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Abstract—Assume that we have $m$ identical graphs where the graphs consist of paths with $k$ vertices where $k$ is a positive integer. In this paper, we discuss certain labelling of the $m$ graphs called $c$-Erdősian for some positive integers $c$. We regard labellings of the vertices of the graphs by positive integers, which induce the edge labels for the paths as the sum of the two incident vertex labels. They have the property that each vertex label and edge label appears only once in the set of positive integers $\{c, \ldots, c+6m-1\}$. Here, we show how to construct certain $c$-Erdősian of $m$ paths with 2 and 3 vertices by using Skolem sequences.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Graph labellings are assignment of integers to the vertices or edges, or both, subject to certain conditions. In 1963, Sedláček [7] introduced magic labellings for graphs. A connected graph is said to be semi-magic if there is a labelling of the edges with integers such that for each vertex $v$ the sum of the labels of all edges incident with $v$ is the same for all $v$. A semi-magic labelling where the edges are labelled with distinct positive integers is called a magic labelling. In 1970, Kotzig and Rosa [4] introduced magic labellings of a graph $G(V, E)$ as a bijection $f$ from $V \cup E$ to $\{1, 2, \ldots, |V \cup E|\}$ such that for all edges $xy$, $f(x) + f(y) + f(xy)$ is constant and this type of graph labelling is called edge-magic total labelling. In 1999, MacDougall, Miller, Slamin, and Wallis [5] introduced the notion of a vertex-magic total labelling. For a graph $G(V, E)$, an injective mapping $f$ from $V \cup E$ to the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, |V| + |E|\}$ is a vertex-magic total labelling if there is a constant $k$, called the magic constant, such that for every vertex $v$, $f(v) + \sum f(vu) = k$ where the sum is over all vertices $u$ adjacent to $v$.

Let $m \cdot P_k = (V_m, E_m)$ be the finite (disconnected) graph with vertex set $V$ of size $|V| = km$ and edge set $E_m$ of size $|E_m| = km$, consisting of $m$ disjoint paths. When $k = 2$, $m \cdot P_2$ represents $m$ disjoint paths with 2 vertices and when $k = 3$, $m \cdot P_3$ represents $m$ disjoint paths with 3 vertices. A total labelling of the graph $m \cdot P_k$ is a positive integer valued function $f : V_m \cup E_m \rightarrow N$. A labelling is said to be magic if its range consists of the integers $\{1, 2, \ldots, (2k-1)m\}$ and it is said to be $c$-magic if its range consists of the integers $\{c, c+1, \ldots, c+(2k-1)m-1\}$, for any positive integer $c > 0$.

We say that $f$ is a $c$-Erdősian triangle labelling if it is $c$-magic and if it has the following property: For any edge $xy \in E_m$, with $x, y \in V_m$ we have $f(x) + f(y) = f(xy)$.

For convenience, we say that $m \cdot P_k$ is $c$-Erdősian if it satisfies the conditions above for any positive integer $k$.

Let $m \cdot P_k$ consists of $m$ disjoint paths with $k$ vertices. Let $D_2^k = \{a_i, b_i, a_i + b_i\}$, $i = 1, \ldots, m$ be their vertex and edge labels for each path with 2 vertices. By using the similar terminology, we have $D_3^k = \{a_i, b_i, c_i, a_i + b_i + c_i\}$, $i = 1, \ldots, m$ be the vertex and edge labels for each path with 3 vertices. In other word, the system $\{D_2^k, \ldots, D_m^k\}$ for $k = 2, 3$ is called $c$-Erdősian if its range consists of the integers $\{c, c+1, \ldots, c+(2k-1)m-1\}$, for any positive integer $c > 0$.

In this paper, we only consider graph which consists of $m$ paths with 2 or 3 vertices. The following is an example of the $2 \cdot P_3$ which is 3-Erdősian:

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
9 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 4 & 6 \\
12 & 8 & 11 & 10 & & \\
\end{array}
\]

Skolem sequences and their generalizations have been widely used in the construction of combinatorial design. A Skolem sequence of order $n$ is a sequence $S = (s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_{2n})$ of $2n$ integers satisfying the conditions:

(S1) for every $k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ there exist exactly two elements $s_i, s_j \in S$ such that $s_i = s_j = k$;

(S2) if $s_i = s_j = k$ with $i < j$, then $j - i = k$.

A hooked Skolem sequence of order $n$ is a sequence $S = (s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_{2n+1})$ of $2n+1$ integers satisfying the conditions (S1) and (S2) above and

(S3) $s_{2n} = 0$.

To construct our $c$-Erdősian of $m$ paths, we will use the Langford sequence if $c > 1$. A Langford sequence of order $n$ and defect $d$, $n > d$, is a sequence $L = (l_1, l_2, \ldots, l_{2n})$ of $2n$ integers satisfying the conditions:

(L1) for every $k \in \{d, d+1, \ldots, d+n-1\}$ there exist exactly two elements $l_i, l_j \in L$ such that $l_i = l_j = k$; and

(L2) if $l_i = l_j = k$ with $i < j$, then $j - i = k$. 
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The **hooked Langford** sequences of order \( n \) and defect \( d \) is a sequence \( L = (l_1, l_2, \ldots, l_{2n+1}) \) of \( 2n + 1 \) integers satisfying conditions (L1) and (L2) above and

\[ L(3) = l_{2n} = 0. \]

Clearly, a (hooked) Langford sequence with defect 1 is a (hooked) Skolem sequence. It is well-known that a Skolem sequence of order \( n \) exists if and only if \( n \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4} \) [9] and a hooked Skolem sequence of order \( n \) exists if and only if \( n \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4} \) [6]. The following theorem gives necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of Langford sequences.

**Theorem 1:** [8] A Langford sequence of order \( n \) and defect \( d \) exists if and only if

1. \( n \geq 2d - 1 \), and
2. \( n \equiv 0,1 \pmod{4} \) and \( d \) is odd, or \( n \equiv 2,3 \pmod{4} \) and \( d \) is even.

A hooked Langford sequence of order \( n \) and defect \( d \) exists if and only if

1. \( n(n-2d+1)+2 \geq 0 \), and
2. \( n \equiv 2,3 \pmod{4} \) and \( d \) is odd, or \( n \equiv 1,2 \pmod{4} \) and \( d \) is even.

**II. SKOLEM SEQUENCES AND ERDÖSIAN LABELLINGS OF \( m \) PATHS \( P_2 \)**

We begin by considering the paths with two vertices.

**Proposition 1:** The path \( P_2 \) is 1-Erdösian but it is not \( c \)-Erdösian for \( c \geq 2 \).

**Proof:** Let \( x_1, x_2 \) is an enumeration of the vertices of \( P_2 \). If \( X \) be the sum of the vertex labels, then \( X \geq c + (c+1) = 2c+1 \). Note that the total sum of all the labels is \( 2X = c + (c+1) + (c+2) = 3c+3 \), and it follows that \( X = \frac{1}{2}(3c+3) \). Therefore \( \frac{1}{2}(3c+3) \geq 2c+1 \), and hence \( c \leq 1 \). \( \square \)

For the case \( c = 1 \), there is only a 1-Erdösian labelling for \( P_2 \) as follow:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 2 & 3 \\
\end{array}
\]

We first look at the following necessary condition:

**Proposition 2:** If \( m \cdot P_2 \) is \( c \)-Erdösian, then \( c \leq \frac{m+1}{2} \).

**Proof:** Let \( D_2^i \) be the set of vertex and edge labels for \( i \)-th path with 2 vertices where \( D_2^i = \{a_i, b_i, a_i+b_i\} \), \( i = 1,2,\ldots,m \). Note that \( D_2^1 \cup \cdots \cup D_2^m = \{c, c+1, \ldots, c+3m-1\} \). Let \( TS \) be the sum of the vertices and \( BS \) be the sum of edges. Then, \( TS = a_i + b_i \) and \( BS = (a_i + b_i) = TS \) for all \( i = 1,\ldots,m \). Note that

\[
TS \geq c + (c+1) + \cdots + [c + (2m-1)] = m(2c+2m-1)
\]

and

\[
BS \leq (c + 2m) + \cdots + [c + (3m-1)] = m \frac{m}{2}(2c + 5m - 1).
\]

Then \( m(2c+2m-1) \leq m \frac{m}{2}(2c + 5m - 1) \) and it follows that \( c \leq \frac{m+1}{2} \). \( \square \)

By referring to [9], [1] and [2], we recall that a skolem sequence of order \( n \) exists if and only if \( n \equiv 0,1 \pmod{4} \). When \( n = 1 \), we take \( (1) \). When \( n = 4 \), take \( (1,1,3,4,2,3,2,4) \) and if we rewrite in ordered pairs, we have \( (1,2), (5,7), (3,6), (4,8) \). When \( n = 5 \), take \( (2,4,2,3,5,4,3,1,1,5) \). When \( n > 5 \), we use the construction of order paired as follows:

\[
n = 4s \quad : \quad \begin{cases} 
(4s - r + 1, 8s - r + 1), & r = 1, \ldots, 2s; \\
(r, 4s - r - 1), & r = 1, \ldots, s - 2; \\
(s + r + 1, 3s - r), & r = 1, \ldots, s - 2; \\
(s - 1, 3s), (s, s + 1), (2s, 4s - 1), & (2s + 1, 6s)
\end{cases}
\]

\[
n = 4s + 1 \quad : \quad \begin{cases} 
(4s + r - 1, 8s - r + 3), & r = 1, \ldots, 2s; \\
(r, 4s - r + 1), & r = 1, \ldots, s - 1; \\
(s + r + 2, 3s - r + 1), & r = 1, \ldots, s - 2; \\
(s + 1, s + 2), (2s + 1, 6s + 2), & (2s + 2, 4s + 1)
\end{cases}
\]

The following result is clear by the construction of Skolem sequences above.

**Theorem 2:** There exists an 1-Erdösian of \( m \cdot P_2 \) when \( m \equiv 0,1 \pmod{4} \).

**Example 1:** When \( n = 4 \), we have the following skolem sequences in ordered pair:

\[
(1, 2), (5, 7), (3, 6), (4, 8).
\]

By adding \( n \) to all the value in the ordered pairs above and writing the difference of the ordered pair in the first position of triples, we have the set of triples

\[
\{(1, 5, 6), (2, 9, 11), (3, 7, 10), (4, 8, 12)\}.
\]

The first two values in the triples will be the vertex labels for each path \( P_2 \) and hence we get an 1-Erdösian for \( 4 \cdot P_2 \) easily by using Skolem sequences. \( \square \)

We can use the similar argument to construct a \( c \)-Erdösian of \( m \cdot P_2 \) if there exists a Langford sequence of order \( m \) and defect \( c \). For example, given a Langford sequence of order 5 and defect 3, \( L = (7,5,3,6,4,3,5,7,4,6) \), we obtain the triples as follows:

\[
\{(3, 10, 13), (4, 12, 16), (5, 9, 14), (6, 11, 17), (7, 8, 15)\}.
\]

Hence, the first two values in the triples will be the vertex labels and the last values will be the edge label for each path \( P_2 \).

We note that the \( c \)-Erdösian of \( m \cdot P_2 \) exists if there exists a Langford sequence of order \( m \) and defect \( c \). From the Theorem 1, we have the following:

**Theorem 3:** There exists a \( c \)-Erdösian of \( m \cdot P_2 \) if

1. \( m \geq 2c - 1 \), and
2. \( m \equiv 0,1 \pmod{4} \) and \( c \) is odd, or \( m \equiv 2,3 \pmod{4} \) and \( c \) is even.
III. ERD\'OSIAN LABELLINGS OF $m$ PATHS $P_3$

In this section, we shall consider the paths with three vertices.

**Proposition 3:** If $m \cdot P_3$ is c-Erd\'osian, then $c \leq \frac{2m+1}{2}$.

**Proof.** Let $D^n_i$ be the set of vertex and edge labels for $i$-th path with 3 vertices where $D^n_i = \{a_i, b_i, c_i, a_i + b_i, b_i + c_i\}$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$. Note that $D^n_1 \cup \cdots \cup D^n_m = \{c, c+1, \ldots, c+5m-1\}$ and sum of the first two vertex labels $a_i + b_i \geq c + (c+1) + \cdots + [c + (2m-1)] = m(2c + 2m - 1)$. Similarly, we have the other sum of the two vertex labels is $b_i + c_i \geq m(2c + 2m - 1)$ and it follows that

$$a_i + b_i + c_i \geq 2m(2c + 2m - 1).$$

The sum of the edge labels

$$(a_i+b_i)+(b_i+c_i) \leq (c+3m) + \cdots + (c+5m-1) = m(2c+8m-1)$$

It follows that

$$2m(2c+2m-1) \leq (c+3m) + \cdots + (c+5m-1) = m(2c+8m-1)$$

Therefore $c \leq \frac{2m+1}{2}$. □

For the case $m = 1$, $P_3$ is 1-Erd\'osian as given below:

\[
\begin{align*}
2 & 1 4 \\
3 & 5.
\end{align*}
\]

Skolem sequences can also be used to construct $m \cdot P_3$ if $m \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}$. However the way of constructing the paths with 3 vertices is not that direct as given in the $m \cdot P_2$. We use the skolem sequence and the property of c-Erd\'osian triangle labelling for $m$ triangles for construction.

We continue this section by introducing the definition of the c-Erd\'osian triangle labelling for $m$-triangles.

Let $GT_m = (V_m, E_m)$ be the finite (disconnected) graph with vertex set $V$ of size $|V| = 3m$ and edge set $E_m$ of size $|E_m| = 3m$, consisting of $m$ disjoint triangles $K_3$, that is we let $GT_m = m \cdot K_3$. A total labelling of the graph $GT_m$ is a positive integer valued function $f : V_m \cup E_m \rightarrow N$. In [3], we say that $f$ is a c-Erd\'osian triangle labelling if it is c-magic and if it has the following property: For any edge $xy \in E_m$, with $x, y \in V_m$ we have

$$f(x) + f(y) = f(xy).$$

For convenience, we say that $GT_m$ is c-Erd\'osian if it satisfies the conditions above.

**Proposition 4:** If the sum of the vertex labels over any one triangle is a constant, then

$$a_i + b_i + c_i = 2c + 6m - 1 \text{ for all } i = 1, 2, \ldots, m. \quad (1)$$

**Proof.** The constant in (1) is obtained by summing over all labels,

\[
\begin{align*}
&c + (c+1) + \cdots + (c+6m-1) = 6mc + 3m(6m-1) \\
&= 3m(2c + 6m - 1)
\end{align*}
\]

and then dividing this expression by $3m$, since there are $m$ triangles and each triangle has total sum of labels $3(a_i + b_i + c_i)$. □

However, not all the $GT_m$ is c-Erd\'osian with constant vertex labels over the $m$ triangles. The following is an example which the sum of the vertex labels over each triangle which is all distinct.

\[
\begin{align*}
1 & 2 15 4 5 23 6 7 18 \\
3 & 16 17, 9 27 28, 13 24 25, \\
8 & 12 14 10 11 19 \\
20 & 22 26, 21 29 30.
\end{align*}
\]

The results below are straightforward by the Proposition 4.

**Proposition 5:** There exists an 1-Erd\'osian of $m \cdot P_3$ when $m \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}$.

**Theorem 4:** For any $1 \leq c \leq \frac{m+1}{2}$, there exists a c-Erd\'osian of $m \cdot P_3$ when

(i) $m \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}$ and $c$ is odd, or

(ii) $m \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}$ and $c$ is even.

**Example 2:** Given a Langford sequence in triples for $m = 3$ and $c = 2$ as follow: \{(2, 7, 9), (3, 5, 8), (4, 6, 10)\}. The first two integers in each triple of are the vertex labels of a triangle and the third vertex label of the triangle can be obtained by using Proposition 4. So the 2-Erd\'osian of $GT_3$ is clear from the following 2-by-3 arrays:

\[
\begin{align*}
2 & 7 12 3 5 13 4 6 11 \\
9 & 14 19, 8 16 18, 10 15 17.
\end{align*}
\]

By deleting the largest edge label in each triangle, we have the following 2-Erd\'osian of 3 \cdot $P_3$:

\[
\begin{align*}
7 & 2 12 5 3 13 6 4 11 \\
9 & 14, 8 16, 10 15. \quad \square
\end{align*}
\]

Hooked Langford sequences can also be used to construct $m \cdot P_3$ as shown in the next example.

**Example 3:** From the hooked Langford sequences for the case $m = 5$ and $c = 2$, we can rewrite in triples as \{(2, 7, 9), (3, 12, 15), (4, 10, 14), (5, 8, 13), (6, 11, 17)\}. Since $c_i = 2(2+6(5))-1-a_i-b_i = 33-a_i-b_i$, we have the following 2-Erd\'osian arrays of 5 triangles:

\[
\begin{align*}
2 & 7 24 3 12 18 4 10 19 \\
9 & 26 31, 15 21 30, 14 23 29, \\
5 & 8 20 6 11 16 \\
13 & 25 28, 17 22 27.
\end{align*}
\]

Hence, the 2-Erd\'osian of 5 \cdot $P_3$ are listed below:

\[
\begin{align*}
7 & 2 24 12 3 18 10 4 19 \\
9 & 26, 15 21, 14 23, \\
8 & 5 20 11 6 16 \\
13 & 25, 17 22. \quad \square
\end{align*}
\]
By using the hooked Langford sequence, we can construct $m \cdot P_3$ which is $c$-Erdősian under certain conditions given by Theorem 1 as follows:

**Theorem 5:** A $c$-Erdősian of $m \cdot P_3$ exists if

(i) $m(m - 2c + 1) + 2 \geq 0$, and

(ii) $m \equiv 2,3 \pmod{4}$ and $c$ is odd, or $m \equiv 1,2 \pmod{4}$ and $c$ is even.
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