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Abstract—Launch and recovery helicopter wind envelope for a ship type was determined as the first step to the helicopter qualification program. Flight deck velocities data were obtained by means of a two components laser Doppler anemometer testing a 1/50th model in the wind tunnel stream. Full-scale flight deck measurements were obtained on board the ship using a sonic anemometer. Wind tunnel and full-scale measurements were compared, showing good agreement and finally, a preliminary launch and recovery helicopter wind envelope for this specific ship was built.
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I. INTRODUCTION

AERODYNAMIC environment in the vicinity of a ship is highly complex and influenced by a large number of factors. Land-based helicopter operations are typically carried out over large flat platforms in open spaces under low airwake turbulence levels. The ship superstructure produces high airwake turbulence levels and the platform is never static. The interaction of the atmospheric wind and sea state with the ship creates the operational environment for the helicopter, different for every ship type [1]. Shipboard helicopter operations are performed in a very adverse and turbulent environment. Relative wind over the flight deck may be constrained by ship operational requirements, forcing the aircraft to land in non-ideal conditions [1]. Operations on board ships require special procedures which introduce additional limitations. These limitations are not provided by the helicopter manufacturer, since they depend to a large extent on the ship involved and its environment [2]. Airflow characteristics above the ship’s flight deck and along the flight approach paths are usually measured on a scaled model in the wind tunnel and verified experimentally on the actual subject ship. A preliminary flight envelope is assessed by determining the influence of the ship environment on the helicopter capabilities. Finally the preliminary envelope is verified by means of flight trials on the ship. The test results lead to safe maximum Ship Helicopter Operational Limitations (SHOLs) [2]. Wind limitations are a key piece in the helicopter-ship qualification testing program. The wind limitations data are presented in graph form as a polar diagram (launch/recovery wind envelope), the radius representing the wind speed and the azimuth the wind direction as measured by the ship’s systems.

Fig. 1. Coordinate system and velocities [4]

All launch and recovery operations sequences need to establish a steady hover 5 meters (~15 feet) above the flight deck before descending vertically and landing [1]. This point is located vertically on the half deck length and width [3] and represents a very hazardous path point because the helicopter is hovering into the superstructure airwake. This paper presents the results obtained when measuring the flow velocity in the critical point of flight deck. The measures were carried out both in wind tunnel and on board full-scale ship and compared by means of graphs and regression analysis. Finally, a preliminary launch/recovery wind envelope for a specific ship was built from wind tunnel data as a first step to the ship-helicopter qualification program. Thus subsequent steps of the program are dedicated to complete additional information about flight tests on board by means of helicopter and ship key parameters.

II. RELATIVE WIND

An axes body system fixed to the ship (referred to as the ship coordinate system) is defined in order to perform a wind velocities study [4]. The origin of the ship coordinate system is located in the plane of the ship waterline, at the mid point of the for-aft line of symmetry. Assuming a ship rectilinear cruise at constant velocity $V_S$, rectilinear cruise along the x axis and the atmospheric wind is blowing over the ship with velocity $V_W$ after $\beta$ angle with the x axis (see Fig. 1). The $V_S$ and $V_W$ vector velocities are coplanar and parallel to the sea plane.

The relative wind velocity $V_r$ is the wind vector resulting from the true wind (atmospheric wind) and ship’s course and speed, and is given by,

$$V_r = V_W - V_S$$

(1)
\[ V_r = V_r \left( -\cos \phi \cdot \mathbf{i} - \sin \phi \cdot \mathbf{j} \right) \]  
\[ \text{(2)} \]

where \( \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} \) are unitary vectors along the \( x \) and \( y \) axes, respectively, and \( \phi \) is the relative wind angle between relative wind and the longitudinal ship axis (Fig. 2). The relative wind vector components are obtained by projection over the ship coordinate system, \( u = V_r \cdot \mathbf{i} \) and \( v = V_r \cdot \mathbf{j} \), giving,

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
u \\
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
-V_r \cdot \cos \phi \\
-V_r \cdot \sin \phi
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[ \text{(3)} \]

Moreover, these components could be as a \( \beta \) angle function, giving,

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
u \\
u \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
-V_W \cdot \cos \beta - V_S \\
-V_W \cdot \sin \beta
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[ \text{(4)} \]

The angles are related to wind velocities as follows,

\[
\frac{\sin \phi}{\sin \beta} = \frac{V_W}{V_r}
\]

The relative wind velocity modulus is given by,

\[
V_r = \sqrt{V_W^2 + V_S^2 + 2V_WV_S \cos \beta}
\]

\[ \text{(6)} \]

The tangent of the relative wind angle is given by,

\[
\tan \phi = \frac{V_W \cdot \sin \beta}{V_W \cdot \cos \beta + V_S}
\]

\[ \text{(7)} \]

### III. FLIGHT DECK FLOWFIELD

The flight deck is the ship helideck area located downstream the hangar. One of the largest factors in the variation of flight deck wind flow is the ship or landing platform structure which is by definition a bluff body [5].

Bluff bodies are defined as having a massive separated region in its wake at Reynolds number ranges of order \( 10^4 \) and greater [5].

Two dimensional flight deck flowfield approximates to that of a backwards facing step (Fig. 3), with a closed recirculation zone bounded by an unsteady shear layer emanating from the top of the hangar and reattaching on the flight deck [3].

![Fig. 2 Wind velocities diagram](image1)

Extending the model into three dimensions requires to consider the flow perpendicular to the vertical face. Literature [6]-[8] suggests a characteristic flow as shown in Fig. 4 which has been observed through extensive flow visualization tests. A large recirculation region behind the step is produced by the flow incoming to the flight deck from the sides of the ship and causing counter-rotating vortices on each side of the recirculation region. The results in a unsteady horseshoe vortex structure. This unsteadiness of the flow causes this structure to grow, dissipate and move spatially in an unpredictable manner. Adding another degree of complexity, situations where the freestream has a crosswind component must also be considered [9].

A bluff body immersed in a fluid stream will shed vortices with the called natural shedding frequency \( f \),

\[
f = \frac{U \cdot St}{L}
\]

\[ \text{(8)} \]

where \( U \) is the free stream velocity, \( L \) is a characteristic body dimension (usually width) and \( St \) is the Strouhal number for shedding, which is in general a function of the body shape and the Reynolds number.
Reynolds number (\(Re\)) that represents the inertial to viscous forces ratio is given by,

\[
Re = \frac{\rho UL}{\mu}
\]  

(9)

where \(\rho\) is the fluid density, \(U\) the flow velocity, \(L\) is a characteristic body dimension and \(\mu\) the dynamic viscosity coefficient. Thus the typical Reynolds number of the aerodynamic flow around a ship is \(Re \sim 10^7\) [10].

Moreover, the aerodynamic flow around a ship is incompressible, so the Mach number is of order \(5 \cdot 10^{-2}\). Mach velocities, respectively.

Prototype Reynolds numbers must be equal for dynamic similarity, which is a first requirement.

Geometric similarity requires that all dimensions of the model and prototype have the same length scale ratio,

\[
\lambda_L = \frac{L_m}{L_p}
\]

(11)

where \(L_m\) and \(L_p\) represent the model and the prototype lengths, respectively.

Kinematic similarity requires that the model and prototype have the same velocity scale ratio at all points [11],

\[
\lambda_V = \frac{V_m}{V_p}
\]

(12)

where \(V_m\) and \(V_p\) represent the model and the prototype flow velocities, respectively.

Dynamic similarity exists when the model and prototype have the same length, time and force scale ratios. Geometric similarity is a first requirement.

For no free surface and incompressible flow model and prototype Reynolds numbers must be equal (\(Re_m = Re_p\)) [12].

If kinematic viscosities are equal (i.e.: prototype and model are both in air under atmospheric conditions), Reynolds number scaling requires simply that the velocity scale ratio is given by [11],

\[
\lambda_V = \lambda_L^{-1}
\]

(13)

Time scale ratio is [12],

\[
\lambda_T = \frac{\lambda_L}{\lambda_V} = \lambda_L^2
\]

(14)

A. Kinematic Similarity

The velocity scale ratio exists between homologous points, hence, the relative wind \(V_r\) over the ship is related to the free stream wind tunnel velocity \(V_t\) as follows,

\[
\lambda_V = \frac{V_t}{V_r}
\]

(15)

dividing both velocity scale expressions we obtain,

\[
1 = \frac{V_m}{V_p} = \frac{\hat{V}_m}{\hat{V}_p}
\]

(16)

where \(\hat{V}_m\) and \(\hat{V}_p\) are non-dimensional airflow velocities in the model and prototype, respectively, and finally,

\[
\hat{V}_m = \hat{V}_p
\]

(17)

that indicates non-dimensional airflow velocities in the model and prototype are equal.

B. Dynamic Similarity

For wind tunnel test of bluff bodies like trucks, buildings and ships, it is not necessary Reynolds numbers equality because the flow is Reynolds number independent above some threshold value of Reynolds (\(Re \sim 10^5\)), typically when the boundary layer and the wake are both fully turbulent [13].

Moreover, it has been demonstrated that the flow characteristics for several sharp-edges bodies are unchanged with \(Re\) varying from \(10^4\) to \(10^7\). Although Reynolds based on full-scale ship beam could be of an order of magnitude larger, it can be argued that no significant changes will occur with this increase in Reynolds [10].

C. Boundary Layer

When testing ships in wind tunnels it is usual to simulate a uniform velocity profile with the minimum of turbulence [5]. That is justified because the roughness length \(Z_0\) depends on the mean wind speed, because growing wind speeds increase the height of the waves and consequently the surface roughness. However, aerodynamically waves turn out to be very smooth, probably because they are rounded, and in general are translating in the mean wind direction [5], [14].

Jensen number (\(Je\)) is a non-dimensional quantity that determines the characteristic length (\(L_c\)) to the roughness length (\(Z_0\)) ratio [15],

\[
Je = \frac{L_c}{Z_0}
\]

(18)

When the Jensen number is above some value (typically 2000) the roughness length effect is independent of \(Je\) and the boundary layer effects are not relevant for the problem solution.

A typical marine surface roughness length \(Z_0 \sim 10^{-3}\) meters [16] following equation (18) requires a characteristic length \(L_c \sim 2\) m when \(Je = 2000\). Typical beam measurements for non-carrier ships (frigates) range from about 14 to 19 m with helideck elevations from 2 to 6 m [5] hence roughness length effect is not relevant for these ship type.
D. Experimental Set-up

A 1/50th scale (λ_L = 1/50) wood model of the above waterline portion of a ship has been built and tested in the wind tunnel (Fig. 5). The model is two meters in length and 0.17 meters in hangar height (H). The hangar width (beam) is 1.65 H.

The wind tunnel is a low-speed continuous-flow type with an elliptic open test section (3 m x 2 m) and closed circuit. The maximum airflow velocity is 60 m/s (450 kW) while the turbulence intensity is 0.8%. Flat floor tests are possible situating a mobile platform in the test section. The flat platform simulates the marine boundary layer when testing ship models.

The wind tunnel freestream was 20 m/s corresponding to a Reynolds number based on the hangar width of 3.9E5, above the critical Reynolds number. Then the vortex shedding frequency into the flight deck flow can be estimate from the Strouhal number (St is 0.15 for bluff bodies such as squared or rectangular cylinders, [17]) resulting the vortex shedding frequency of approx. 11 Hz following the equation (8).

Marine boundary layer effects were no simulated because the helideck height relative to the wind tunnel floor was 0.125 meters giving a Jensen number of 6250 (> 2000).

The model was positioned to different relative wind angles (each 10 degrees) using an automatic traverse system which is flight deck vertically over the center of the helideck. The position analyzed was above 0.10 m and centered on 0.17 meters in hangar height (H). The hangar width (beam) is 1.65 H.

ON BOARD MEASUREMENTS

Wind velocities measurements above the flight deck obtained from the wind tunnel were verified by tests carried out on board the ship.

The ship course was fixed during 15 minutes. The relative wind angle was incremented by 10 degrees each 15 minutes from -90º to +90º. The mean ship velocity during the experiments was about 4 m/s (~8 knots).

A sonic three-components anemometer Metek mod. USA-1 [19] was located in the center of flight deck over a mast 5 meters height. Valid on board measurements requires a correct orientation of the anemometer with the longitudinal axis ship (see Fig. 6). The anemometer orientation was done by means of a mirror and a laser beam.

The non-dimensional wind velocity in the flight deck is obtained from the sonic anemometer measurements after the following expressions,

\[ \frac{u_{tunnel}}{V_t} = \frac{u_{lda}}{V_t} \]

where \( u_{lda} \) and \( v_{lda} \) represents the velocity components averaged over five thousand samples measured by the LDA in the critical point over the flight deck and \( V_t \) is the modulus of the mean wind tunnel free stream velocity. The velocity data are normalised by the free stream velocity (not the local velocity magnitude) following [3].

Sampling rate of LDA was of order 600 Hz, enough after the Nyquist criteria, because the shedding frequency was estimated around 11 Hz, according to equation (8).

The wind tunnel free stream velocity during the test is known by a differential pressure transducer, the calibration factor of the tunnel, the pressure and temperature of the wind tunnel fluid veine.

The laser is connected to an optic fiber for illumination and reception of back-scattered light from the tracer particles. Two velocity components were measured simultaneously using two independent channels, corresponding to different wavelengths: 514.5 nm (green) and 488 nm (blue).

The signal output from the Photo Detector Module (PDM 1000) is sent to the signal processor (FSA 4000) and FlowSizer data analysis software resolves the velocity components.

Olive oil seeding particles 1 μm in diameter were produced by means of a Laskin aerosol generator [18]. The particles were injected into the settling chamber six meters upstream the wind tunnel test section.

The position analyzed was above 0.10 m and centered on the model flight deck but varying the incidence angle of the wind, in order to simulate different relative wind angle.

From the laser Doppler anemometer measurements the non-dimensional wind data in the model flight deck are obtained as a relative wind angle function, after the following expressions,

\[ \frac{v_{tunnel}}{V_t} = \frac{v_{lda}}{V_t} \]

E. Instrumentation

Laser Doppler Anemometry (LDA) was used to acquire wind velocity data. LDA is a non-intrusive optical measurement technique used to measure the velocity at a point of the flow.

A commercial two components Laser Doppler Anemometer system from TSI, Inc. was used to measure two components of the velocity. Light source is provided by a continuous 3 watts Argon-ion laser mod. INNOVA 70C.
\[ \hat{u}_{\text{ship}} = \frac{u_{an}}{V_r} \]  
\[ \hat{v}_{\text{ship}} = \frac{v_{an}}{V_r} \]  

where \( u_{an} \) and \( v_{an} \) represent the velocity components measured by the sonic anemometer in the critical point over the flight deck and \( V_r \) is the modulus of the mean relative wind velocity blowing on the ship.

Sampling rate of the sonic anemometer was 1 Hz, hence nine hundred wind data were recorded during fifteen minutes at fixed course (constant relative wind angle).

Sampling frequency was according to the Nyquist criteria because the ship vortex shedding frequency was estimated around 0.1 Hz (St \( \sim 0.15 \)) according to equation (8) based on the hangar width and assuming the relative wind velocity of order 10 m/s.

Environmental conditions were measured by a thermohygrometer Testo 610 and a digital barometer Druck DPI 101.

A second sonic anemometer was installed at the bow of the ship in order to verify the measurements of the ship anemometers. The sonic anemometer was situated on a mast 5 meters above the ship floor and 14 meters upstream the bridge, giving undisturbed relative wind velocities measurements.

Fig. 7 shows the histogram of the relative wind velocity blowing on the bow ship in the configuration to \( \phi = 0^\circ \). During fifteen minutes, nine hundred wind data were recorded in the range from -11.11 to -7.76 m/s. The averaged velocity was -9.41 m/s corresponding to Reynolds number of 1.3E7 based on the hangar width. Negative velocities indicate the relative flow was coming to the ship. The standard deviation was 0.51 m/s.

Fig. 8 shows \( u \) wind velocity component measured by the sonic anemometer located in the flight deck (\( \phi = 0^\circ \)). The \( u \) wind data were recorded in the range from -5.85 to +0.76 m/s. The \( u \) mean velocity was -2.58 m/s and the standard deviation was 1.31 m/s.

Fig. 9 shows \( v \) wind velocity component measured by the sonic anemometer located in the flight deck (\( \phi = 0^\circ \)). The \( v \) wind data were recorded in the range from -4.40 to +4.97 m/s. The \( v \) mean velocity was -0.02 m/s and the standard deviation was 1.12 m/s.

The flight deck flow velocity is lower than relative wind velocity blowing on the bow ship. Standard deviation shows the opposite effect; is higher in the flight deck which indicates a higher level of turbulence.
VI. RESULTS

Results obtained from the wind tunnel model (continuous line) and full-scale on board ship (dots) measurements are showed in graph form (Fig. 10), both curves have a similar trend. Turbulence intensity in the flight deck was calculated from wind velocity measurements, both in wind tunnel and in ship, as follows,

\[
I_{u_{tunnel}} = \frac{\sigma_{u_{tunnel}}}{V_t} \quad I_{v_{tunnel}} = \frac{\sigma_{v_{tunnel}}}{V_t} \quad (23)
\]

\[
I_{u_{ship}} = \frac{\sigma_{u_{ship}}}{V_r} \quad I_{v_{ship}} = \frac{\sigma_{v_{ship}}}{V_r} \quad (24)
\]

where \( i \) and \( \sigma_i \) represent turbulence intensity and standard deviation of the “i” velocity component, respectively. Turbulence intensity is calculated by dividing the standard deviation by the corresponding free stream velocity (not the local velocity magnitude) following [3].
Fig. 12 shows the turbulence intensity value for both components obtained from wind velocity measurements. In general wind tunnel and ship curves show similar trend, except some isolated point. Peaks of maximum turbulence intensity are to $\phi = -30^\circ$ on the negative branch and to $\phi = +30^\circ$ to $+40^\circ$ on the positive branch. Higher turbulence intensity is approx. 37% as measured in the ship flow for $I_v$ turbulence intensity.

Shipboard safety helicopters operations will not exceed aerodynamic limitations which are specific for each helicopter type. Wind velocity limitations could be forward wind velocity 25.0 m/s ($\sim 50$ knots) and sideward wind velocity 17.5 m/s ($\sim 35$ knots) [20],

$$u_{\text{lim}} = 25.0 \text{ m/s} \quad (25)$$
$$v_{\text{lim}} = 17.5 \text{ m/s} \quad (26)$$

Criteria above mentioned let us obtain the maximum relative wind velocity based on the non-dimensional velocities measured in the flight deck,

$$V_1 = \frac{u_{\text{lim}}}{u} \quad (27)$$
$$V_2 = \frac{v_{\text{lim}}}{v} \quad (28)$$

The projection of relative wind on the $x$ and $y$ axes, let us obtain relative wind velocity in the approach path bounded the outer influence ship flow,

$$V_{1x} = \frac{u_{\text{lim}}}{\cos \phi} \quad (29)$$
$$V_{2x} = \frac{v_{\text{lim}}}{\sin \phi} \quad (30)$$

Thus the maximum of the relative wind velocity $V_{r_{\text{max}}}$ for shipboard safety helicopters operations will be determined as the minimum of the possible relative velocities above mentioned,

$$V_{r_{\text{max}}} (\phi) = \min(V_{1x}, V_{2x}, V_1, V_2) \quad (31)$$

Finally, a preliminary launch and recovery helicopter wind envelope for a specific ship was plotted as Fig. 13. The radius representing the relative wind speed and the azimuth the wind direction. The shaded region indicates the wind over deck conditions for safety operations.

VII. CONCLUSION

Shipboard helicopter operations are performed in a very adverse environment which introduces operational limitations. Wind limitations are a key piece in the helicopter-ship qualification testing program. Hence a preliminary flight envelope is assessed by determining the influence of the ship environment on the helicopter capabilities.

In order to investigate a specific ship configuration, a 1/50th scale wood model of a ship has been built and tested in the wind tunnel stream. Laser Doppler Anemometry was used to measure two components of the velocity simultaneously in the critical point of the model flight deck.

Although the wind velocities over the flight deck could be predicted approximately by means of wind tunnel tests, on board the ship measurements must be performed in order to verify wind tunnel tests due to the fact that wind tunnel data are obtained from partial flow simulations maintaining only the relevant parameters of the investigated airflow.

Wind velocities tests on board the ship were carried out by means of a sonic three-components anemometer located on a mast above 5 meters over the flight deck floor in the homologous point tested in the wind tunnel.

Results obtained from wind tunnel were compared to on board measurements by graphs and a linear regression analysis was performed, showing high correlation.

Turbulence intensity in the flight deck was calculated from wind velocity measurement, both, in wind tunnel and in ship and compared, showing similar trend.

Finally, a preliminary launch and recovery helicopter wind envelope for a specific ship was determined from wind tunnel data.

Moreover, in a subsequent step the preliminary wind envelope must be verified by means of flight tests on board the ship acquiring measurements of helicopters and ship key parameters in order to complete the helicopter-ship qualification program [2].
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