the performance of this proposed distribution, we also propose to compare it to some existing ones known for their cross-terms suppression property.

II. THEORETICAL BACKGROUND FOR QUADRATIC TFDs

Quadratic, a.k.a. bilinear or Cohen’s, time-frequency representations are a powerful tool in the analysis of non-stationary signals analysis such as the speech signal, ECG signal, and others biomedical signals. Many of these representations are invariant to time and frequency translations and can be considered as energy distribution in time-frequency plane. The quadratic, or Cohen’s, class of TFDs can be formulated as

\[ C(x,y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^*(t) x(t-u) \Phi(u,v) du \]  

where \( x(t) \) represents the analytical form of signal under consideration and \( \Phi(u,v) \) is called the kernel of the distribution. All the integrals are from \(-\infty\) to \(+\infty\), unless otherwise stated. A choice of a particular kernel function yields a particular quadratic TFD. A different expression of the quadratic class may be given by

\[ A(x,y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^*(\xi,\tau) \Phi(\xi,\tau) \]  

where \( A(x,y) \), the ambiguity function, is given by

\[ \Phi(u,v) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^*(t) x(t-u) e^{-2\pi i (u+\frac{1}{2})} \xi dt \]  

Under the terms of Equation (2), we observe that the time-frequency distribution is a two-dimensional Fourier transform of the product of the kernel and the ambiguity function. The choice of a particular kernel function defines a different distribution with its own specificities [1], [2], [3]. For instance, the spectrogram (SP), traditionally used for the time-frequency analysis of speech signals, is defined by selecting the kernel as the ambiguity function of an arbitrary window function.

The B-distribution kernel [6], defined in the time-lag plane, can be expressed as

\[ \Phi(x,t) = |t| g(t \sin \pi x / \pi T) \]  

where \( g(t) \) is a window function.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, a theoretical aspect of some TFR interest is presented. A proposed high time-frequency resolution quadratic TFD is introduced in Section 3. In Section 4, simulations and comparison examples as well as a discussion are presented. Section 5 concludes the paper.
where $\beta$ is a real parameter that controls the sharpness of cut-off of the 2-D filter in the ambiguity domain. The $\beta$ values range between zero and unity $0 < \beta < 1$ [6].

As a final example of the kernel, we can cite the Butterworth kernel given by [7,8]

$$
\Phi (t,\tau) = \left[ \frac{1}{\cosh \frac{2\pi t}{\sigma}} \right]^2
$$

(5)

This kernel function is regarded as a general form of the exponential kernel representations and can be considered as a low-pass filter in the ambiguity domain. A suitable choice of the various parameters $N$, $M$, $\sigma_{\xi}$ and, $\sigma_{\tau}$ helps to remove the cross-terms that appear in the time-frequency domain in a multicomponent signal analysis.

### III. PROPOSED TIME-FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION

The adoption of a separable kernel function defines the pseudo smoothed Wigner-Ville (SPWV) [9] which has the advantage of reducing the effects of the interferences (ITs) or cross-terms and, in the same time, having a high time-frequency resolution. The general expression of separable kernel is written as

$$
\Phi (\xi,\tau) = \mathcal{G}(\xi) \mathcal{H}(\tau)
$$

(6)

and its corresponding TFD can be expressed as

$$
\text{SPWVD}(\xi,\tau) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{G}(\xi) \mathcal{H}(\tau) \delta(\xi-\phi(t), \tau-\theta(t)) e^{-j2\pi(\phi(t)\xi + \theta(t)\tau)} d\xi d\tau
$$

(7)

Here, we propose a separable kernel, inspired from the Butterworth kernel, to have a good trade-off between cross-terms suppression and high time-frequency resolution. We define the kernel as follows

$$
\Phi (\xi,\tau) = \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma_{\xi}} \right]^2 N \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma_{\tau}} \right]^2 2M
$$

(8)

We opt for this separable configuration because it gives us the flexibility of controlling both the time and the frequency resolutions independently. Using the inverse Fourier Transform and fixing $N$ equal to unity, $N=1$, we obtain the time-lag kernel expression given by

$$
\Phi (t,\tau) = \frac{1}{1 + \left( \frac{t}{\sigma_{\tau}} \right)^2} \frac{1}{\sigma_{\xi}} e^{-j2\pi \xi \tau} \exp \left( -\pi \sigma_{\xi} |t| \right)
$$

(9)

Now, by substituting expression (9) in Equation (8), we obtain the proposed TFD expression as

$$
\text{SPWVD}(\xi,\tau) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 + \left( \frac{t}{\sigma_{\tau}} \right)^2} \frac{1}{\sigma_{\xi}} e^{-j2\pi \xi \tau} \exp \left( -\pi \sigma_{\xi} |t| \right) R_{\xi}(\tau) e^{-j2\pi \xi \tau} d\tau
$$

(10)

Where

$$
R_{\xi}(\tau) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 + \left( \frac{t}{\sigma_{\tau}} \right)^2} \frac{1}{\sigma_{\xi}} e^{-j2\pi \xi \tau} \exp \left( -\pi \sigma_{\xi} |t| \right) ds
$$

(11)

### IV. EXAMPLES AND DISCUSSION

A speech signal, formed by multiple frequency components (formants) generates a great number of undesired cross-terms in time-frequency domain. It is very desirable to eliminate these cross-terms in order to facilitate the analysis of such signal. By selecting an appropriate kernel we can obtain less cross-terms in the time-frequency plane; however, the design of such kernels is, in general, not easy. To facilitate the task, we prefer to use separable kernels, as discussed earlier. The choice of the functions $\mathcal{G}(\xi)$ and $\mathcal{H}(\tau)$ is based on the a priori knowledge of the speech signal itself. Usually, the length of $g(\xi)$ must be less or equal to the time of stationarity of the signal. In [10], it was shown that for a speech signal, in the ambiguity plane, the interference terms can be reduced by limiting the extent of the variable $\xi$ (i.e., $|\xi| > 2f_0$), where $f_0$ is the bound between the auto terms and cross terms. It must satisfy $f_0 \leq \frac{1}{4} f_{\text{pitch}}$ ($f_{\text{pitch}}$ being the pitch frequency or fundamental frequency of the speech signal). Also, it is known that pitch frequency of a speech signal for men varies between 80Hz < $f_{\text{pitch}}$ < 200 Hz. Based on these observations, in the simulation examples, we fixed the value $f_{\text{pitch}}=0.004$ (refer to Equations (10)-(12) and the window length to a quarter of the signal duration [1]). The input speech signals considered in the examples were spoken in Arabic and digitalized with 8 bits at 11KHz sampling frequency.

#### A. Example 1: Signal with close components

In this first example, the synthetic signal consists of four sinusoids, very close in pairs. The first two sinusoids have frequencies equal to 1000 Hz and 1200 Hz, whereas the second pair of sinusoids have frequencies equal to 3000Hz and 3200 Hz, respectively. The signal length is fixed at $N=256$ and the sampling frequency is fixed equal to $f_s=1$Hz. This model with very closely components is discussed in order to prove the superiority of the proposed technique in the possibility of resolving close components in the time-frequency domain. As can be seen from Fig. 1, the simulations results obtained for the ZAMD, the BD and the proposed TFD show a better performance, in terms of frequency resolution, than the SP. Moreover, we can also observe that the highest performance is achieved for the proposed TFD.
In this example, we use two different window lengths in the evaluation of the TFDs. Namely; we use a medium size window length (65 samples) and a large size window length (129 samples). For each window length, we take slices of the TFDs at time instants \( n = 64 \) and \( n = 129 \) (recall that \( n = 0, 1, 2, ..., 255 \)). We plot the normalized amplitudes of these slices, for each window length, in Figures 1 and 2, respectively.

Once again, we can observe that the proposed TFD not only can successfully separate the components but it has the best resolution (i.e., narrower main-lobe and smaller side-lobes) compared to all the other considered distributions.

B. Example 2: Two crossed chirps and a constant frequency

In this example, the synthetic signal consists of two crossed chirps (one linearly increasing from 0.1Hz to 0.3Hz, the second linearly decreasing from 0.3Hz to 0.1Hz) and a constant frequency at 0.4 Hz. A unity sampling frequency is considered here with a signal length equal to 256. The same TFDs, for a window length equal to \( n_h=65 \), are represented in Figure 2.

Slices of these TFDs, taken at the same time instant \( n=64 \), are shown in Figure 3 for various analysis window lengths (i.e., 33, 65 and 129 samples). Here again, we see that the proposed TFD does better than the other ones. Now, some additive white Gaussian noise is added to the signal.

We consider three different cases: signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) equal to 0 dB, 5 dB and 10 dB. In Figure 4 we plot the same slices as above. The same conclusion can be drawn here again with the exception that at low SNR, all the TFDs start to show some distortions.

C. Example 3: Signal of vowel /a/

A real-life speech signal as vowel /a/ of length \( N=256 \) and sampled at frequency \( f_s=11 \) kHz is analyzed using SP, ZAMD, BD and proposed SPWVD. The results are displayed in Figures 5 and 6. The figures show four formants located at \( F_1=688 \) Hz, \( F_2=1167 \) Hz, \( F_3=2707 \) Hz, and \( F_4=3654 \) Hz. It can be seen in Figure 6 that the BD and the proposed TFD have done better frequency resolution.
V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we presented a novel member of the quadratic TFD. The kernel of this new TFD, inspired from the Butterworth kernel, was designed in such a way to have separable quantities for the time and lag variables in it. It was shown, using generated noisy data and real-life speech signals, that the proposed distributions achieves a high suppression of the cross-terms generally encountered in the analysis of non-stationary signals. Moreover, it was noted that this new TFD has a good resolution in the time-frequency plane. We have also presented a qualitative comparative study with other distributions known for their high cross-terms suppression property. The simulation results have confirmed the superiority of the proposed technique in terms of trade-off between cross-terms suppression and high energy concentration in the time-frequency domain.
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